The Economic Effects on Michigan of the Dow Corning Compound Semiconductor Solutions Research Center

Prepared by the Michigan Economic Development Corporation utilizing Regional Economic Models, Incorporated (REMI) software.

October 14, 2003

Abstract

Dow Corning Compound Semiconductor Solutions is considering building a research center in Williams Township, Michigan. This facility would provide research and development services and manufacture and sell compound semiconductor wafer substrates, epitaxial deposition to meet the needs of device fabricators and users in commercial and defense markets. The new facility would employ up to 140 people by the end of 2009. We estimate that by 2014, this location will have generated a total of 164 jobs in the state. Total state government revenues through 2014, net of MEGA costs and adjusted for inflation, would increase by \$4 million (2003 dollars) due to the location of the Dow Corning Compound Semiconductor Solutions.

The purpose of this study is to estimate the potential economic and fiscal benefits to Michigan of Dow Corning Compound Semiconductor Solutions locating a research and development center in Williams Township. Investment activity would take place between 2003 and 2009 with an investment of \$15 million. The facility would employ an additional 140 people and would be at full production by 2010.

The estimates of the benefits attributable to the project include the total number of jobs created in Michigan (by major industry, including spin-off jobs), and the associated personal income and state government revenue. Benefits net of the MEGA incentive package, from 2003 to 2014, are shown in the attached table. The MEGA incentive package includes relief from 100 percent of the single business tax for the period 2005 to 2014, and a tax credit to the company equal to 100 percent of the state income tax rate on the payroll (gross wages) of employees hired at the facility for the period 2005 to 2014.

The total employment effects, reported in the first line of the table, include the direct jobs created at the facility itself plus spin-off jobs. The spin-off jobs are created from two sources, increased purchases from Michigan suppliers and spending by people who receive income due to the increased economic activity. In 2009, the first year of full operations, an additional 175 jobs are generated in the state. The total number of jobs (direct plus spin-off) for every direct job introduced constitutes the "employment multiplier." The employment multiplier for the expansion averages 1.09 over the period 2003 to 2014. Sectoral detail on the employment is also shown in the table.

Personal income is shown in the next section of the table. Personal income is defined as the income of Michigan residents from all sources, after deduction of contributions to social insurance programs but before deduction of income tax and other personal taxes. As shown in the table, if Dow Corning Compound Semiconductor Solutions were to locate in Michigan under the incentive program, state personal income in 2009 would be higher by \$11.7 million (in current dollars) than it would be without the facility, and in 2014, it would be \$14 million higher. Adjusted for inflation, these numbers in 2003 dollars would be \$10.6 million in 2009 and \$11.7 million in 2014.

The gain in economic activity results in higher government revenues. We estimate that in 2010, the first year of full operations without investment activity, the facility would generate \$905,000 in additional gross state revenue, and that the MEGA package would provide a \$588,000 incentive to Dow Corning Compound Semiconductor Solutions. Thus, the new Dow Corning Compound Semiconductor Solutions facility would increase state revenues in 2010 by \$317,000, net of MEGA costs.

Over the period 2003 to 2014 state government revenue is projected to increase by \$9.5 million (in current dollars) due to the new Dow Corning Compound Semiconductor Solutions facility. The MEGA incentive package for Dow Corning Compound Semiconductor Solutions is forecast to cost \$5.1 million over the period, resulting in a net increase in state government revenue of \$4.4 million. Adjusted for inflation, the total net increase in state government revenue from 2003 to 2014 would be \$4 million in 2003 dollars. These calculations do not include any revenue losses due to the property tax abatement or the investment tax credit. If the costs of the abatement and the tax credit were included, the net revenue gain to state government would be slightly less.

None of the estimates include the nonmeasurable effects that would produce additional economic and fiscal benefits for Michigan, such as the intangible advantages of influencing other location and expansion decisions.

Economic and Fiscal Effects on Michigan - Net Benefits with the Incentive Package Dow Corning

		Total		\$123,638	9,519 5,138	\$4,381		\$111,637	8,594	\$4,035
	7,700	2014 164 39 125 23 81	7	\$14,010	1,079	\$369		\$11,689	900 593	\$307
	2043	163 163 174 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183	7	\$13,370	1,029	£353		\$11,347	873 574	\$299
	2012	164 39 125 23 80	7	\$12,850	9 645 645	445	ě	1.097 780,119	557	\$297
	2011	164 33 125 73 79		\$12,330 949 616 \$333			\$10,820 833 540 \$293			
	2010	22 22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25		\$11,750	588		\$10.482	807	525	7976
	2009	175 42 133 25 82 26		\$11,720	563 \$339		\$10,632	818	510	000
0000	2008	24 22 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25		\$10,650	469 \$351		\$9,824	756	432 \$324	
2002	2001	2 4 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 6 5 5 5 6 6 6 5 5 7 6 6 6 6 8 6 6 6 6 9 6 7 6 6 9 6 7 6 6 9 7 6 7 6 9 7 6 7 6 9 8 7 6 7 9 8 7 7 7 7 10 8 7 7 7 7 10 8 7 7 7 7 7 10 8 7 7 7 7 7 10 8 7 7 7 7 7 10 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 10 8 7 <t< td=""><td></td><td>\$9,583 738</td><td>380</td><td></td><td>\$8,988</td><td>692</td><td>357</td><td>7</td></t<>		\$9,583 738	380		\$8,988	692	357	7
2008	2007	129 37 20 20 20 22		\$7,874	\$309		\$7,508	578	\$295	ent revenue
2005		106 32 74 17 39 18		\$6,287	\$290		\$6,093	450 88 88	\$281	tate governm
Economic/Fiscal Indicator		Total Employment Manufacturing Non-Manufacturing Retail Trade Services Other	In Current Dollars (Thousands):	Fersonal Income Gross State Revenue Mega Cost	State Revenue Net of MEGA Cost*	Adjusted for Inflation (Thousands of 2003 Dollars):	Personal income Gross State Revenue	Mega Cost	State Revenue Net of MEGA Cost*	* These estimates do not include any state dovernment revenue localed

* These estimates do not include any state government revenue losses due to the Investment Tax Credit, the Renaissance Zone Credit or the property tax abatement.