The Economic Effects on Michigan of the Haworth, Inc., Facility Expansion Decision

George A. Fulton Peter Nicolas Donald R. Grimes

University of Michigan
July 13, 2004

Abstract

Haworth, Inc., is proposing to close four out-of-state manufacturing and distribution facilities and relocate them to Michigan. This project involves four locations, the headquarters in Holland and three manufacturing facilities in Holland, Big Rapids, and Allegan. The facilities would employ an additional 1,000 people by 2009. We estimate that by 2016, this expansion will have generated a total of 2,414 jobs in the state. Total state government revenues through 2016, net of MEGA costs and adjusted for inflation, would increase by \$87,571,000 (2004 dollars) due to the expansion of Haworth, Inc.

The purpose of this study is to estimate the potential economic and fiscal benefits to Michigan if Haworth, Inc., closes four of its out-of-state manufacturing and distribution facilities and relocates them to Michigan (SIC 2522). This project involves four locations, the headquarters in Holland and three manufacturing facilities in Holland, Big Rapids, and Allegan. Investment activity would take place between 2004 and 2006, with an investment of \$42.7 million. The facilities would employ an additional 1,000 people by 2009.

The estimates of the benefits include the total number of jobs created in Michigan (by major industry, including spin-off jobs), and the associated personal income and state government revenue. Benefits net of the MEGA incentive package, from 2004 to 2016, are shown in the attached table. The MEGA incentive package includes relief from 100 percent of the single business tax for the period 2005 through 2016; it also includes a tax credit to the company for the period 2005 to 2016 equal to 100 percent of the state income tax rate on the payroll (gross wages) of employees hired at the facilities as a result of the project.

The total employment effects, reported in the first line of the table, include the direct jobs created at the facilities themselves plus spin-off jobs. The spin-off jobs are generated from two sources, increased purchases from Michigan suppliers and spending by people who receive income due to the increased economic activity. The investment activity is expected to generate a total of 42 jobs in 2004, 163 jobs in 2005, and 189 jobs in 2006; almost all of these jobs are temporary. In 2009, the first year of full operations, an additional 2,605 jobs are generated in the state. We estimate that by 2016, this expansion will have generated a total of 2,414 additional jobs in the state. The total number of jobs created (direct plus spin-off) for every direct job introduced constitutes the "employment multiplier." The employment multiplier for the expansion averages 2.5 over the period 2009 to 2016. Sectoral detail on the employment gains is also shown in the table.

Personal income is shown in the next section of the table. Personal income is defined as the income of Michigan residents from all sources, after deduction of contributions to social insurance programs but before deduction of income tax and other personal taxes. As shown in the table, if Haworth, Inc., were to expand its operations in Michigan under the incentive program, state personal income in 2009 would be higher by \$169.4 million (in current dollars) than it would be without the expansion, and in 2016 it would be \$216 million higher. Adjusted for inflation, these numbers in 2004 dollars would be \$122.4 million in 2009 and \$140.1 million in 2016.

The gain in economic activity results in higher state government revenues. We estimate that in 2009, the first year of full operations, the expanded facilities would generate \$13,027,000 in additional gross state government revenue, and that the MEGA package would provide a \$1,370,000 incentive to Haworth, Inc. Thus, the Haworth, Inc., expansion would increase state government revenues in 2009 by \$11,657,000, net of MEGA incentive costs.

Over the period 2004 to 2016, gross state government revenue is projected to increase by \$141,604,000 (in current dollars) due to the expansion of Haworth, Inc. The MEGA incentive package for Haworth, Inc., is forecast to cost \$15,233,000 over the period, resulting in a net increase in state government revenue of \$126,371,000. Adjusted for inflation, the total net increase in state government revenue from 2004 to 2016 would be \$87,571,000 in 2004 dollars.

None of these estimates include the nonmeasurable effects that would produce additional economic and fiscal benefits for Michigan, such as the intangible advantages of influencing other location and expansion decisions.

Economic and Fiscal Effects on Michigan of the Haworth, Inc., Facility Expansion Net Benefits with the Incentive Package

]		!	e acmaga				
Economic/Fiscal Indicator	2004	2005	2006	2007	0000					Total
Total Employment	ξ		L	+	7000	7007	2010	2015	2016	2004-2016
Manufacturing	4 6	200	1,130	1,331	1,980		2,536	2,397	2.414	
Nonmannfacturing	- (718	404	567	838		1.095	1 067	1,1,1	
Refail Trade	35	444	726	764	1,142	1.490	1 441	1,35	1,0/1	
Coming 11400	~	85	142	163	242	315	2000	1,550	1,343	
oct vices	15	144	258	313	467	717	067	4C7	254	
Other	15	215	326	288	433	571	570	491	497	
In current dollars (thousands):						<u> </u>	6/6	282	592	1
Personal income	2 100	32 800	62.100	i i						
Gross state revenue	161	7 522	02,100	/9,900	123,200	169,400	178,300	208,100	216,000	1.841 400
MEGA cost	*	127,7	t, / /)	0,144	9,474	13,027	13,711	16,003	16,610	141 604
State revenue net of MEGA cost*	161	2,338	4.364	5 496	989	1,370	1,433	1,823	1,920	15,233
Adjusted for inflation				2	001.00	11,05/	12,278	14,180	14,690	126,371
(+1, -1, -2, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1										
(mousands of 2004 dollars):										
Personal income	2,100	26.478	48 314	60 241	01.0					
Gross state revenue	61	2.036	3715	4 623	71,505	122,403	125,651	137,050	140,063	1.275.454
MEGA cost	C	148	23.0	4,000	170,	9,413	9,663	10,539	10,771	98.082
State revenue net of MEGA cost*	161	1 888	2 205	489	733	066	1,010	1,200	1,245	10.511
	1	1,000	5,5%	4,144	6,288	8,423	8,653	9.339	9656	07 571
									77,7	1/0,/0

*These estimates do not include any state government revenue losses due to the Property Tax Credit or the Investment Tax Credit.