The Economic Effects on Michigan

of the Autocam Corporation Facility Location Decision

George A. Fulton Peter Nicolas Donald R. Grimes

University of Michigan June 10, 1997

Abstract

Autocam Corporation is considering locating a facility in Michigan which will produce precision-machined components for automobile fuel and braking systems. By the year 2000, the facility would employ 200 people. We estimate that by 2018, this location will have generated a total of 749 jobs in the state. Total state government revenues through 2018, net of MEGA costs and adjusted for inflation, would be increased by \$29,723,000 (1997 dollars) due to the location of Autocam Corporation.

The purpose of this study is to estimate the potential economic and fiscal benefits to Michigan of Autocam Corporation's locating a facility in the state which will produce precision-machined components for automobile fuel and braking systems (SIC 3599). Investment activity would take place between 1997 and 1998, with an investment of \$24.025 million, and operations would begin in July 1998. The facility would employ 200 workers by 2000.

The estimates of the benefits include the total number of jobs created in Michigan (by major industry, including spin-off jobs), and the associated personal income and state government revenue. Benefits net of the MEGA incentive package, from 1997 to 2018, are shown in the attached table. The MEGA incentive package includes relief from 25 percent of the single business tax for the period 1999-2018 and a tax credit to the company for the same period equal to 4.4 percent of the payroll (gross wages) of employees hired at the facility as a result of the project. The payroll tax credit represents 100 percent of the maximum employment credit available to a company.

The total employment effects, reported in the first line of the table, include the direct jobs created at the facility itself plus spin-off jobs. The spin-off jobs are generated from two sources: increased purchases from Michigan suppliers, and spending by people who receive income due to the increased economic activity. The construction of the facility is expected to generate a total of 18 jobs in 1997 and 131 jobs in 1998; almost all of these jobs are temporary. In 2000, the first year of full operations, an additional 527 jobs are generated in the state. We estimate that by 2018 this facility will have generated a total of 749 jobs in the state. The total number of jobs created (direct plus spin-off) for every direct job introduced constitutes the "employment multiplier." The employment multiplier for the location averages 3.0 over the period 2000-2018. Sectoral detail on the employment gains is also shown in the table.

Personal income is shown in the next section of the table. Personal income is defined as the income of Michigan residents from all sources, after deduction of contributions to social insurance programs but before deduction of income tax and other personal taxes. As shown in the table, if Autocam Corporation were to locate in Michigan under the incentive program, state personal income in 2000 would be higher by \$23 million (in current dollars) than it would be without the facility, and in 2018 it would be \$69 million higher. Adjusted for inflation, these numbers in 1997 dollars would be \$17.6 million in 2000 and \$33.1 million in 2018.

The gain in economic activity results in higher state government revenues. We estimate that in 2000, the first year of full operations, the facility would generate \$1,840,000 in additional gross state government revenue, and that the MEGA package would provide a \$398,000 incentive to Autocam Corporation. Thus, the Autocam Corporation facility location would generate an additional \$1,442,000 in revenue to state government in 2000, net of MEGA incentive costs.

Over the period 1997-2018, gross state government revenue is projected to increase by \$65,792,000 (in current dollars) due to the location of Autocam Corporation. The MEGA incentive package for Autocam Corporation is forecast to cost \$12,497,000 over the period, resulting in a net increase in state government revenue of \$53,295,000. Adjusted for inflation, the total net increase in state government revenue from 1997 to 2018 would be \$29,723,000 in 1997 dollars. These calculations do not include any revenue losses due to the property tax abatement. If the cost of the abatement were included, the net revenue gain to state government would be slightly less.

None of these estimates include the nonmeasurable effects that would produce additional economic and fiscal benefits for Michigan, such as the intangible advantages of influencing other location and expansion decisions.

Economic and Fiscal Effects on Michigan of the Autocam Corporation Facility Location Net Benefits with the Incentive Package

| dicator 1997 1998 1999 ng 261 342 ng 75 148 ng 18 184 2 36 48 3 75 92 13 75 54 thousands): 700 10,200 14,300 uue 56 816 1,144 tof MEGA cost 56 816 1,144 tof MeGA cost 56 816 857 ton 7 dollars): 7 dollars): 7 dollars): | 1997 199 18 0 18 2 2 3 3 3 13 10, | 1999 342 148 194 48 92 54 54 | 2000 527 221 306 73 145 88 | 2005 522 203 319 66 151 | 2010 584 203 | 2015 | 2018 | 1997-2018 |
|---|--|---|--|--|--------------------|--------|--------|-----------|
| 18 261 342 0 75 148 18 186 194 2 36 48 3 75 92 13 75 92 13 75 92 6 816 1,144 0 0 287 cost 56 816 816 857 | 18 0 18 2 3 3 13 700 700 | 342 148 194 48 92 54 14,300 | 527 221 306 73 145 88 | 522 203 319 66 151 | 584 203 | | | |
| 0 75 148 18 186 194 2 36 48 3 75 92 13 75 54 700 10,200 14,300 56 816 1,144 0 0 287 cost 56 816 857 | 18 2 3 13 700 700 | 148 194 48 92 54 14.300 | 221 306 73 145 88 | 203 319 66 151 102 | 203 | 683 | 749 | |
| 18 186 194 2 36 48 3 75 92 13 75 54 700 10,200 14,300 56 816 1,144 0 0 287 cost 56 816 857 | 18 2 3 13 700 700 | 194 48 92 54 14.300 | 306 73 145 88 | 319 66 151 102 | | 208 | 211 | |
| 2 36 48 3 75 92 13 75 54 700 10,200 14,300 56 816 1,144 0 0 287 cost 56 816 857 | 2 3 13 700 700 56 | 48 92 54 14.300 | 73 145 88 | 66 151 102 | 381 | 475 | 538 | - |
| 3 75 92 13 75 54 700 10,200 14,300 56 816 1,144 0 0 287 cost 56 816 857 | 13 700 56 | 92 54 14.300 | 145 | 151 | 73 | 87 | 96 | |
| 75 54 700 10,200 14,300 56 816 1,144 0 0 287 cost 56 816 857 | 13 700 56 | 54 | 88 | 102 | 187 | 244 | 283 | |
| 700 10,200 14,300 56 816 1,144 0 0 287 cost 56 816 857 | 700 | 14,300 | | - | 121 | 144 | 159 | |
| 700 10,200 14,300 56 816 1,144 0 0 287 A cost 56 816 857 | | 14.300 | _ | | | | | |
| 56 816 1,144 0 0 287 A cost 56 816 857 | | 1 | 23,000 | 31,500 | 41,700 | 56,900 | 69,000 | 822,400 |
| A cost 56 816 857 | | 1,144 | 1,840 | 2,520 | 3,336 | 4,552 | 5,520 | 65,792 |
| A cost 56 816 857 | 0 | 287 | 398 | 549 | 655 | 785 | 878 | 12,497 |
| | 95 | 857 | 1,442 | 1,971 | 2,681 | 3,767 | 4,642 | 53,295 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | • | | |
| 8,697 11,311 | 700 8,697 | 11,311 | 17,554 | 20,353 | 24,365 | 29,553 | 33,097 | 481,373 |
| Gross state revenue 56 696 905 1 | | 905 | 1,404 | 1,629 | 1,949 | 2,364 | 2,648 | 38,510 |
| MEGA cost 0 0 274 | 0 | 274 | 369 | 440 | 453 | 468 | 479 | 8,787 |
| | 99 | 631 | 1,035 | 1,189 | 1,496 | 1,896 | 2,169 | 29,723 |

*These estimates do not include any state government revenue losses due to the property tax abatement.