The Economic Effects on Michigan of the ICG Berrien, Inc. Expansion

Prepared by the Michigan Economic Development Corporation utilizing Regional Economic Models, Incorporated (REMI) software.

Abstract

ICG Castings is considering locating an expanded production facility in Bridgman, Michigan. This facility would manufacture die cast components for the automotive and appliance industries. The new facility would employ up to 240 people by the end of 2009. We estimate that by 2014, this location will have generated a total of 341 jobs in the state. Total state government revenues through 2014, net of MEGA costs and adjusted for inflation, would increase by \$11 million (2004 dollars) due to ICG's relocation and expansion project.

The purpose of this study is to estimate the potential economic and fiscal benefits to Michigan of ICG Castings locating a production facility in Bridgman. Investment activity would take place between 2005 and 2009 with an investment of \$12 million. The facility would employ an additional 240 people and would be at full production by 2009.

The estimates of the benefits attributable to the project include the total number of jobs created in Michigan (by major industry, including spin-off jobs), and the associated personal income and state government revenue. Benefits net of the MEGA incentive package, from 2005 to 2014, are shown in the attached table. The MEGA incentive package includes a tax credit to the company equal to 100 percent of the state income tax rate on the payroll (gross wages) of employees hired at the facility for the period 2005 to 2014.

The total employment effects, reported in the first line of the table, include the direct jobs created at the facility itself plus spin-off jobs. The spin-off jobs are created from two sources, increased purchases from Michigan suppliers and spending by people who receive income due to the increased economic activity. In 2009, the first year of full operations, an additional 374 jobs are generated in the state. The total number of jobs (direct plus spin-off) for every direct job introduced constitutes the "employment multiplier." The employment multiplier for the expansion averages 1.2 over the period 2005 to 2014. Sectoral detail on the employment is also shown in the table.

Personal income is shown in the next section of the table. Personal income is defined as the income of Michigan residents from all sources, after deduction of contributions to social insurance programs but before deduction of income tax and other personal taxes. As shown in the table, if ICG Castings were to expand in Michigan under the incentive program, state personal income in 2009 would be higher by \$22.5 million (in current dollars) than it would be without the facility, and in 2014, it would be \$28.3 million higher. Adjusted for inflation, these numbers in 2004 dollars would be \$20.8 million in 2009 and \$24 million in 2014.

The gain in economic activity results in higher government revenues. We estimate that in 2010, the first year of full operations without investment activity, the facility would generate \$1.8 million in additional gross state revenue, and that the MEGA package

would provide a \$325,000 incentive to ICG Castings. Thus, the new ICG Castings facility would increase state revenues in 2010 by \$1.5 million, net of MEGA costs.

Over the period 2005 to 2014 state government revenue is projected to increase by \$14.9 million (in current dollars) due to the new ICG Castings facility. The MEGA incentive package for ICG Castings is forecast to cost \$2.6 million over the period, resulting in a net increase in state government revenue of \$12.2 million. Adjusted for inflation, the total net increase in state government revenue from 2005 to 2014 would be \$11 million in 2004 dollars. These calculations do not include any revenue losses due to the property tax abatement or the investment tax credit. If the costs of the abatement and the tax credit were included, the net revenue gain to state government would be slightly less.

None of the estimates include the nonmeasurable effects that would produce additional economic and fiscal benefits for Michigan, such as the intangible advantages of influencing other location and expansion decisions.

Economic and Fiscal Effects on Michigan - Net Benefits with the Incentive Package ICG Berrien, Inc.

LotoT	<u> </u>	\$193,436 14,894 2,648 \$12,246	\$173,554 13,361 2,373 \$10,988
2017	341 180 161 46 70 70	\$28,290 2,178 397 \$1,781	\$23,965 1,845 336 \$1,509
2013	343 180 163 46 69 48	\$27,100 2,087 377 \$1,710	\$23,353 1,798 324 \$1,474
2012	349 181 168 77 71 50	\$26,120 2,011 358 \$1,653	\$22,892 1,762 314 \$1,448
2011	355 182 173 49 73	\$25,020 1,927 341 \$1,586	\$22,294 1,717 304 \$1,413
2010	359 183 176 49 75	\$23,680 1,823 325 \$1,498	\$21,449 1,651 294 \$1,357
2009	374 185 189 52 79 79	\$22,580 1,739 310 \$1,429	\$20,798 1,602 285 \$1,317
2008	235 116 119 33 33 36	\$14,070 1,083 188 \$895	\$13,178 1,014 176 \$838
2007	244 117 127 35 52 50	\$13,240 1,019 183 \$836	\$12,609 970 174 \$796
2006	128 59 69 19 27 23	\$6,775 522 85 \$437	\$6,559 505 82 \$423
2005	44 08 03 15 08 05 05 05	\$6,561 505 85 \$420	\$6,457 497 84 \$413
Economic/Fiscal Indicator	Total Employment Manufacturing Non-Manufacturing Retail Trade Services Other	In Current Dollars (Thousands): Personal Income Gross State Revenue Mega Cost State Revenue Net of MEGA Cost*	(Thousands of 2004 Dollars): Personal Income Gross State Revenue Mega Cost State Revenue Net of MEGA Cost*

* These estimates do not include any state government revenue losses due to the Investment Tax Credit, the Renaissance Zone Credit or the property tax abatement.