The Economic Effects on Michigan of the Plastech Facility Location Decision

George A. Fulton Peter Nicolas Donald R. Grimes

University of Michigan March 5, 2002

Abstract

Plastech is considering building a new manufacturing facility in Romulus, Michigan, for the production of plastic injection-molded parts and blow-molded parts for the automotive industry. The facility would employ an additional 400 people by 2005. We estimate that by 2011, this location will have generated a total of 555 jobs in the state. Total state government revenues through 2011, net of MEGA costs and adjusted for inflation, would increase by \$15,285,000 (2002 dollars) due to the location of Plastech.

The purpose of this study is to estimate the potential economic and fiscal benefits to Michigan of Plastech building a new manufacturing facility in Romulus, Michigan, for the production of plastic injection-molded parts and blow-molded parts for the automotive industry (SIC 30). Investment activity would take place between 2002 and 2006, with an investment of \$37.7 million. The facility would employ an additional 400 people by 2005.

The estimates of the benefits include the total number of jobs created in Michigan (by major industry, including spin-off jobs), and the associated personal income and state government revenue. Benefits net of the MEGA incentive package, from 2002 to 2011, are shown in the attached table. The MEGA incentive package includes a tax credit to the company for the period 2002 to 2011 equal to 75 percent of the state income tax rate on the payroll (gross wages) of employees hired at the facility as a result of the project.

The total employment effects, reported in the first line of the table, include the direct jobs created at the facility itself plus spin-off jobs. The spin-off jobs are generated from two sources, increased purchases from Michigan suppliers and spending by people who receive income due to the increased economic activity. The construction activity is expected to generate a total of 313 jobs in 2002; almost all of these jobs are temporary. In 2007, the first year of full operations without investment activity, an additional 582 jobs are generated in the state. We estimate that by 2011, this location will have generated a total of 555 additional jobs in the state. The total number of jobs created (direct plus spin-off) for every direct job introduced constitutes the "employment multiplier." The employment multiplier for the location averages 1.4 over the period 2007 to 2011. Sectoral detail on the employment gains is also shown in the table.

Personal income is shown in the next section of the table. Personal income is defined as the income of Michigan residents from all sources, after deduction of contributions to social insurance programs but before deduction of income tax and other personal taxes. As shown in the table, if Plastech were to locate in Michigan under the incentive program, state personal income in 2007 would be higher by \$31.1 million (in current dollars) than it would be without

in 2002 dollars would be \$24.5 million in 2007 and \$25.9 million in 2011.

The gain in economic activity results in higher state government revenues. We estimate that in 2007, the first year of full operations without investment activity, the facility would generate \$2,488,000 in additional gross state government revenue, and that the MEGA package would provide a \$386,000 incentive to Plastech. Thus, the Plastech facility location would increase state government revenues in 2007 by \$2,102,000, net of MEGA incentive costs.

Over the period 2002 to 2011, gross state government revenue is projected to increase by \$22,368,000 (in current dollars) due to the location of Plastech. The MEGA incentive package for Plastech is forecast to cost \$3,493,000 over the period, resulting in a net increase in state government revenue of \$18,875,000. Adjusted for inflation, the total net increase in state government revenue from 2002 to 2011 would be \$15,285,000 in 2002 dollars. These calculations do not include any revenue losses due to the Investment Tax Credit or the property tax abatement. If the costs of the tax credit and the tax abatement were included, the net revenue gain to state government would be slightly less.

None of these estimates include the nonmeasurable effects that would produce additional economic and fiscal benefits for Michigan, such as the intangible advantages of influencing other location and expansion decisions.

Economic and Fiscal Effects on Michigan of the Plastech Facility Location Net Benefits with the Incentive Package

	Total	2011			1 -			ı		,	009,6/2	3 403	18,875			226 262	5001	10,100 2.815	5,0,5	207
		2002-2011	┷) (5/7	77 (18			226	107	o c	î <u>v</u>	2
		2011	555	400	400	CCĮ 36	38	82		27 700	04,400	445	2,307		-	25.900	2 072	335	1.737	
		2010	260	400	160	36	39	85		33 500	2,500	429	2,251		 -	25,757	2,061	330	1,731	
		2007	582	400	182	42	48	92	-	31.100	2,488	386	2,102	- ,	·	24,531	1,962	304	1,658	
Survey		2006			201			86		30,400	2,432	373	2,059	-	_	24,245	1,940	297	1,643	
		707					65	104		29,300	2,344	369	1,9/5			24,075	1,926	303	1,023	
	7000	7007	529	344	185	45	56	0		23,500	1,880	303	1,0,1	•		40,344	1,028	263	COCét	
	2003	3			144			3		17,100	1,368	7 108	7,100		15 705	1,763	240	1 023	2225	
	2002	270	2/0		705	30	198) }	1	10,000	1,280	1.165			16,000	1.280	115	1,165		
	Economic/Fiscal Indicator	Total Employment	Manufacturing	Nonmanufacturing	Retail Trade	Services	Other	In current dollars (thousands):	Personal income	Gross state revenue	MEGA cost	State revenue net of MEGA cost*	Adjusted for inflation	(thousands of 2002 dollars):	Personal income	Gross state revenue	MEGA cost	State revenue net of MEGA cost*	Part of the state	*These estimates do not include and

*These estimates do not include any state government revenue losses due to the Investment Tax Credit or the property tax abatement.