		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	ts	Total
-	Tri-	Macomb/	Wayne	Tri-	Balance	Detroit	Grand	Other	
	County	Oakland	County	County,			Rapids-	markets	
	(all)	Cos.		not			K-BC		
Q1 Direction of things				Detroit					
generally in Michigan today									
Right direction	33	38	26	36	45	35	54	38	40
Wrong track	53		61	49	46	50	39	52	48
Unsure	13		12	14	9	13	6	10	11
Refused	2		2	2	0	2	1		1
Right dir – wrong track	-20	-9	-35	-13	-1	-14	15	-13	-8
Number of cases	202		89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q2 Most important issue									
facing people in own part of				A.O.					
Michigan today				40					
Jobs & employment	51	47	56	54	41	47	40	45	45
Education & schools	14		12		14	15	12	14	14
Taxes	3		3	4	9	5	9	9	7
Crime & drugs	7		10	4	5	6	6	6	6
State budget	6	7	5	7	4	5	5	3	5
Healthcare	7	8	6	7	13	8	12	13	10
Cost of living	7		5	7	7	8	7	5	7
Something else; all;	2	2	2	3	3	2	6	1	3
combination; none Unsure	2	4		3	4	4	2	3	3
Refused	0		1	3	0	0	1	3	0
Number of cases	202		89	158	305	254	99	154	50 <i>7</i>
Q3 Best describes Michigan's									
healthcare system today									
In a state of crisis	9	9	9	7	12	11	15	8	11
Has major problems	45		50	43	46	43	39	55	46
Has minor problems	38		36	41	34	38	42	27	36
Does not have any problems	4	5	2	5	4	4	2	5	4
Unsure	4	5	2	4	4	4	2	5	4
Refused		_			0		2	-	0
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507

	MSA cou	ınties	Ethnic	city	Total	
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;		
		urban		Anglo		
Q1 Direction of things						
generally in Michigan today	40	40	24	40	40	
Right direction	40	40	31	42	40	
Wrong track	49	47	55	47	48	
Unsure	11	11	11	11	11	
Refused	1	1	4	0	1	
Right dir – wrong track	-9	-7	-24	-4	-8	
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>	
Q2 Most important issue						
facing people in own part of		.0				
Michigan today		. 6	A			
Jobs & employment	46	43	36	47	45	
Education & schools	13	18	12	15	14	
Taxes	7	7	10	6	7	
Crime & drugs	7	4	12	5	6	
State budget	5	3	8	4	5	
Healthcare	10	12	10	10	10	
Cost of living	6	9	9	6	7	
Something else; all;	3		1	3	3	
combination; none	3		_	3	3	
Unsure	3	4	1	3	3	
Refused	0	1	1	0	0	
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>	
Q3 Best describes Michigan's						
healthcare system today						
In a state of crisis	11	8	16	9	11	
Has major problems	45	50	48	46	46	
Has minor problems	36	31	28	37	36	
Does not have any problems	4	5	5	4	4	
Unsure	3	5	3	4	4	
Refused	0			0	0	
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507	

	Se	X		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More than 60	Male 18-50	Male	Female	Female	
Q1 Direction of things			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
generally in Michigan today										
Right direction	46	35	39	43	37	42	49	39	31	40
Wrong track	41	55	47	49	49	43	39	50	60	48
Unsure	13	9	13	8	13	14	12	10	8	11
Refused	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Right dir – wrong track	5	-20	-8	-6	-12	-1	10	-10	-29	-8
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Q2 Most important issue					0					
facing people in own part of				- A/A						
Michigan today				A GN	4.0					
Jobs & employment	46	44	49	45	42	45	47	45	43	45
Education & schools	14	14	16	14	12	18	11	17	11	14
Taxes	6	7	7	9	4	5	8	7	7	7
Crime & drugs	5	7	6	6	6	5	5	10	5	6
State budget	5	4	3	6	4	6	5	4	5	5
Healthcare	10	10	6	10	15	8	13	10	11	10
Cost of living	6	8	7	6	7	7	5	5	10	7
Something else; all; combination; none	2	3	2	3	4	3	2	2	4	3
Unsure	4	2	2	2	5	4	4		4	3
Refused		1			1				1	0
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's										
healthcare system today										
In a state of crisis	9	12	12	12	6	11	7	12	12	11
Has major problems	40	51	43	47	48	34	46	54	48	46
Has minor problems	42	30	38	35	34	46	39	29	31	36
Does not have any problems	5	3	4	2	6	3	6	3	3	4
Unsure	4	4	3	3	6	6	2	1	6	4
Refused		1		1				1		0
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507

-	I	ducation			Income		Socio-	economic s	status	Total
	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
O1 Divertion of this are	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Q1 Direction of things										
generally in Michigan today Right direction	32	44	43	36	35	44	36	40	46	40
Wrong track	59	43		49	56	44	55	46	42	48
Unsure	9	13	11	14	8	13	10	12	11	11
Refused	9	13	2	1	1	1	10	2	0	1
Refused			۷	1	1	1		2	O	1
Right dir – wrong track	-28	1		-12	-20	1	-19	-6	4	-8
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q2 Most important issue										
facing people in own part of				.0						
Michigan today				4.0						
Jobs & employment	40	49	45	38	47	48	41	46	48	45
Education & schools	12	10		17	10	19	12	13	17	14
Taxes	7	6	7	-6	8	7	7	5	8	7
Crime & drugs	8	7	4	7	8	2	7	7	4	6
State budget	5	8	3	6	5	4	6	5	3	5
Healthcare	10	9	12	10	11	9	10	11	11	10
Cost of living	9	7		14	6	3	10	7	4	7
Something else; all; combination; none	4	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3
Unsure	4	3	2	2	3	4	4	2	3	3
Refused	1		0		0	1		1		0
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's										
healthcare system today										
In a state of crisis	10	9	11	12	9	12	9	11	11	11
Has major problems	47	46		46	54	41	46	53	39	46
Has minor problems	33	35		33	31	38	36	29	42	36
Does not have any problems	5	5	3	5	3	4	4	4	4	4
Unsure	5	5	3	4	2	4	4	3	4	4
Refused			1		1			1		0
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	ment	Total
	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
Q1 Direction of things								
generally in Michigan today								
Right direction	47	53	37	37	34	44	35	40
Wrong track	45	39	50	49	53	48	52	48
Unsure	7	7	13	14	11	8	12	11
Refused	1	1	0		1	0	1	1
Right dir – wrong track	1	13	-13	-12	-19	-5	-17	-8
Number of cases	155	107	338	_ 118	60	215	147	<i>507</i>
O2 Most immentant issue				.0.				
Q2 Most important issue								
facing people in own part of			4.0	N 4O				
Michigan today		F0			20	40	20	4.5
Jobs & employment	52	50	42	44	39	48	39	45
Education & schools	17	19	12	12	10	16	12	14
Taxes	7	6	6	7	6	8	5	7
Crime & drugs	6	4	6	5	8	6	7	6
State budget	2	3	6	8	5	3	5	5
Healthcare	7	6	12	14	11	8	12	10
Cost of living	5	8	7	2	12	5	9	7
Something else; all; combination; none	3	4	3	2	5	3	3	3
Unsure	1	1	4	6	4	3	5	3
Refused	1	1	0	1	1	3	1	0
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's								
healthcare system today								
In a state of crisis	14	10	9	14	2	13	6	11
Has major problems	48	44	46	41	3 9	43	46	46
Has minor problems	32	41	37	34	50	38	36	36
Does not have any problems	2	2	4	5	5	3	6	4
Unsure	3	1	4	6	4	3	5	4
Refused	1	1	•	· ·	•	1	•	Ö
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	<i>507</i>

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	tion	Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q1 Direction of things										
generally in Michigan today	5 2		. 47	2.1	22	26	F0	24	42	40
Right direction	52	59 36			33 52	26 60	59 24	24 62	42	40
Wrong track	40						34		44	48
Unsure	7	5	-		14	12	6	13	13	11
Refused	0		1	1	1	2		1	1	1
Right dir – wrong track	12	23	4	-24	-18	-34	25	-39	-2	-8
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q2 Most important issue facing people in own part of Michigan today				:0	all d	,				
Jobs & employment	43	37	47	47	45	51	46	46	45	45
Education & schools	13	10	15	14	13	16	14	15	13	14
Taxes	9	9	9	5	5	4	9	4	7	7
Crime & drugs	7	9	6	- 5	6	3	7	6	6	6
State budget	4	3	5	5	4	7	4	7	4	5
Healthcare	10	16	7	11	12	9	12	7	13	10
Cost of living	6	8	5	7	8	5	4	7	8	7
Something else; all; combination; none	4	5	3	2	3	2	2	4	2	3
Unsure	3	4	. 3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3
Refused	1		1					0	0	0
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's healthcare system today										
In a state of crisis	9	6	10	12	12	11	8	8	14	11
Has major problems	45	42	48		49	48	49	46	46	46
Has minor problems	37	38	36	33	33	34	33	37	35	36
Does not have any problems	6	8	-	2	2	4	6	4	2	4
Unsure	3	4		4	4	3	3	4	3	4
Refused	1	2						1		0
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507

-					Party id	entifica	tion & id	leology					Total
	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
Q1 Direction of things generally in Michigan today Right direction	59	61	42	51	37	36	56	24	25	19	20	21	40
Wrong track	34	36	44	34	53	51	34	62	58	58	63	67	48
Unsure	6	3	13	14	10	11	9	13	15	20	15	11	11
Refused			1	1		1	0	1	2	3	2	1	1
Right dir - wrong track	25	25	-2	17	-15	-15	22	-39	-33	-39	-43	-46	-8
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q2 Most important issue facing people in own part of Michigan today					:(0)		>						
Jobs & employment	46	45	45	46	41	44	46	46	42	45	49	53	45
Education & schools	14	15	13	4	17	17	11	15	13	7	13	18	14
Taxes	9	9	7	11	5	4	10	4	6	7	4	2	7
Crime & drugs	7	7	6	7	5		7	6	7	6	4	3	6
State budget	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	7	8	7	8	8	5
Healthcare	12	11	13	10	16	14	11	7	6	5	6	7	10
Cost of living	4	3	8	10	7	7	6	7	9	13	8	4	7
Something else; all; combination; none	2	2	2	4	1	1	3	4	6	7	4	1	3
Unsure	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3
Refused			0	1			0	0	1				0
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's healthcare system today													
In a state of crisis	8	8	14	11	15	16	9	8	9	12	8	6	11
Has major problems	49	47	46	47	48	47	49	46	41	44	49	53	46
Has minor problems	33	33	35	36	32	33	34	37	41	35	34	33	36
Does not have any problems	6	7	2	4	2	1	5	4	3	2	4	5	4
Unsure	3	5	3	1	2	3	3	4	4	8	5	3	4
Refused								1	2				0
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507

•		Party	identifi	cation by	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	<u></u>
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q1 Direction of things													
generally in Michigan today													
Right direction	64		44		31	20	55	64	42	41	25	23	40
Wrong track	28				46		37	32	44	44	58	65	48
Unsure	8	5		_	22	7	8	4	13	14		11	11
Refused			1	1	1	2			2	1	2	1	1
Right dir – wrong track	36		1		-16		18	33	-2	-3	-34	-43	-8
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
Q2 Most important issue						.0.							
facing people in own part of													
Michigan today					0.0	N 4.0							
Jobs & employment	49	43	44	46	48	44	42	51	48	42	46	46	45
Education & schools	13	15	17	9	13	16	17	11	17	9	17	13	14
Taxes	4	14	7	6	7	3	10	8	4	9	4	5	7
Crime & drugs	3	11	6	5	6	7	11	4	5	6	8	5	6
State budget	4	3			. 7	8	2	6	3	4	9	6	5
Healthcare	16	8	9	17	6	7	9	14	13	12	3	9	10
Cost of living	5	3	6	10	5	8	5	3	8	8	6	8	7
Something else; all; combination; none	2	1	3	2	2	5	2	2	1	4	5	3	3
Unsure	4	2	3	3	7	1	3	2	1	5	2	5	3
Refused				1		1				1		1	0
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's													
healthcare system today													
In a state of crisis	8	9	11	17	7	8	12	4	16	12	7	8	11
Has major problems	44	54	41	52	39	50	51	48	41	50	44	48	46
Has minor problems	36	30	42	28	45	33	35	31	41	30	35	40	36
Does not have any problems	9	4	4	1	4	4	3	10		4	6	2	4
Unsure	3	4	3	3	5	3		7	3	3	6	2	4
Refused						1					2		0
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right	Wrong	Jobs,	Educ.,	Taxes or	Health-	Other	
	direction	track	employ-	schools	state	care		
			ment		budget			
Q1 Direction of things								
generally in Michigan today								
Right direction	100		42	39	45	37	39	40
Wrong track		100	45	50	52	57	50	48
Unsure			13	11	2	6	9	11
Refused			1				2	1
Right dir – wrong track	100	-100	-3	-10	-7	-20	-12	-8
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	<i>507</i>
•			- 0					
Q2 Most important issue								
facing people in own part of								
Michigan today								
Jobs & employment	47	42	100					45
Education & schools	14	14		100				14
Taxes	10	5		,	59			7
Crime & drugs	6	6					39	6 5
State budget	3	8			41			5
Healthcare	10	12				100		10
Cost of living	6	6					43	7
Something else; all;	2	4					18	3
combination; none	2	4					10	3
Unsure	1	3						3
Refused								0
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's								
healthcare system today								
In a state of crisis	9	12	10	13	11	15	7	11
Has major problems	35	55	40	48	43	63	50	46
Has minor problems	46	27	40	36	37	18	34	36
Does not have any problems	5	3	3	4	7	1	4	4
Unsure	4	2	6		3	2	3	4
Refused	1						2	0
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507

	Michigan's healthcare system today			•	sonal healt coverage	thcare	Total
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,	
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
Q1 Direction of things							
generally in Michigan today							
Right direction	34	30	52	47	43	34	40
Wrong track	56	58	37	41	48	54	48
Unsure	7	11	10	11	9	11	11
Refused	3	1	0	2	1	1	1
Right dir – wrong track	-23	-27	15	6	-5	-20	-8
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	<i>507</i>
·							
Q2 Most important issue							
facing people in own part of			. A.O.				
Michigan today							
Jobs & employment	43	40	50	42	46	44	45
Education & schools	17	15	14	20	13	13	14
Taxes	5	8	6	7	7	7	7
Crime & drugs	3	7	6	6	5	7	6
State budget	7	2	6	4	7	2	5
Healthcare	15	14	5	8	9	15	10
Cost of living	2	8	7	6	6	9	7
Something else; all;	_	_	_			_	_
combination; none	6	2	2	4	4	1	3
Unsure	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
Refused		1			1	_	0
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's							
healthcare system today							
In a state of crisis	100			14	5	16	11
Has major problems		100		30	44	60	46
Has minor problems			90	44	41	23	36
Does not have any problems			10	7	5	0	4
Unsure				4	5	1	4
Refused				1	_		0
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507

	Pays for most of own healthcare			Importa	Total			
	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
Q1 Direction of things generally in Michigan today								
Right direction	40	39	32	31	43	48	48	40
Wrong track	51	46	60	55		44	42	48
Unsure	9	14	6	13	10	8	9	11
Refused	1	1	2	1	10	Ü	,	1
Right dir – wrong track	-11	-8	-29	-24	-3	4	6	-8
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>
Q2 Most important issue facing people in own part of Michigan today			100					
Jobs & employment	49	43	47	45	44	41	46	45
Education & schools	16	11	9	14	20	12	13	14
Taxes	8	3	3	6	7	11	5	7
Crime & drugs	4	6	9	6	7	4	7	6
State budget	4	6	6	5	2	8	4	5
Healthcare	8	12	16	11	9	12	11	10
Cost of living	4	11	8	7		7	6	7
Something else; all; combination; none	4	1		3	2	2	4	3
Unsure	3	5	2	1	3	2	5	3
Refused	•	1	_	_	•	_	•	0
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's healthcare system today								
In a state of crisis	11	6	18	11	12	4	11	11
Has major problems	44		57	45		41	46	46
Has minor problems	37	39	24	36	32	48	31	36
Does not have any problems	3	6		4	2		7	4
Unsure	3	7	1	3	$\overline{1}$	7	3	4
Refused	1	-		_	_	•	1	0
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>

	Best describes Michigan's Medicaid program			Least desc	criptive of N	1edicaid in	Michigan	Total
	Account-	Competent		Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-	
	able		ful	sion			ful	
Q1 Direction of things								
generally in Michigan today								
Right direction	39		35	30		48	43	40
Wrong track	51			59	52	46	46	48
Unsure	10	11	8	8	9	6	11	11
Refused			2	3				1
Right dir – wrong track	-12	0	-20	-29	-12	2	-4	-8
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507
Q2 Most important issue								
facing people in own part of				W				
Michigan today								
Jobs & employment	46	56	36	56	34	54	40	45
Education & schools	15	12	18	16	16	13	15	14
Taxes	3	12	8	5	15	6	5	7
Crime & drugs	1	5	10	4		8	9	6
State budget	11	5 3	3	5	6	1	8	6 5
Healthcare	13	8	8	10	10	10	14	10
Cost of living	4	4	13	2	14	4	5	7
Something else; all;	-	1	4	2	-	2	4	2
combination; none	5	1	4	2	1	3	1	3
Unsure	3	1	1		5	1	2	3
Refused							1	0
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's								
healthcare system today								
In a state of crisis	11	9	5	11	10	8	10	11
Has major problems	30	39	51	43	44	49	46	46
Has minor problems	47	46	41	40	36	40	39	36
Does not have any problems	7	2	3	2	7	2	3	4
Unsure , .	2			5			2	4
Refused	3					2		0
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507

	Changing	the rules to	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q1 Direction of things generally in Michigan today									
Right direction	30	55	30	36	44	56	41	25	40
Wrong track	55	40	51	50	48	37	48	58	48
Unsure	14	5	18	12	8	7	11	14	11
Refused	2		1	2	0		0	3	1
Right dir – wrong track	-24	15	-21	-14	-4	18	-7	-33	-8
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q2 Most important issue				10	<u> </u>				
facing people in own part of Michigan today				10	O				
Jobs & employment	45	41	62	47	42	46	44	46	45
Education & schools	15	14	8	16	13	10	12	20	14
Taxes	5	9	6	6	8	7	8	4	7
Crime & drugs	7	6	5	4	7	6	7	4	
State budget	5	5	7.1	4	5	6	5	4	6 5
Healthcare	11	11	8	10	12	11	12	8	10
Cost of living	8	6	2	7	7	6	5	10	7
Something else; all; combination; none	2	4	1	2	4	4	3	2	3
Unsure	1	3	7	3	3	3	4	1	3
Refused	Ō	3	1	0	0	3	0	1	0
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's									
healthcare system today									
In a state of crisis	13	9	7	10	12	11	11	9	11
Has major problems	47	46	43	44	48	47	43	51	46
Has minor problems	34	36	40	39	31	35	37	34	36
Does not have any problems	3	5	3	3	5	5	4	3	4
Unsure	3	4	7	4	3	2	5	3	4
Refused		1			1	$\overline{1}$	_	-	0
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years registered to vote			preside mary vo		Genera	al electio	Most likely voter	Total			
	Less than 10	10-15	More than 15	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
Q1 Direction of things	than 10		tiiaii 15									
generally in Michigan today												
Right direction	43	36	38	63	17	37	39	41	40	42	45	40
Wrong track	43	58	49	31	61	51	50	49	48	48	47	48
Unsure	13	4	13	7	20	11	10	10	11	9	8	11
Refused	1	2	0		2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Right dir – wrong track	1	-21	-10	32	-44	-14	-11	-8	-7	-6	-2	-8
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q2 Most important issue facing people in own part of Michigan today					100	6,1						
Jobs & employment	44	48	44	38	46	47	43	44	45	43	43	45
Education & schools	16	15	11	12	21	14	15	18	15	19	17	14
Taxes	7	5	7	9	2	7	7	6	7	6	5	7
Crime & drugs	7	5	7	8	-07	6	6	5	6	5	6	6
State budget	6	2	5	2	7	5	4	4	5	5	5	5
Healthcare	10	10	11	15	10	9	11	10	11	11	12	10
Cost of living	7	7	6	7	7	7	7	6	6	5	6	7
Something else; all;	-		_		-	-	-	_	•	_	_	-
combination; none	2	5	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	3
Unsure	2	3	5	5	2	3	3	4	3	4	3	3
Refused	_	1	,	3	2	0	0	0	0	Ö	1	0
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q3 Best describes Michigan's healthcare system today												
In a state of crisis	8	14	11	9	11	11	11	10	11	11	9	11
Has major problems	45	44	47	46	40	46	48	49	46	49	50	46
Has minor problems	39	31	35	34	39	36	34	34	36	33	34	36
Does not have any problems	3	5	4	8	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	4
Unsure	3	6	3	3	6	4	3	3	4	3	2	4
Refused	1					0	0		0		1	0
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	<i>373</i>	437	334	483	308	246	<i>507</i>

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	ts	Total
-	Tri- County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Q4 Rating of own personal				Betroit					
healthcare coverage									
Excellent	25	31	18	27	19	24	21	19	22
Good	38	34	43	36	47	42	48	43	44
Only fair	19	23	14	23	22	18	21	26	21
Poor	12	8	18	9	7	11	6	8	9
Unsure	4	4	5	4	3	4	3	2	3
Refused	1		2	1	1	1	2	1	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q5 Pays for most of own personal healthcare expenses			. dG						
Health plan of an employer	47	51	41	50	43	46	44	42	44
Health plan purchased individually	8	11	4	10	6	8	8	3	7
Medicare, the federal program for seniors	17	16	18	17	23	18	21	23	20
Medicaid, the state program for the poor	3	3	4	3	6	3	4	8	5
Out-of-pocket payments by self	15	10	21	13	13	13	17	12	13
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care	0	1		1	1	0		2	1
Through parent or spousal coverage	3	4	3	4	3	4	1	4	3
Something else	0	1		0	1	1		2	1
Nothing, no insurance, no expenses	5	3	8	3	3	5	2	3	4
Unsure	0	1		0	1	0	1	2	1
Refused	1	_	2	_	0	1	1	•	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	50 <i>7</i>

	MSA cou	ınties	Ethnic	city	Total
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
040 6		urban		Anglo	
Q4 Rating of own personal					
healthcare coverage	22	10	10		
Excellent	23	18	19	22	22
Good	43	45	36	46	44
Only fair	20	25	18	21	21
Poor	10	8	18	8	9
Unsure	4	2	9	2	3
Refused	1	2		1	1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Q5 Pays for most of own		40.	8		
personal healthcare					
expenses			Ť		
Health plan of an employer	44	45	36	46	44
Health plan purchased	0			•	7
individually	8	2	11	6	7
Medicare, the federal			10		2.0
program for seniors	18	30	13	22	20
Medicaid, the state program		2	•	-	_
for the poor	5	3	6	5	5
Out-of-pocket payments by		•	10	4.5	1.2
self	14	9	19	12	13
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care	0	3		1	1
Through parent or spousal	-	_	_	_	_
coverage	3	2	2	3	3
Something else	1	1		1	1
Nothing, no insurance, no	_	_	_	_	_
expenses	5	2	9	3	4
Unsure	0	4	2	1	1
Refused	1	i	2	0	1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	50 <i>7</i>

	Se	ex		Age			Sex &	age		Total
-	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
O4 Betime of own nevernal			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q4 Rating of own personal										
healthcare coverage Excellent	20	23	19	23	23	15	25	25	21	22
Good	44	43	33	41		44	44	30	56	44
Only fair	24	18	25	23		26	23	24	13	21
Poor	6	13	15	8	5	7	4	19	7	9
Unsure	5	2	5	4	3 1	7	3	1	3	3
Refused	1	1	2	1	_	,	1	2	1	1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Number of cases	234	273	140	207	133	112	122	132	141	307
Q5 Pays for most of own				4.0	. 6					
personal healthcare										
expenses				C_{i}	.70					
Health plan of an employer	49	41	48	56	25	57	41	46	36	44
Health plan purchased								_		
individually	7	6	8	5	7	6	7	7	6	7
Medicare, the federal						_		_		
program for seniors	19	21	3	8	53	5	32	5	37	20
Medicaid, the state program		•		_	2			•	4	_
for the poor	4	6	8	5	2	4	4	8	4	5
Out-of-pocket payments by	10	4.5	4.7	1.0	-	1.4	10	22	-	12
self	12	15	17	16	7	14	10	23	7	13
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care	0	1		1	0		1	1	1	1
Through parent or spousal	2		10	_		_		_	-	2
coverage	2	4	10	1		5		7	1	3
Something else	2	0	1	1	1	3	1		1	1
Nothing, no insurance, no			2	-	-	_	2	2	-	
expenses	4	4	2	7	1	5	3	3	5	4
Unsure	1	1	2		1	1	1	1	1	1
Refused	0	1			2		1		1	1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507

		Education	1		Income		Socio-	Total		
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
04.5 6	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Q4 Rating of own personal										
healthcare coverage	17	1.0	27	12	17	2.4	1.5	21	21	22
Excellent	17	18		12	17	34	15	21	31	22
Good	45	44		42	42	44	45	39	48	44
Only fair	23	24		22	24	17	24	24	14	21
Poor	10	7		18	11	4	11	12	5	9
Unsure	4	6	-	6	4		4	4	2	3
Refused	1	1			2		1	1	1	1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q5 Pays for most of own				40.	40.					
personal healthcare										
expenses					.0					
Health plan of an employer	35	36	56	15	44	71	27	46	66	44
Health plan purchased		0		o	6	•	•	8	2	7
individually	8	8	4	٥	6	6	9	0	2	7
Medicare, the federal	27	22	14	30	22	0	20	16	12	20
program for seniors	21	22	14	30	22	8	30	16	12	20
Medicaid, the state program	8	6	3	18	1	1	10	3	1	5
for the poor	0	0	3	10		_	10	3	_	3
Out-of-pocket payments by	9	16	14	10	18	7	10	19	11	13
self	9	10	14	10	10	1	10	19	11	13
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care		2			1	1	1	0	1	1
Through parent or spousal	2	4	2	2	2	6	2	3	4	3
coverage	2	4	3	2	3	О	2	3	4	3
Something else	1	1	0	3	1		2		1	1
Nothing, no insurance, no	•		2	12			-		-	
expenses	6	4	3	12	4		7	4	1	4
Unsure	3	1	0	1	0		2	1	0	1
Refused	1		1	1			1		1	1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	ment	Total
-	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	 -
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
Q4 Rating of own personal								
healthcare coverage								
Excellent	21	21	22	24	25	23	22	22
Good	36	42	48	39	55	39	58	44
Only fair	28	28	18	24	8	28	14	21
Poor	11	8	9	9	8	8	4	9
Unsure	4	1	3	_ 4	3	1	2	3
Refused	1		0			2		1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	<i>507</i>
			- 20	(a. ' Y				
Q5 Pays for most of own				9				
personal healthcare								
expenses			X (1)	X				
Health plan of an employer	58	70	38	53	31	69	25	44
Health plan purchased	5	3	7	8	7	6	5	7
individually	3	4.	10	•	•	J	,	•
Medicare, the federal	2	2	28	8	42	3	53	20
program for seniors	_	_	20	J	72	3	33	20
Medicaid, the state program	9	6	3	1	3	3	3	5
for the poor	3	· ·	3	-	•	3	•	3
Out-of-pocket payments by	16	11	12	17	7	16	7	13
self					•		-	
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care	1		1	1			1	1
Through parent or spousal	2	3	4	8		2		3
coverage	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Something else			1		3		1	1
Nothing, no insurance, no	5	4	4	2	6	2	2	4
expenses	_		_	_	•	_		
Unsure	1	1	1	1	_		2	1
Refused			1	1	1	0	2	1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	ition	Total
	Conser-	Very	Somewhat		Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep.,	
	vative	conser-	conser-	liberal					other	
Q4 Rating of own personal	(all)	vative	vative							
healthcare coverage										
Excellent	21	19	22	22	21	24	19	24	21	22
Good	49	53				39	49	41	42	44
Only fair	17	13			22	26	16	22	23	21
Poor	8	9		11	12	8	12	7	10	9
Unsure	4	3	4	3	_ 3	3	1	6	3	3
Refused	2	3		1	1		2		1	1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	<i>507</i>
Q5 Pays for most of own				40	0,0					
personal healthcare				14/20						
expenses					A. C.					
Health plan of an employer	44	45	43	46	47	44	49	41	45	44
Health plan purchased	9	8	o	6	4	8	7	7	5	7
individually	9				7	0	,	,	,	,
Medicare, the federal	19	23	17	19	18	22	15	19	23	20
program for seniors										
Medicaid, the state program	2	3	2	7	9	4	1	7	6	5
for the poor Out-of-pocket payments by										
self	16	18	15	12	11	13	16	12	13	13
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care				1	1	2	1	1	1	1
Through parent or spousal	_		_	_	_	_	_	_		_
coverage	3		5	4	3	5	5	5	1	3
Something else	1		1	1	1		2		1	1
Nothing, no insurance, no	5	1	. 8	3	4	2	2	6	4	4
expenses	3	_	_	3	4		_	0		4
Unsure	1	2		1	1	1	2	1	0	1
Refused	1	1		1				1	1	_ 1
Number of cases	204	82	? 122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507

					Party id	entifica	tion & id	leology					Total
	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
Q4 Rating of own personal healthcare coverage													
Excellent	19	20	21	22	20	20	20	24	24	25	26	26	22
Good	49	53	42	42	41	40	47	41	43	41	40	39	44
Only fair	16	13	23	22	24	24	18	22	18	18	23	27	21
Poor	12	9	10	7	12	12	10	7	10	10	6	4	9
Unsure	1	1	3	6	2	2	3	6	5	6	5	4	3
Refused	2	3	1		2	1	1						1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68		125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>
Q5 Pays for most of own personal healthcare expenses				. 2	(10)		>						
Health plan of an employer	49	49	45	49	44	44	49	41	38	47	47	47	44
Health plan purchased individually	7	8	5	7	4	5	7	7	7	5	6	7	7
Medicare, the federal program for seniors	15	18	23	21	23	23	17	19	17	14	18	21	20
Medicaid, the state program for the poor	1		6		10	9	1	7	11	11	6	2	5
Out-of-pocket payments by self	16	20	13	12	13	13	15	12	11	11	12	13	13
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care	1		1		1	1	0	1			1	3	1
Through parent or spousal coverage	5	1	1	3			4	5	5	4	4	4	3
Something else	2	1	1	1	1	1	2						1
Nothing, no insurance, no	2	2	4	6	4	3	3	6	8	5	4	3	4
expenses	_			•	•	_	_	_			-	•	
Unsure	2	1	0			1	1	1	1	1	1		1
Refused			1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1		1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507

		Party	identifi	cation by	y sex			Party identification by age					Total
	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q4 Rating of own personal													
healthcare coverage													
Excellent	16		22		20		16	23	20	22	24	24	22
Good	54		41		40		40	59	36	47	32	49	44
Only fair	17		25		30		23	8	22	24	31	14	21
Poor	7		8		1		18	5	16	5	8	6	9
Unsure	2		5	2	9	4	2		5	2	5	6	3
Refused	3			2		- CO.		_4	2				_ 1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Q5 Pays for most of own					40								
personal healthcare					100								
expenses													
Health plan of an employer	52	46	52	38	43	39	52	46	48	43	51	32	44
Health plan purchased	7	7	7	4	5	8	6	8	6	5	8	6	7
individually	•	,	•	7	A 07	, G	U	O	U	,	O	U	,
Medicare, the federal	14	16	20	27	22	17	1	31	7	37	6	31	20
program for seniors	17	10	20	21	22	17	-	31	•	37	U	31	20
Medicaid, the state program		2	4	8	8	7	2		10	2	4	10	5
for the poor		_	•	·	·	•	_			_	•		3
Out-of-pocket payments by	18	15	8	18	11	12	23	9	18	8	17	8	13
self			_					_		_			
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care		1	1			2		1		1	2		1
Through parent or spousal coverage		9	2		5	5	9		2		8	2	3
9	5		1	1			4			1			1
Something else	3		1	1			4			1			_
Nothing, no insurance, no expenses		3	6	2	4	7		3	8	1	2	9	4
Unsure	4			1	1	1	2	1		1	2	1	1
Refused			1	1		1				1		2	1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right	Wrong	Jobs,	Educ.,	Taxes or	Health-	Other	
	direction	track	employ– ment	schools	state budget	care		
Q4 Rating of own personal					zaaget			
healthcare coverage								
Excellent	26	18	20	31	22	16	22	22
Good	47	43	44	41	54	39	39	44
Only fair	20	22	22	19	15	30	19	21
Poor	6	12	8	8	8	15	14	9
Unsure	1	4	5		2	1	5	3
Refused	1	1	1	1			1	1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	<i>507</i>
OF Borra for month of arms			40	.6.				
Q5 Pays for most of own								
personal healthcare				O				
expenses	4.4	47		F2	46	22	2.4	4.4
Health plan of an employer	44	47	49	52	46	32	34	44
Health plan purchased individually	9	5	4	8	18	6	6	7
Medicare, the federal			"lle					
program for seniors	20	19	19	16	17	24	24	20
Medicaid, the state program								
for the poor	6	4	4	8	2	5	8	5
Out-of-pocket payments by								
self	11	17	14	9	10	20	15	13
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care	1	0	1		2		1	1
Through parent or spousal	5	1	2	4	-		5	3
coverage	3	1	3	4	5		3	3
Something else	2		1			4	1	1
Nothing, no insurance, no	2	6	4	2	2	5	6	4
expenses	2	0	7	2	2		U	7
Unsure	0	1	1			3		1
Refused	1	0	0	2			1	1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	<i>507</i>

	Michigan'	s healthcar today	e system	-	sonal healt coverage	hcare	Total
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,	
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
Q4 Rating of own personal							
healthcare coverage	20		20	100			
Excellent	29	14	28	100			22
Good	23	41	51		100		44
Only fair	20	26	17			69	21
Poor	24	13	2			31	9
Unsure	4	3	2				3
Refused		1	1				1
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Q5 Pays for most of own			401 4	0			
personal healthcare							
•							
expenses	40			F C		2.4	4.4
Health plan of an employer	48	43	46	56	50	34	44
Health plan purchased	3	7	7	8	7	4	7
individually							
Medicare, the federal	11	18	24	21	27	13	20
program for seniors			-				
Medicaid, the state program	7	3	7	3	5	7	5
for the poor	•	•	•	•	,	•	•
Out-of-pocket payments by	23	17	8	4	5	29	13
self	23	-,	J	7	3	23	13
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care		0	0	2	0		1
Through parent or spousal	4	3	3	4	3	4	3
coverage	4	3	3	4	3	4	3
Something else		1	1		2	0	1
Nothing, no insurance, no	4	7	1			7	4
expenses	4	7	1			7	4
Unsure .		1	1			2	1
Refused		1	1	1	1		1
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507

		for most of o	own	Importa	nce of Med fam		lf, own	Total	
	Employer plan	Medicare	Self	Very imp.	Somewhat imp.	Not too imp.	Not at all imp.		
Q4 Rating of own personal	•			•	•	•	•		
healthcare coverage	20	22	7	22	21	2.4	22	22	
Excellent	28	22	7	22	21	24	22	22	
Good	49	59	17	39	44	55	43	44	
Only fair	19	14	40	21	26	13	22	21	
Poor	4	5	26	12	4	6	10	9	
Unsure	0		5	4	4	2	2	3	
Refused			6	1			2	1	
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>	
Q5 Pays for most of own personal healthcare expenses			ichiles Redi	dic					
Health plan of an employer	100			33	53	50	50	44	
Health plan purchased		69	, "O.	5	8	6	7	7	
individually			10	,	Ū	· ·	•	•	
Medicare, the federal program for seniors		100	111	26	15	24	15	20	
Medicaid, the state program for the poor				12	4			5	
Out-of-pocket payments by self			100	14	11	6	18	13	
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care					1		2	1	
Through parent or spousal							_	_	
coverage				0	3	12	3	3	
Something else				1	1		1	1	
Nothing, no insurance, no				_		_	_		
expenses				7	2	2	2	4	
Unsure				1	1		2	1	
Refused				0	$\overline{1}$		$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>	

		scribes Mic licaid prog		Least desc	criptive of M	1edicaid in	Michigan	Total
	Account- able	Competent	Resource- ful	Compas- sion	Competent	Efficient	Resource- ful	
Q4 Rating of own personal								
healthcare coverage								
Excellent	26			16		23	26	22
Good	53	40	45	44	58	42	39	44
Only fair	16	18	22	13	18	24	23	21
Poor	5	7	9	25	8	4	8	9
Unsure		6	3	3	3	7	4	3
Refused			1			1		1
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507
Q5 Pays for most of own			40	40				
personal healthcare			100					
expenses				m (4"				
Health plan of an employer	34	16	43	40	35	57	45	44
Health plan purchased	34	70	74	40	33	31	73	77
	17	10	2	4	10	5	7	7
individually								
Medicare, the federal	33	19	17	20	35	11	20	20
program for seniors								
Medicaid, the state program	3	4	8	6	3	4	3	5
for the poor	_	-	•	_	_	-	_	_
Out-of-pocket payments by	7	18	14	18	7	13	16	13
self	•	10		10	_	13	10	13
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care				1	2			1
Through parent or spousal	3	1	3	3	2	3	1	3
coverage	3	_	3	3	2	3	_	3
Something else			5		1	2		1
Nothing, no insurance, no	2	3	4	0	2	2	8	4
expenses	2	3	4	8	3	2	0	4
Unsure			5		2	2		1
Refused			_	1				1
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	<i>507</i>

	Changing the rules to expand coverage			Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	caid scale	Total	
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q4 Rating of own personal									
healthcare coverage									
Excellent	19	26	18	24	18	19	23	22	22
Good	41	46	45	43	45	48	41	44	44
Only fair	22	19	24	18	25	21	23	19	21
Poor	12	5	10	9	8	8	9	11	9
Unsure	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	3
Refused	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q5 Pays for most of own personal healthcare expenses			.46	CO					
Health plan of an employer	39	51	46	37	52	54	41	43	44
Health plan purchased individually	6	8	6	8	5	8	6	6	7
Medicare, the federal program for seniors	18	22	25	22	17	16	23	20	20
Medicaid, the state program for the poor	8	1	2	8	2		6	8	5
Out-of-pocket payments by self	16	11	12	12	16	14	13	14	13
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care	1	1		1	0	1		2	1
Through parent or spousal coverage	5	2	2	4	2	2	4	2	3
Something else	0	1	5	1	0	1	1	1	1
Nothing, no insurance, no expenses	6	3		5	2	3	5	4	4
Unsure	0	2	1	1	1	2	1	0	1
Refused	1		$\overline{1}$	1	0		$\overline{1}$	1	$\overline{1}$
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years re	gistered	to vote		preside mary vo		Genera	al electio	n vote hi	story	Most likely voter	Total
	Less than 10	10-15	More than 15	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
Q4 Rating of own personal	than 10		man 13									
healthcare coverage												
Excellent	24	22	19	24	27	20	22	23	22	23	26	22
Good	35	48	51	52	61	40	43	48	44	49	50	44
Only fair	22	19	22	16	6	24	21	18	20	17	16	21
Poor	13	7	6	4		12	10	7	10	7	6	9
Unsure	6	3	1	3	6	3	3	3	3	2	1	3
Refused	1	2	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q5 Pays for most of own					:0)	10						
personal healthcare				1								
expenses				4.6								
Health plan of an employer	42	47	45	48	39	44	46	48	44	49	49	44
Health plan purchased individually	7	9	5	6	9	6	7	7	7	7	5	7
Medicare, the federal program for seniors	11	23	30	23	42	17	22	24	21	24	27	20
Medicaid, the state program for the poor	6	4	4	5	2	5	3	3	5	3	3	5
Out-of-pocket payments by self	18	11	10	9	4	16	13	10	13	10	9	13
CHAMPVA; Tri-Care		1	1	1		1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Through parent or spousal coverage	7					4	2	1	3		1	3
Something else	2		0	2		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Nothing, no insurance, no expenses	6	4	2	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	4
Unsure	1		1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Refused		1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	<i>373</i>	437	334	483	308	246	507

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	ts	Total
	Tri– County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Q6 Importance of Medicaid program for you & your family									
Very, somewhat important	61	55	68	56	50	57	59	48	55
Very	39	30	51	34	33	35	37	34	35
Somewhat	22		18	22	18	22	21	14	19
Not too important	13	13	13	15	14	15	14	12	14
Not at all important	23	31	13	27	32	25	26	37	29
Unsure	3	1	4	2	2	3	1	2	2
Refused	1		2		1	1	1	1	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important (Medicaid very, somewhat important only)			6	1100	dd				
Health coverage through Medicaid Received nursing home or	34	29	39	32	41	34	40	42	38
long term care paid by Medicaid	7	10	4	9	8	8	7	8	8
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	18	20	15	20	14	17	16	12	16
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	40	41	39	40	34	38	31	38	37
Unsure	1		3		3	2	6		3
Number of cases	123	62	60	89	154	145	58	74	277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified									
Yes	85	79	92	83	76	79	85	76	79
No	11	17	5	14	16	16	9	14	14
Already enrolled	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	3	2
Unsure	3	3	2	3	6	4	2	7	5
Refused	0	1		0	1	0	2		1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507

	MSA co	unties	Ethnic	city	Total
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
Q6 Importance of Medicaid		urban		Anglo	
program for you & your					
family					
Very, somewhat important	58	42	77	50	55
Very	37	28	55	31	35
Somewhat	21	14	22	19	19
Not too important	14	11	6	15	14
Not at all important	26	42	12	32	29
Unsure	2	3	3	2	2
Refused	1	3	2	1	1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Q6a Most important reason					
Medicaid is important			X		
(Medicaid very, somewhat					
important only)					
Health coverage through	37	38	38	37	38
Medicaid		30	30	37	30
Received nursing home or	611.				
long term care paid by	7	11	8	8	8
Medicaid	463				
May need to rely on Medicaid	16	13	13	17	16
benefits in the future	10	13	13		10
Like knowing Medicaid exists	37	36	37	37	37
as safety net					
Unsure	3	2	4	2	3
Number of cases	237	39	69	206	277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid					
program if uninsured,					
needed healthcare, &					
qualified					
Yes	80	79	87	77	79
No	15	10	8	15	14
Already enrolled	1	3	2	1	2
Unsure	4	9	2	5	5
Refused	1	0.4		1	1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507

	Se	X		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or less	41-60	More than 60	Male 18-50	Male 51+	Female	Female 51+	
Q6 Importance of Medicaid			iess		than 60	18-50	21+	18-50	51+	
program for you & your										
family						40				
Very, somewhat important	50	58	53	57	52	48	52	60	57	55
Very	28	41	36	34	36	29	27	39	43	35
Somewhat	22	17	17	23	16	18	25	21	14	19
Not too important	18	10	17	10		19	17	11	10	14
Not at all important	28	29	26	30	30	27	29	29	28	29
Unsure	3	1	3	3	1	5	2		3	2
Refused	1	1	1	207	2	1		122	2	1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important (Medicaid very, somewhat				100						
important only)										
Health coverage through Medicaid	35	39	44	43	24	53	20	46	33	38
Received nursing home or			611.	"O.						
long term care paid by Medicaid	8	8	5	8	10	4	11	7	9	8
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	13	17	16	16	15	8	18	19	16	16
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	41	33	32	31	49	35	46	25	41	37
Unsure	3	2	4	2	2		5	4	1	3
Number of cases	117		78	119		54	64	79		277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified										
Yes	76	82	70	82	84	66	84	78	87	79
No	16	12	22	14	7	23	9	19	6	14
Already enrolled	1	2	3	1	1	3		2	1	2
Unsure	6	3	4	3	7	6	6	1	6	5
Refused	1			1	0	2	1			1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507

	l	Education			Income		Socio-	economic s	status	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
Of Importance of Medicaid	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Q6 Importance of Medicaid program for you & your										
family										
Very, somewhat important	64	53	49	69	51	48	64	46	51	55
Very	50	33		58	29	27	51	22	29	35
Somewhat	13	20	22	11	22	21	13	24	21	19
Not too important	9	16		8	14	18	11	16	15	14
Not at all important	24	27	33	20	30	33	22	33	33	29
Unsure	1	3	2	1	4	1	2	3	2	2
Refused	2		1	2	0	1	1	2		1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important				.00	6.3					
(Medicaid very, somewhat important only)										
Health coverage through			a = 0							
Medicaid	32	49	35	48	42	32	42	37	33	38
Received nursing home or	4.4	-	69.		10	12	•	•	0	•
long term care paid by Medicaid	11	7	· · · · · · · ·	3	10	13	8	8	8	8
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	15	16	14	11	17	13	14	19	13	16
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	39	25	43	33	29	42	35	31	45	37
Unsure	3	4	2	5	2		2	5	1	3
Number of cases	88	76		68	89	69	119	76	76	277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified										
Yes	81	79		84	76	82	81	77	79	79
No	11	15	15	7	19	15	11	17	14	14
Already enrolled	3		1	5	1		3	2		2
Unsure	4	6		4	4	3	5	4	4	5
Refused			1			_			2	1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	/ment	Total
_	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child (all)	double income	adult, no child	time		
Q6 Importance of Medicaid		income	(dii)	income	no crina			
program for you & your family								
Very, somewhat important	58	55	52	46	62	49	54	55
Very	37	32	33	26	54	28	36	35
Somewhat	21	23	18	20	8	20	18	19
Not too important	11	16	15	19	5	14	15	14
Not at all important	29	28	29	29	26	35	27	29
Unsure	1	1	3	4	3	3	1	2
Refused	1		1	1	3		2	1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important (Medicaid very, somewhat important only)			di	a did				
Health coverage through Medicaid	48	37	33	33	30	42	25	38
Received nursing home or long term care paid by Medicaid	9	7	7	9		8	10	8
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	9	12	18	17	19	16	17	16
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	32	43	39	40	49	33	43	37
Unsure	2	2	2	2	2	1	4	3
Number of cases	91	59	175	55	37	104	80	277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified								
Yes	75	75	81	75	90	79	84	79
No	19	20	12	18	8	16	7	14
Already enrolled	4	3	1			1	1	2
Unsure	2	2	5	8	2	4	7	5
Refused			1				2	1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	ition	Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q6 Importance of Medicaid	(uii)	vacive	vacive							
program for you & your family										
Very, somewhat important	46	40				63	40	67	53	55
Very	29	25				43	27	47	30	35
Somewhat	18	15	19	20		20	13	21	23	19
Not too important	14	15				11	15	10	15	14
Not at all important	38	43	34	22	23	21	43	19	28	29
Unsure	1	1	. 1	3	3	3	1	3	2	2
Refused	1		1	1	0	1		1	1	1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important				40	0,0	,				
(Medicaid very, somewhat important only)										
Health coverage through Medicaid	31	20	37	42	43	40	37	38	40	38
Received nursing home or long term care paid by	15	15	15	4	3	6	17	7	4	8
Medicaid			1	69.						
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	17	23	14	14	15	12	20	14	15	16
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	32	36	31	39	38	42	23	38	38	37
Unsure	4	5					2	3	2	3
Number of cases	94	33	62	169	107	62	49	112	105	277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified										
Yes	69	67	71	86	85	90	75	90	73	79
No	22	25	21	8	10	6	22	4	18	14
Already enrolled	1	1				2		1	3	2
Unsure	6	5				3	3	4	4	5
Refused	1	2				-	_		1	1
Number of cases	204	82		282	183	99	122	166	198	<i>507</i>

					Party id	entifica	tion & id	leology					Total
·	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
Q6 Importance of Medicaid program for you & your family							·						
Very, somewhat important	40	39	53	47	58	56	43	67	66	67	68	70	55
Very	27	25	30	24	29	33	26	47	51	54	48	42	35
Somewhat	13	14	23	23	29	24	16	21	14	12	21	28	19
Not too important	15	16	15	13	17	16	14	10	13	15	10	7	14
Not at all important	43	44	28	37	23	23	41	19	18	14	17	20	29
Unsure	1	1	2	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	4	2
Refused			1	1		1	0	1	2	1	1		1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important (Medicaid very, somewhat important only)				2	dio		>						
Health coverage through Medicaid	37	25	40	43	35	37	39	38	39	49	43	38	38
Received nursing home or long term care paid by Medicaid	17	24	4	7	4	4	13	7	7	3	5	7	8
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	20	23	15	14	20	16	17	14	11	8	13	17	16
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	23	25	38	35	38	42	28	38	40	40	38	37	37
Unsure	2	3	2	2	2	1	2	3	3				3
Number of cases	49	35	105	32	57	70	81	112	63	37	83	46	277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified													
Yes	75	72	73	61	79		70	90	87	92	94	96	79
No	22	24	18	28	15	14	24	4	5		1	2	14
Already enrolled			3		4	5		1	2				2
Unsure	3	5	4	7	1	2	5	4	6	8	5	2	5
Refused			1	4			1						1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
_	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
001	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q6 Importance of Medicaid program for you & your family													
Very, somewhat important	44	37	50	56	57	74	39	42	57	49	66	68	55
Very	23	32	25	36	40		28	27	34	27	43	50	35
Somewhat	21	_	24	21	17	23	$\frac{1}{1}$	14	23	23	23	18	19
Not too important	12	18	19	11	19	5	12	19	16	14	12	8	14
Not at all important	43	43	26	31	20	18	48	37	22	34	20	19	29
Unsure	2	1	4	1	4	2	1	2	3	2	2	3	2
Refused			1	1		1			2	1		2	1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important (Medicaid very, somewhat important only)					, ic		>						
Health coverage through Medicaid	26	49	34	47	48	33	50	23	55	25	41	35	38
Received nursing home or long term care paid by Medicaid	20	15	2	7	2 7	7	16	18	2	7	4	9	8
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	20	20	14	16	9	17	11	30	11	19	18	11	16
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	34	12	48	28	32	41	18	29	32	46	33	42	37
Unsure		4	2	2	5		4			3	4	3	3
Number of cases	25	24	53	52	35	77	26	24	54	51	51	61	277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified													
Yes	83		69	79	85		64	87	64	82	90	90	79
No	13	30		13	7		32	10		10	5	4	14
Already enrolled			2	4	2				6	1	1	1	2
Unsure	4	3	4	4	6	4	4	3	1	6	4	5	5
Refused			2						2	1			1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction of	of things		Most important issue						
	Right direction	Wrong track	Jobs, employ- ment	Educ., schools	Taxes or state budget	Health- care	Other			
Q6 Importance of Medicaid										
program for you & your family										
Very, somewhat important	49	59	54	62	51	54	56	55		
Very	27	40	35	35	36	37	37	35		
Somewhat	21	19	19	27	15	17	19	19		
Not too important	16	13	13	12	22	16	12	14		
Not at all important	35	25	29	26	22	30	30	29		
Unsure		3	3		4		2	2		
Refused	0	0	1		1			1		
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507		
Q6a Most important reason										
Medicaid is important (Medicaid very, somewhat			119	io						
important only)										
Health coverage through Medicaid	29	41	44	31	29	37	33	38		
Received nursing home or long term care paid by	8	9	4	16	16	7	6	8		
Medicaid		1	64,							
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	25	11	13	14	19	22	20	16		
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	35	36	38	37	32	31	34	37		
Unsure	3	2	1	2	3	3	7	3		
Number of cases	98	144	124	44	29	29	44	277		
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified										
Yes	76	83	81	83	78	75	77	79		
No	76 15	13	14	11	78 17	75 11	77 17	79 14		
	2	2	14	11		2				
Already enrolled		2			2		3	2		
Unsure	5	2	4	5	3	8	2	5		
Refused	1	245	220	71	F.0	3 53	1 79	1		
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507		

	Michigan'	s healthcai today	e system	-	sonal healt	thcare	Total
-	State of crisis	Major problems	Minor, no problems	Excel- lent	Good	Fair, poor	
Q6 Importance of Medicaid program for you & your family	CHSIS	problems	problems	ient		роог	
Very, somewhat important	59	57	53	54	51	58	55
Very	37	35	36	36	32	38	35
Somewhat	23	22	17	19	20	20	19
Not too important	5	12	17	15	17	9	14
Not at all important	31	29	28	29	28	30	29
Unsure	3	2	2	2	3	1	2
Refused	3	1			1	2	1
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important (Medicaid very, somewhat important only)			digal	Ò			
Health coverage through Medicaid	52	38	31	24	37	44	38
Received nursing home or long term care paid by Medicaid	7	6	11	10	10	5	8
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	21	15	16	12	14	21	16
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	19	37	40	52	38	29	37
Unsure		4	2	1	2	2	3
Number of cases	32	132	105	60	114	89	277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified							
Yes	71	82	80	83	79	79	79
No	23	14	13	11	13	16	14
Already enrolled	2	1	2		2	2	2
Unsure	5	2	5	4	5	2	5
Refused		0	1	2	0		1
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507

		for most of o	own	Importa	nce of Med fam	icaid for se ily	lf, own	Total
-	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
Q6 Importance of Medicaid	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
program for you & your family								
Very, somewhat important	49	59	54	100	100			55
Very	26	45	38	100				35
Somewhat	23	15	16		100			19
Not too important	15	16	6			100		14
Not at all important	32	21	38				100	29
Unsure	4	1						2
Refused		3	2					1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important (Medicaid very, somewhat important only)			inigo	did				
Health coverage through Medicaid	37	19	48	43	28			38
Received nursing home or long term care paid by Medicaid	9	10	3	6	11			8
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	17	20	14	13	21			16
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	36	48	32	37	36			37
Unsure	1	3	3	2	4			3
Number of cases	110	61	37	178	98			277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified								
Yes	85	82	74	87	84	75	68	79
No	12	8	22	7	10	15	25	14
Already enrolled		1		4				2
Unsure	4	7	2	2	6	7	7	5
Refused		2	1			3	1	1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507

	Best describes Michigan's Medicaid program			Least desc	Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan						
	Account-	Competent	Resource-	Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-				
Q6 Importance of Medicaid	able		ful	sion			ful				
program for you & your family											
Very, somewhat important	62	56	70	73	60	58	61	55			
Very	42	34	43	59	29	31	36	35			
Somewhat	20	22	26	15	30	27	25	19			
Not too important	12	13	6	6	15	16	14	14			
Not at all important	22	27	23	18	24	27	20	29			
Unsure .	1	3	1		2		3	2			
Refused	2			3			2	1			
Number of cases	53		74	55	60	90		507			
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important (Medicaid very, somewhat important only)			dio	aid							
Health coverage through Medicaid	41	43	39	32	21	42	39	38			
Received nursing home or long term care paid by Medicaid	13	13	7	14	15	5	4	8			
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	8	14	6	21	21	5	15	16			
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	35	28	45	31	43	42	35	37			
Unsure	2			2		5	7	3			
Number of cases	33	49	52	40	36	52	50	277			
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified											
Yes	90	78	84	90	80	81	86	79			
No	4	21		6	11	16	13	14			
Already enrolled			5	2	4	1		2			
Unsure	2	1		2	6	2	1	5			
Refused	3							1			
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507			

	Changing	the rules to	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q6 Importance of Medicaid program for you & your family									
Very, somewhat important	67	38	59	71	37	19	60	75	55
Very	49	18	36	51	20	8	37	56	35
Somewhat	19	20	23	20	17	11	23	19	19
Not too important	12	17	10	11	16	19	16	6	14
Not at all important	17	44	25	13	45	60	22	13	29
Unsure	3	1	3	3	2	1	1	5	2
Refused	1		3	1	1		1	2	1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important (Medicaid very, somewhat important only)			. 2	1100	d				
Health coverage through Medicaid	38	27	57	38	40	38	34	42	38
Received nursing home or long term care paid by Medicaid	4	15	8	8	8	11	10	4	8
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	17	19	2	14	18	14	21	8	16
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	38	38	29	37	31	33	32	44	37
Unsure	3	1	4	2	3	4	3	2	3
Number of cases	166	74	34	1 <i>6</i> 9	83	23	146	107	277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified									
Ýes	86	69	90	86	72	59	81	93	79
No	8	24	4	9	20	33	11	3	14
Already enrolled	3		2	2	1		2	2	2
Unsure	3	6	4	2	6	6	5	2	5
Refused	_	1	_	_	1	2	_		1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years re	Years registered to vote			presidei mary voi		Genera	al electio	n vote hi	story	Most likely voter	Total
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
Q6 Importance of Medicaid	than 10		than 15									
program for you & your family												
Very, somewhat important	57	55	51	51	72	53	55	54	55	54	52	55
Very	41	33	31	30	59	34	35	32	35	32	33	35
Somewhat	16	22	20	21	14	20	20	22	19	22	19	19
Not too important	14	11	15	12	3	15	13	14	14	13	12	14
Not at all important	27	28	32	36	20	28	29	29	28	29	33	29
Unsure	2	3	2	1	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Refused	1	2	0		2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q6a Most important reason Medicaid is important (Medicaid very, somewhat important only)					1100	aid						
Health coverage through Medicaid	46	33	28	28	30	41	37	31	38	33	27	38
Received nursing home or long term care paid by Medicaid	6	7	11	12	7	7	8	8	8	9	9	8
May need to rely on Medicaid benefits in the future	10	19	21	24	11	14	17	18	16	19	18	16
Like knowing Medicaid exists as safety net	34	41	37	33	52	35	36	40	36	37	46	37
Unsure	5		2	4		3	2	2	2	3	1	3
Number of cases	119	66	89	46	31	199	239	181	265	167	127	277
Q7 Would enroll in Medicaid program if uninsured, needed healthcare, & qualified												
Yes	73	84	83	75	84	80	81	81	79	81	83	79
No	19	9	11	18	5	14	13	13	14	13	11	14
Already enrolled	2	1	1		2	2	0	1	2	1	1	2
Unsure	4	5	5	7	9	4	5	5	5	6	5	5
Refused	1					1	1	0	1	0	0	1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507

		Geo	graphic a	rea		Me	Total		
_	Tri- County	Macomb/ Oakland	Wayne County	Tri- County,	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids-	Other markets	
	(all)	Cos.	County	not			Kapius- K-BC	markets	
	()			Detroit					
Q7a Reason(s) would choose									
not to enroll in the Medicaid									
Program (would not enroll									
only)				~0					
Federal funded healthcare;				40)	28	14	42	20	19
government control			4	V 2					
Only those who truly need	2	2 3		3	13	3		27	10
coverage should get it	_				_	_		10	_
Would get a job	/	' 3	27	8	_	4	11	12	7
Lack of choice in healthcare	11		34	5	5	10	10		7
Abuse of the program	8	10		8	2	4		4	4
Program doesn't work well; inefficient					3	2		3	2
Other negative comments	10	12		10	3	6		7	5
General negative toward Medicaid					4			9	3
Do not want to, don't need to use program	39	48		42	51	44	41	56	47
Other	8	10		9	4	10			5
Don't know; no answer	20		38	_	9	17	8	7	13
Number of cases	23		4		~	41	9	21	71

	MSA counties		Ethni	city	Total	
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;		
		urban		Anglo		
Q7a Reason(s) would choose						
not to enroll in the Medicaid						
Program (would not enroll only)		0				
Federal funded healthcare; government control	22	400	6	21	19	
Only those who truly need coverage should get it	10	11		11	10	
Would get a job	6	16	16	6	7	
Lack of choice in healthcare	8		20	5	7	
Abuse of the program	3	9		4	4	
Program doesn't work well; inefficient	1	8	10	1	2	
Other negative comments	6			6	5	
General negative toward Medicaid	1	11		3	3	
Do not want to, don't need to use program	47	51	26	50	47	
Other	4	13		6	5	
Don't know; no answer	13	8	30	11	13	
Number of cases	62	9	7	64	71	

	Se	x		Age			Sex &	k age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q7a Reason(s) would choose										
not to enroll in the Medicaid										
Program (would not enroll					A .					
only)				- 40						
Federal funded healthcare; government control	16	23	20	26		18	10	15	43	19
Only those who truly need coverage should get it	3	17	9	4	25	4		12	32	10
Would get a job	7	8		17	5	10		8	6	7
Lack of choice in healthcare	11	3	9	7	7	15			10	7
Abuse of the program	5	2	160.	. 62	23		17		9	4
Program doesn't work well; inefficient	2	2			12		7		7	2
Other negative comments	2	9	4	5	7		7	12		5
General negative toward Medicaid	3	2	3		7	4			9	3
Do not want to, don't need to use program	48	46	43	61	L 25	53	38	49	37	47
Other	11		6	4	7	12	8			5
Don't know; no answer	10	16	21		20	5	20	21		13
Number of cases	<i>37</i>	34	32	28	3 11	26	11	25	9	71

	I	Education	1		Income		Socio-ec	onomic s	tatus	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low N	Medium	High	
	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Q7a Reason(s) would choose										
not to enroll in the Medicaid										
Program (would not enroll					A					
only)										
Federal funded healthcare; government control	22	9	25	40	18	27	6	20	31	19
Only those who truly need coverage should get it	8	14		23	11	7	15	4	12	10
Would get a job	4	17	3		5	10	8	9	5	7
Lack of choice in healthcare		6	11		9	5		10	9	7
Abuse of the program	12	4	1/40	11	. 3		12			4
Program doesn't work well; inefficient	5		2	Me	2	3	3		3	2
Other negative comments	5	7	4		9		4	10		5
General negative toward Medicaid		4	3	12		4	4		4	3
Do not want to, don't need to use program	41	51	47	65	43	48	42	43	57	47
Other	5	5	6	12		5	4	4	9	5
Don't know; no answer	18	13	10		19	10	21	16		13
Number of cases	16	22	33	7	² 33	22	21	28	22	71

		Но	usehold ty	pe		Emplo	Total	
_	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
Q7a Reason(s) would choose								
not to enroll in the Medicaid				_				
Program (would not enroll								
only)								
Federal funded healthcare; government control	25	25	13	5	19	29)	19
Only those who truly need			4/1/2					
coverage should get it	3	5	15	18	17	9	27	10
Would get a job	12	12	4	8		10	5	7
Lack of choice in healthcare	4	5	9	7	18	10	_	7
Abuse of the program	_		6	9			7	4
Program doesn't work well;				2			12	2
inefficient			3	3			13	2
Other negative comments	5		6	7		8	7	5
General negative toward			5	5	17	3	8	3
Medicaid			,	,	17	3	0	3
Do not want to, don't need to use program	55	69	40	47	16	50	25	47
Other	6	9	5	6	16	9	8	5
Don't know; no answer	12		13	3	14		21	13
Number of cases	30	21	40	21	5	35	10	71

			Ideo	logy			Party identification			
	Conser- vative	Very conser-	Somewhat conser-	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
	(all)	vative	vative							
Q7a Reason(s) would choose										
not to enroll in the Medicaid					_					
Program (would not enroll										
only)										
Federal funded healthcare;	25	30	21	6	7		25		17	19
government control	23	30	21				23		17	19
Only those who truly need	9	11	7	12	10	18	13	15	7	10
coverage should get it					10				,	10
Would get a job	6	3		11	8	21	10	17	4	7
Lack of choice in healthcare	5	5	-	12	15		4		10	7
Abuse of the program	6	4	7				3	16	2	4
Program doesn't work well; inefficient	1	3	4	3	4		3		2	2
Other negative comments	8	4	11				8	22		5
General negative toward Medicaid				8	10		4		2	3
Do not want to, don't need to use program	40	40	40	60	55	79	41	10	58	47
Other	9	13	5				4		7	5
Don't know; no answer	15	8	20	7	8		14	21	10	13
Number of cases	46	20) 26	24	18	5	27	7	36	71

					Party id	lentifica	tion & i	deology					Total
	GOP	Cons	Indep	Cons	Mod	M/L	GOP+	Dem	Cons/	Mod	M/L	Lib	
	(all)	GOP	(all)	Indep	Indep	Indep	cons	(all)	mod	Dem	Dem	Dem	
							Indep		Dem				
Q7a Reason(s) would choose													
not to enroll in the Medicaid													
Program (would not enroll						.0.							
only)						3 2 .							
Federal funded healthcare;	25	31	17	25	9	8	25						19
government control		-											
Only those who truly need	13	11	7	3	6	11	9	15	20				10
coverage should get it			_		#							400	
Would get a job	10	13	4		10		_				100	100	7
Lack of choice in healthcare	4	5	10	2	19	16							/
Abuse of the program	3	4	2	4			3	16	21				4
Program doesn't work well; inefficient	3		2	3			3						2
Other negative comments	8	10					5	22	30				5
General negative toward	4		2		6	5	2						3
Medicaid	•		_		·	•	_						•
Do not want to, don't need to use program	41	34	58	54	56	62	46	10	14				47
Other	4	6	7	14			8						5
Don't know; no answer	14	18	10	11	10	9	13	21	14				13
Number of cases	27	21	36	19	15	17	46	7	5		1	1	71

		Party	Party identification by sex						identifi	cation b	y age		Total
_	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q7a Reason(s) would choose													
not to enroll in the Medicaid													
Program (would not enroll													
only)													
Federal funded healthcare; government control	17	28	16	19	4.0	6. %	18	50	16	20			19
Only those who truly need coverage should get it	13	12		20	10)	41	10	24	4	14	28		10
Would get a job		14	6		26		10	10	5		31		7
Lack of choice in healthcare	16		12	8			5		11	9			7
Abuse of the program	10			6	25			13		7		33	4
Program doesn't work well; inefficient	10			5	M.			13		6			2
Other negative comments	10	7			7	59	7	13			41		5
General negative toward Medicaid	13			7			5			8			3
Do not want to, don't need to use program	18	49	61	50	16	5	43	31	63	45		22	47
Other	16		11				6		7	8			5
Don't know; no answer		20	9	13	33	}	18		12	7		44	13
Number of cases	7	19	24	12	4	1 3	21	6	26	11	4	3	71

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	issue	sue		
	Right	Wrong	Jobs,	Educ.,	Taxes or	Health-	Other		
	direction	track	employ-	schools	state	care			
			ment		budget				
Q7a Reason(s) would choose									
not to enroll in the Medicaid									
Program (would not enroll									
only)			. 0	7					
Federal funded healthcare; government control	19	21	20	10	9	20	40	19	
Only those who truly need coverage should get it	8	13	8			42	14	10	
Would get a job	2	15	5		37			7	
Lack of choice in healthcare	7	9 8	7	33	3			7	
Abuse of the program		8	6			13		4	
Program doesn't work well; inefficient	2	2	111			23		2	
Other negative comments		11	5			13	10	5	
General negative toward Medicaid	3	3	3			14		3	
Do not want to, don't need to use program	55	33	49	67	7 66	17	40	47	
Other	4	6					14	5	
Don't know; no answer	14	10	15		23	13	5	13	
Number of cases	31	<i>32</i>	31	8	3 10	6	14	71	

	Michigan'	s healthcai today	re system	-	sonal healt coverage	thcare	Total
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,	
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
Q7a Reason(s) would choose							
not to enroll in the Medicaid							
Program (would not enroll							
only)							
Federal funded healthcare; government control	30	15	20	15	17	19	19
Only those who truly need coverage should get it	5	11	11	6	14	9	10
Would get a job	16	10		9	9	7	7
Lack of choice in healthcare	12	611.	14		9	6	7
Abuse of the program		2	7	15		3	4
Program doesn't work well; inefficient		4				5	2
Other negative comments	12		9	6		12	5
General negative toward Medicaid		3	4		6		3
Do not want to, don't need to use program	55	50	39	51	52	42	47
Other			15	7	11		5
Don't know; no answer	6	23	3	18	5	15	13
Number of cases	12	33	25	12	29	25	71

		for most of healthcare	own	Importa	lf, own	Total		
	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
Q7a Reason(s) would choose								
not to enroll in the Medicaid				_				
Program (would not enroll			-	0				
only)								
Federal funded healthcare; government control	31	16	7	10	20	27	24	19
Only those who truly need coverage should get it	10	27	6	15		10	11	10
Would get a job	9	7	14	_		10	8	7
Lack of choice in healthcare	11	6-3	8		27		3	7
Abuse of the program			12				7	4
Program doesn't work well; inefficient	2		111				4	2
Other negative comments	5		10				10	5
General negative toward Medicaid	4	10					5	3
Do not want to, don't need to use program	47	39	35	62	34	100	30	47
Other	12	10		7	12		5	5
Don't know; no answer		18	21	31	7		13	13
Number of cases	26	8	15	12	10	11	36	71

		escribes Mic dicaid prog		Least des	criptive of N	1edicaid in	Michigan	Total
-	Account-	Competent	Resource-	Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-	
	able		ful	sion			ful	
Q7a Reason(s) would choose								
not to enroll in the Medicaid				_				
Program (would not enroll								
only)			, al					
Federal funded healthcare; government control		12		10	20	47		19
Only those who truly need		10		31	28			10
coverage should get it Would get a job			25	O.				7
Lack of choice in healthcare		19	0 40				24	7
Abuse of the program		19 6	17				10	4
Program doesn't work well;		J						
inefficient			1/2		11			2
Other negative comments		8	17				14	5
General negative toward Medicaid					28			3
Do not want to, don't need to use program	64	42	16	100	11	69	31	47
Other	36	5	42		29		7	5
Don't know; no answer		25				12	13	13
Number of cases	2	2 18	5	3	6	14	11	71

	Changing the rules to expand coverage				iew about icaid	Attitude to	caid scale	Total	
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program,	Flawed, needs	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q7a Reason(s) would choose not to enroll in the Medicaid				essential	reform				
Program (would not enroll only)				011	\$				
Federal funded healthcare; government control		28		5	26	29	8		19
Only those who truly need coverage should get it	15	8		8	11	8	14		10
Would get a job	6	9		5	9	8	4	29	7
Lack of choice in healthcare	14	4	1/4	17	3	5		71	7
Abuse of the program		5			6	7			4
Program doesn't work well; inefficient		3	1		3	3			2
Other negative comments	7	5			8	6	5		5
General negative toward Medicaid		4			4	5			3
Do not want to, don't need to use program	58	44	21	52	43	44	58		47
Other		4	79	4	4	5	7		5
Don't know; no answer	10	14		23	9	8	21		13
Number of cases	20	48	3	22	45	39	28	4	71

	Years registered to vote				preside mary vo		General election vote history				Most likely voter	Total
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
	than 10		than 15									
Q7a Reason(s) would choose												
not to enroll in the Medicaid												
Program (would not enroll						\circ						
only)												
Federal funded healthcare;	18	30	15	23	A. 0.5	19	22	23	20	25	20	19
government control												
Only those who truly need	9	17	7	8		11	7	11	10	10	14	10
coverage should get it	_		1.0				-					
Would get a job	3	10	16	3		9	9	12	8	13	11	_
Lack of choice in healthcare	6	21		13		5	8	11	5	9	7	7
Abuse of the program	3		8	9	NO.	2	4	3	4	4	5	4
Program doesn't work well; inefficient	2		4	- 1		3	2	3	2	3	5	2
Other negative comments	3		12	5		6	6	2	5	2	3	5
General negative toward Medicaid	2	8				3	1	2	3	2	3	3
Do not want to, don't need to												
use program	50	32	51	31	27	53	45	51	49	50	53	47
Other	5		10	12		4	3	5	6	5		5
Don't know; no answer	17		11	9	73	· =	13	5	11	5	8	13
Number of cases	41	11	19	16	2		59	44	68	40	28	71

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	edia market	is	Total
	Tri- County	Macomb/ Oakland	Wayne County	Tri- County,	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids-	Other markets	
	(all)	Cos.		not Detroit			K-BC		
Q8a Best describes Michigan's									
Medicaid program									
Compassion	10	12	7	12	6	9	5	6	7
Accountable	9	8	11	8	11	9	15	9	10
Honest	5	4	5	4	3	4	2	2	3
Competent	15	16	14	19	19	17	19	17	17
Efficient	10		12	8	9	10	11	8	10
Resourceful	17	13	23	13	13	15	12	16	15
None of them; other	11	11	11	10	22	13	21	24	18
Unsure	22	28	14	25	16	21	15	15	18
Refused	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	2	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Oth Loost descriptive of			4.3						
Q8b Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan				•					
Compassion	11	9	14	11	10	11	9	11	11
Accountable	8	8	7	8	10 10	9	8	11	9
Honest	7	6	7	6	10 10	7	7	12	9
Competent	12	13	10	12	12	11	14	12	12
Efficient	17	14	20	16	18	17	19	18	18
Resourceful	21	19	23	19	13	20	17	10	16
None of them; other	6	7	5	6	9	5	11	9	8
Unsure	18	-	13	20	17	18	14	19	18
Refused	1	2	13	1	0	1		13	1
Number of cases	202		89	158	305	254	99	154	50 <i>7</i>

	MSA cou	unties	Ethni	city	Total
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
		urban		Anglo	
Q8a Best describes Michigan's					
Medicaid program					
Compassion	8	6	8	7	7
Accountable	10	11	12	10	10
Honest	4	1	5	3	3
Competent	17	20	9	19	17
Efficient	10	5	16	8	10
Resourceful	15	14	31	11	15
None of them; other	16	25	11	19	18
Unsure	19	16	7	20	18
Refused	1	3	2	1	1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>
	1/4	0			
Q8b Least descriptive of					
Medicaid in Michigan	1/1				
Compassion	11	8	17	10	11
Accountable	10	7	11	9	9
Honest	7	13	11	8	9
Competent	11	15	10	12	12
Efficient	19	14	13	19	18
Resourceful	16	15	17	16	16
None of them; other	8	7	7	8	8
Unsure	17	21	12	19	18
Refused	1		2	1	_ 1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507

	Se	ex		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q8a Best describes Michigan's Medicaid program										
Compassion	7	7	11	6	6	8	7	9	6	7
Accountable	9	11	9	9	14	7	11	13	10	10
Honest	5	2	1	4	5	1	8		4	3
Competent	20		11	22		19	22	12	17	17
Efficient	6	12	12	10		5	8	14	11	10
Resourceful	12	17	15	18		18	6	19	15	15
None of them; other	19	17	19	18		18	20	15	19	18
Unsure	18		21	13		21	16	19	17	18
Refused	2		1	1	3	3	2		1	1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Q8b Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan				10.						
Compassion	7	14	11	12	8	9	5	12	15	11
Accountable	11	8	8	10		7	14	9	7	9
Honest	5	12	7	12		6	3	15	9	9
Competent	13		10	11		10	15	8	14	12
Efficient	19		24	17		22	16	21	13	18
Resourceful	22		15	16		22	22	12	11	16
None of them; other	6	9	7	10		5	7	9	9	8
Unsure	17	18	15	13		17	17	13	23	18
Refused	1	1	2		0	1	1	1		1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507

	l	Education	1		Income		Socio-	economic s	tatus	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Q8a Best describes Michigan's										
Medicaid program										
Compassion	7	8		8	_	11	6	7	9	7
Accountable	12	11		14	12	8	14	8	9	10
Honest	5	2		5	3	2	6	1	3	3
Competent	15	23		12	17	20	14	22	16	17
Efficient	12	8		10		12	9	9	10	10
Resourceful	19	15	12	24		7	20	14	9	15
None of them; other	10	21	21	16	20	17	15	20	20	18
Unsure	19	11	21	8	19	22	16	17	22	18
Refused	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	3	0	1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q8b Least descriptive of			100	10						
Medicaid in Michigan			4	647						
Compassion	11	12	10	10	13	11	11	11	10	11
Accountable	10	7		9		11	10	6	12	9
Honest	10	10		8		11	7	13	6	9
Competent	16	12		23	10	7	17	10	8	12
Efficient	12	16		16		19	13	19	20	18
Resourceful	13	18		14		18	13	18	18	16
None of them; other	6	10	7	10	6	6	8	9	7	8
Unsure	21	13		10		16	19	14	19	18
Refused	1	1	0		2	1	2		0	1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	<i>507</i>

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	yment	Total
_	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
Q8a Best describes Michigan's								
Medicaid program			_			_	_	_
Compassion	11	11	6	4	3	9	5	7
Accountable	6	7	13	_ 14	18	9	14	10
Honest	2	3	4	3	6	2	5	3
Competent	17	17	18	20	16	18	18	17
Efficient	8	9	10	13	11	8	8	10
Resourceful	18	17	13	10		13	12	15
None of them; other	18	18	18	20	10	22	19	18
Unsure	16	16	18	17	17	19	18	18
Refused	2	2	1		2	1	2	1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q8b Least descriptive of			1/10					
Medicaid in Michigan			1/2					
Compassion	11	11	11	10	7	9	11	11
Accountable	12	12	8	8	6	10	10	9
Honest	13	11	7	8	7	8	7	9
Competent	5	6	15	12	16	8	18	12
Efficient	24	25	14	17	15	23	10	18
Resourceful	14	14	18	21	15	17	13	16
None of them; other	8	7	7	5	8	6	9	8
Unsure	12	12	19	18	26	17	22	18
Refused	1	2	0	1		2		1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	50 <i>7</i>

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	ition	Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative		Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q8a Best describes Michigan's										
Medicaid program	_	_	_		_		_	_	_	_
Compassion	5	3				11	7	9	7	7
Accountable	9	7				12	6	13	11	10
Honest	1	3	0	_		5	1	6	3	3
Competent	20	20				15	23	14	17	17
Efficient	9	11				9	10	13	7	10
Resourceful	15	13				15	11	22	11	15
None of them; other	21	27	17	16	16	17	22	11	22	18
Unsure	18	17		17	18	14	19	12	21	18
Refused	1		2	1	1	3	2	1	1	1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q8b Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan				Hickory						
Compassion	8	6			11	18	8	15	9	11
Accountable	12	10	13	7	6	9	10	9	9	9
Honest	10	8	11	8	10	5	11	8	8	9
Competent	9	11				14	9	10	14	12
Efficient	18	19	18	16	13	23	15	20	15	18
Resourceful	16	16	17	17	18	15	16	16	18	16
None of them; other	9	15	5	6	9	2	10	5	9	8
Unsure	17	15	19	17	19	12	20	14	17	18
Refused	1		1	1	1	1	1	1		1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	<i>507</i>

					Party id	entifica	tion & id	leology					Total
	GOP	Cons	Indep	Cons	Mod	M/L	GOP+	Dem	Cons/	Mod	M/L	Lib	
	(all)	GOP	(all)	Indep	Indep	Indep	cons Indep	(all)	mod Dem	Dem	Dem	Dem	
Q8a Best describes Michigan's							шаер		Dem				
Medicaid program													
Compassion	7	8	7	5	9	9	6	9	7	12	12	12	7
Accountable	6	5	11	9	10		7	13	13	9	11	13	10
Honest	1	1	3	2	3	4	1	6	6	9	6	4	3
Competent	23	24	17	20	18	16	22	14	13	14	15	16	17
Efficient	10	9	7	4	10		8	13	17	14	11	8	10
Resourceful	11	11	11	10	12		11	22	23	20	18	16	15
None of them; other	22	23	22	30	16	17	25	11	8	11	14	16	18
Unsure	19	18	21	20	21	21	20	12	12	10	12	13	18
Refused	2	2	1	11/2	1	2	1	1	1		1	2	1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>
Q8b Least descriptive of													
Medicaid in Michigan													
Compassion	8	7	9	5	10	12	7	15	14	13	16	18	11
Accountable	10	11	9	18	5	5	13	9	8	9	9	9	9
Honest	11	11	8	6	12	9	9	8	11	10	7	5	9
Competent	9	10	14	7	18	18	9	10	10	10	11	12	12
Efficient	15	13	15	25	10	11	19	20	13	11	21	29	18
Resourceful	16	16	18	14	20	21	15	16	18	16	14	12	16
None of them; other	10	11	9	9	9	7	10	5	7	8	5	2	8
Unsure	20	19	17	16	17	17	18	14	17	20	15	11	18
Refused	1	2					1	1	2	3	2	1	1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q8a Best describes Michigan's													
Medicaid program													
Compassion	10		7	7	6		7	6		6	9	8	7
Accountable	4	8	14		6	17	5	8	11	11	15	11	10
Honest	1		5		7	5		1		6	2	9	3
Competent	16	29	22		22		25	20		20	10	18	17
Efficient	8	11	2		13		10	10		4	9	17	10
Resourceful	12	10	7	15	21	22	9	14		7	31	13	15
None of them; other	21	22	21			11	21	22	17	26	11	10	18
Unsure	23	16	18	24	13	12	20	18	24	18	13	11	18
Refused	4		2		. 1	1	2	1	2	1		2	1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Q8b Least descriptive of				1	NO								
Medicaid in Michigan													
Compassion	4	11	6		12		7	8		8	14	16	11
Accountable	6	14	12		16		13	7	6	13	7	10	9
Honest	5	16	5		4		11	11		5	10	7	9
Competent	11	8	14		12		4	15		14	6	15	12
Efficient	16	14	18		18		17	13		12	27	15	18
Resourceful	17	14	28	-	18		17	15		19	17	15	16
None of them; other	10	10	6		4	-	8	12	9	8	5	6	8
Unsure	30	11	11	24	13	15	20	19	12	21	13	15	18
Refused		2			4		2				2	1	_ 1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right	Wrong	Jobs,	Educ.,	Taxes or	Health-	Other	
	direction	track	employ-	schools	state	care		
			ment		budget			
Q8a Best describes Michigan's								
Medicaid program								
Compassion	8	8	8	9	8	6	7	7
Accountable	10	11	11_	11	13	13	7	10
Honest	3	3	3	3	8	2	2	3
Competent	19	16	21 9	14	22	13	10	17
Efficient	13	9	9	6	11	15	10	10
Resourceful	13	17	12	19	14	11	24	15
None of them; other	18	18	17	12	17	17	23	18
Unsure	14	18	19	22	6	21	18	18
Refused	1	1	1	3	1	2		1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	<i>79</i>	507
Q8b Least descriptive of			No					
Medicaid in Michigan								
Compassion	8	13	13	12	10	10	5	11
Accountable	9	8	6	9	13	6	15	9
Honest	12	7	10	11	7	9	3	9
Competent	12	13	9	13	21	11	12	12
Efficient	21	17	21	17	10	16	17	18
Resourceful	17	15	14	17	18	21	15	16
None of them; other	7	6	7	4	11	7	12	8
Unsure	13	20	18	16	9	20	21	18
Refused	1		1					1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	<i>507</i>

	Michigan'	s healthcai today	e system	-	rsonal healt coverage	hcare	Total	
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,		
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor		
Q8a Best describes Michigan's								
Medicaid program								
Compassion	5	9	6	8	8	6	7	
Accountable	11	7	14	13	13	7	10	
Honest	1	3	5	2	4	5	3	
Competent	14	15	21	23	16	14	17	
Efficient	6	12	9	5	9	13	10	
Resourceful	8	16	16	14	15	15	15	
None of them; other	37	20	11	13	18	19	18	
Unsure	16	17	17	21	15	18	18	
Refused	3	2	1		2	2	1	
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507	
Q8b Least descriptive of		4/						
Medicaid in Michigan		10		•		4.5		
Compassion	11	10	11	8	11	13	11	
Accountable	21	10	6	12	5	13	9	
Honest	6	12	5	7	10	7	9	
Competent	11	11	13	7	16	10	12	
Efficient	13	19	19	19	17	16	18	
Resourceful	15	16		20	14	16	16	
None of them; other	14	5	9	7	9	6	8	
Unsure	9	16	19	20	17	16	18	
Refused			1		1	1	1	
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507	

		for most of o	own	Importa	nce of Med fam		lf, own	Total
	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
Q8a Best describes Michigan's								
Medicaid program								
Compassion	9	7	5	7	12	10	4	7
Accountable	8	17	6	_ 13	11	9	8	10
Honest	3	5	2	5	2	7	2	3
Competent	18	16	23	17	20	17	16	17
Efficient	7	7	12	12	11	12	5	10
Resourceful	14	12	16	18	20	7	12	15
None of them; other	21	15	21	14	12	20	26	18
Unsure	19	19 🌢	14	14	13	19	25	18
Refused	1	2	2 68	1			3	1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Q8b Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan		•	Hic					
Compassion	10	10	14	18	8	5	7	11
Accountable	9	7	12	7	7	15	11	9
Honest	9	3	8	7	6	7	13	9
Competent	9	21	6	10	18	13	10	12
Efficient	23	10	18	16	25	20	17	18
Resourceful	16	16	20	17	21	16	11	16
None of them; other	6	11	8	9	5	10	7	8
Unsure	18	22	12	17	11	12	24	18
Refused	0	1	2	1		2		1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>

		scribes Mic dicaid prog		Least des	criptive of N	1edicaid in	Michigan		
	Account-	Competent	Resource-	Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-		
	able		ful	sion			ful		
Q8a Best describes Michigan's									
Medicaid program									
Compassion					7	12	13	7	
Accountable	100)		15		9	18	10	
Honest				5	5	4	3	3	
Competent		100		23		23		17	
Efficient				22	9		19	10	
Resourceful			100	14		23		15	
None of them; other				15	24	19	5	18	
Unsure				3 3	8	8 2	11	18	
Refused								1	
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	<i>507</i>	
Q8b Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan			Me						
Compassion	16	14	11	100				11	
Accountable		12						9	
Honest	6							9	
Competent	20)	24		100			12	
Efficient	16		28			100		18	
Resourceful	28						100	16	
None of them; other	7		8					8	
Unsure	7	' 3	9					18	
Refused			2					1	
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507	

	Changing	the rules to coverage	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q8a Best describes Michigan's									
Medicaid program									
Compassion	9	6	5	10	6	5	7	11	7
Accountable	13	8	8	15	8	8	11	12	10
Honest	4	3		4	2	1	4	4	3
Competent	12	24	18	16	20	25	15	15	17
Efficient	13	6	6	12	6	4	14	7	10
Resourceful	20	10	9 👞	19	11	7	17	18	15
None of them; other	13	24	13	10	28	29	14	15	18
Unsure	14	17	40	12	19	19	19	16	18
Refused	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q8b Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan			13						
Compassion	18	3	5	14	6	3	11	17	11
Accountable	9	10	4	10	9	10	10	6	9
Honest	8	11	3	9	8	10	9	7	9
Competent	12	12	10	12	14	12	10	15	12
Efficient	15	19	24	18	18	18	18	16	18
Resourceful	15	20	8	17	16	17	15	17	16
None of them; other	7	8	10	5	9	10	7	7	8
Unsure	15	16	35	14	20	20	19	14	18
Refused	1			1			1	1	1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years re	Years registered to vote			preside mary vo		General election vote history				Most likely voter	Total
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
OS- Best describes Michigania	than 10		than 15									
Q8a Best describes Michigan's												
Medicaid program	9	7	6	-	2	8	7	7	7	7	6	7
Compassion Accountable	9	16		5 5	3 17		=	9	=	9		10
	9			3	1/	11	10 3	3	11 3	3	10	10 3
Honest	4 15	2 16		20	17	4 17	18	20	17	19	4 20	3 17
Competent Efficient	13	8		20	14	10	9	20 8	9	8	20	10
	16	10	_	10	26	14	_	•	15	13	12	15
Resourceful	13	21	20	10 28	26 8	16	14 18	14 20	19	21	13 22	18
None of them; other Unsure	19	18		24		17	19	18	18	18	16	18
Refused	19	10	2		16 3		2	2	_	2		10
	209			2 91	43	3 <i>73</i>	437	334	1 483	308	2 246	507
Number of cases	209	119	173	91	43	373	437	334	403	300	240	307
Q8b Least descriptive of												
Medicaid in Michigan					V.							
Compassion	12	7	11	7	15	11	11	12	11	11	12	11
Accountable	11	6		9	3	10	9	9	9	9	9	9
Honest	7	11	7	7	2	10	8	9	8	8	8	9
Competent	10	13		10	19	11	12	13	12	13	13	12
Efficient	18	15	19	19	17	18	17	17	18	17	19	18
Resourceful	18	15	15	18	11	16	16	15	15	16	16	16
None of them; other	6	10		7	9	8	7	6	8	6	5	8
Unsure	15	23	18	24	23	15	20	19	18	19	18	18
Refused	1		0	2-1	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
Number of cases	209	119	-	91	43	3 <i>73</i>	437	334	483	308	246	50 <i>7</i>

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	ts	Total
_	Tri- County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Q9a Like most about				Detroit					
Michigan's Medicaid program									
Like most; positive comments	62	56	69	57	60	62	66	55	61
Covers people who need it; safety net	34	24	48	29	29	32	29	31	31
Program helps/covers children	4	3	6	2	7	5	5	8	6
Covers other people	3	5	11/10	3	4	3	7	2	4
Elderly; older folks	3	2	4	2	3	4	2	2	3
Choices in coverage	0	1		0	2	1	2	2	1
Other positive comments	6	7	5.	7	3	5	6	2	4
General positive toward program	15	18	11	17	17	16	21	14	16
Like least; negative comments	5	4	6	5	7	5	8	7	6
Medicare response given	1	1	1	0		0			0
Other					0			0	0
Nothing; none	5	6	4	6	6	6	4	8	6
Don't know; no answer	28	33	22	31	29	28	27	32	29
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507

	MSA co	unties	Ethni	city	Total
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
		urban		Anglo	
Q9a Like most about					
Michigan's Medicaid program					
Like most; positive	63	48	76	57	61
comments	03	70	70	37	01
Covers people who need it;	33	25	43	29	31
safety net	33		73	23	31
Program helps/covers	91	10	4	6	6
children		± 7	•	Ū	· ·
Covers other people	4	3	1	4	4
Elderly; older folks	3	3	4	2	3
Choices in coverage	2	0	2	1	1
Other positive comments	5	2	8	4	4
General positive toward	17	13	17	16	16
program	170	13	17	10	10
Like least; negative	6	8		8	6
comments	U	J		· ·	U
Medicare response given	0		1	0	0
Other		1		0	0
Nothing; none	5	8	5	6	6
Don't know; no answer	27	36	19	31	29
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507

	Se	x		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q9a Like most about Michigan's Medicaid program										
Like most; positive comments	57	64	62	63	56	61	53	64	63	61
Covers people who need it; safety net	29	33	28	32	34	29	29	31	36	31
Program helps/covers children	5	7	8	6	4	7	2	8	6	6
Covers other people	4	3	2	5	3	3	5	4	2	4
Elderly; older folks	4	2	2	4	2	4	4	1	3	3
Choices in coverage		2	2	1	. 1			2	2	1
Other positive comments	3	6	4	5	4	4	2	6	6	4
General positive toward program	16	16	21	14	14	17	14	16	16	16
Like least; negative comments	7	6	4	5	9	6	7	4	7	6
Medicare response given		0			1				1	0
Other	0				0		1			0
Nothing; none	5	6	5	7	6	4	7	6	7	6
Don't know; no answer	33	25	30	27	30	32	34	25	25	29
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	7 153	112	122	132	141	507

Covers people who need it; safety net 29 28 34 35 27 32 29 32 33 3 3 Program helps/covers 5 7 6 9 6 5 6 5 7 Covers other people 5 4 2 4 4 4 6 1 4 Elderly; older folks 3 2 3 2 4 3 4 1 4 Choices in coverage 2 2 0 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 Other positive comments 5 5 3 10 2 3 6 5 2	_	Education				Income		Socio-	status	Total	
Q9a Like most about Michigan's Medicaid program Like most; positive comments 61 60 60 79 56 56 66 53 61 6 Covers people who need it; safety net 29 28 34 35 27 32 29 32 33 3 Program helps/covers children 5 7 6 9 6 5 6 5 7 Covers other people 5 4 2 4 4 4 6 1 4 Elderly; older folks 3 2 3 2 4 4 4 1 4 Choices in coverage 2 2 0 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 Other positive comments 5 5 3 10 2 3 6 5 2 General positive toward 19 15 15 26 15 12 20 13 14		HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
Michigan's Medicaid program Like most; positive comments 61 60 60 79 56 56 66 53 61 6 Covers people who need it; safety net 29 28 34 35 27 32 29 32 33 3 Program helps/covers children 5 7 6 9 6 5 6 5 7 Covers other people 5 4 2 4 4 4 6 1 4 Elderly; older folks 3 2 3 2 4 4 4 1 4 Choices in coverage 2 2 0 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 Other positive comments 5 5 3 10 2 3 6 5 2 General positive toward 19 15 15 26 15 12 20 13 14 1 </th <th></th> <th>less</th> <th>college</th> <th>graduate</th> <th>less</th> <th>\$70K</th> <th>\$70K</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Like most; positive comments 61 60 60 79 56 56 66 53 61 6 Covers people who need it; safety net 29 28 34 35 27 32 29 32 33 3 Program helps/covers children 5 7 6 9 6 5 6 5 7 Covers other people 5 4 2 4 4 4 6 1 4 Elderly; older folks 3 2 3 2 4 3 4 1 4 Choices in coverage 2 2 0 1 2 1 2 1 1 Other positive comments 5 5 3 10 2 3 6 5 2 General positive toward 19 15 15 26 15 12 20 13 14 10											
comments 61 60 60 79 36 36 66 33 61 6 Covers people who need it; safety net 29 28 34 35 27 32 29 32 33 3 Program helps/covers children 5 7 6 9 6 5 6 5 7 Covers other people 5 4 2 4 4 4 6 1 4 Elderly; older folks 3 2 3 2 4 3 4 1 4 Choices in coverage 2 2 0 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 Other positive comments 5 5 3 10 2 3 6 5 2 General positive toward 19 15 15 26 15 12 20 13 14 1											
Covers people who need it; safety net 29 28 34 35 27 32 29 32 33 3 3	Like most; positive	61	60	60	79	56	56	66	53	61	61
safety net 29 28 34 35 27 32 29 32 33 3 Program helps/covers children 5 7 6 9 6 5 6 5 7 Covers other people 5 4 2 4 4 4 6 1 4 Elderly; older folks 3 2 3 2 4 3 4 1 4 Choices in coverage 2 2 0 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 Other positive comments 5 5 3 10 2 3 6 5 2 General positive toward 19 15 15 26 15 12 20 13 14 1		01	00	00		30	30	00	33	01	01
children 3 7 6 9 6 3 7 Covers other people 5 4 2 4 4 4 6 1 4 Elderly; older folks 3 2 3 2 4 3 4 1 4 Choices in coverage 2 2 0 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 Other positive comments 5 5 3 10 2 3 6 5 2 General positive toward 19 15 15 26 15 12 20 13 14 1	safety net	29	28	34	35	27	32	29	32	33	31
Elderly; older folks 3 2 3 2 4 3 4 1 4 Choices in coverage 2 2 0 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 Other positive comments 5 5 3 10 2 3 6 5 2 General positive toward 19 15 15 26 15 12 20 13 14 1	children	5	7	6	9	6	5	6	5	7	6
Choices in coverage 2 2 0 1 2 1 2 1 1 Other positive comments 5 5 3 10 2 3 6 5 2 General positive toward 19 15 15 26 15 12 20 13 14 1		5	4	2	4	4	4	6	1	4	4
Other positive comments 5 5 3 10 2 3 6 5 2 General positive toward 19 15 15 26 15 12 20 13 14 11		3	2	3	2	4	3	4	1	4	3
General positive toward 19 15 15 26 15 12 20 13 14 1	Choices in coverage	2	2	0	1	2	1	2	1	1	1
	Other positive comments	5	5	3	10	2	3	6	5	2	4
	·	19	15	15	26	15	12	20	13	14	16
Like least; negative 5 8 6 5 7 7 6 6 7 comments		5	8	6	5	7	7	6	6	7	6
Medicare response given 1 1 0 0	Medicare response given		1		1			0	0		0
Other 0 1 0				0			1			0	0
Nothing; none 10 3 5 5 5 7 7 5 6	Nothing; none	10	3	5	5	5	7	7	5	6	6
Don't know; no answer 25 31 30 14 32 33 23 37 28 2	Don't know; no answer	25	31	30	14	32	33	23	37	28	29
Number of cases 139 143 219 99 174 142 184 166 150 50	Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Emplo	yment	Total
_	Child (all)	Child, double	No child	No child, double	Single adult,	Full- time	Retired	
	(απ)	income	(all)	income	no child	time		
Q9a Like most about		meome	(411)	meome	no cima			
Michigan's Medicaid program								
Like most; positive comments	66	68	58	53	66	58	58	61
Covers people who need it; safety net	30	34	31	25	41	29	35	31
Program helps/covers children	9	7	5	6	7	7	5	6
Covers other people	4	5	3	2	7	3	3	4
Elderly; older folks	1	2	4	6		4	2	3
Choices in coverage	3	2	1	2		0	1	1
Other positive comments	8	7	3	1	5	3	4	4
General positive toward program	15	15	17	18	12	15	15	16
Like least; negative	8	8	6	2	3	6	8	6
comments	0	0	U	2	3	0	0	0
Medicare response given			0		2		0	0
Other						0		0
Nothing; none	5	4	7	10	4	6	5	6
Don't know; no answer	24	23	31	37	26	32	30	29
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	<i>507</i>

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	tion	Total
-	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative		Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q9a Like most about	(4)		741.70							
Michigan's Medicaid program					_					
Like most; positive comments	56	50	60	63	60	69	51	71	59	61
Covers people who need it; safety net	24	25	23	36	31	45	23	39	29	31
Program helps/covers children	5	4	6	6	7	4	4	5	7	6
Covers other people	5	2	7	2	2	3	4	3	4	4
Elderly; older folks	3	4	<u>2</u>	3	2	3	2	4	3	3
Choices in coverage	1		2		1	1		2	1	1
Other positive comments	4	4	- 5	5	7	1	3	6	4	4
General positive toward program	17	12	20	16	14	18	16	20	14	16
Like least; negative	6	7	5	7	7	6	4	7	7	6
comments	U	,	,	,	,	O	7	,	,	U
Medicare response given				0	0	1		0	0	0
Other				0	0				0	0
Nothing; none	7	12			7	1	10	3	6	6
Don't know; no answer	32	33				26	37	21	29	29
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507

	Party identification & ideology												Total
	GOP	Cons	Indep	Cons	Mod	M/L	GOP+	Dem	Cons/	Mod	M/L	Lib	
	(all)	GOP	(all)	Indep	Indep	Indep	cons	(all)	mod	Dem	Dem	Dem	
							Indep		Dem				
Q9a Like most about													
Michigan's Medicaid program						_							
Like most; positive comments	51	52	59	58	56	58	54	71	69	70	72	74	61
Covers people who need it; safety net	23	23	29	27	26	30	25	39	34	42	45	47	31
Program helps/covers children	4	6	7	5	10	8	5	5	6	7	5	4	6
Covers other people	4	5	4	7	1	1	5	3	2		2	4	4
Elderly; older folks	2	1	3(6	1	1	4	4	2	2	4	5	3
Choices in coverage			1	3	1	1	1	2	3	3	2	1	1
Other positive comments	3	3	4	1	7	6	2	6	10	9	5	1	4
General positive toward program	16	16	14	14	12	14	15	20	20	14	17	19	16
Like least; negative	4	2	7	8	7	6	6	7	7	6	7	8	6
comments	4	2	,	0	,	O	O	,	,	O	,	0	0
Medicare response given			0		1	0		0			1	1	0
Other			0		1	1							0
Nothing; none	10	11	6	4	9	7	8	3	5	5	3	1	6
Don't know; no answer	37	36	29	32	28	29	35	21	21	21	21	20	29
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>

_		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex				Total				
	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q9a Like most about													
Michigan's Medicaid program													
Like most; positive	44	58	58	59	71	71	55	47	64	54	69	73	61
comments	77	30	30	33	, 1		,,,	77	04	34	0,5	7.5	01
Covers people who need it; safety net	18	28	26	33	42	37	19	28	32	27	36	42	31
Program helps/covers children	3	5	7	8	4	6	6	3	9	6	9	2	6
Covers other people	3	6	4	3	6	1	6	3	5	3	1	4	4
Elderly; older folks	3	2	3	3	7	2	5			5	3	5	3
Choices in coverage				3	A 17	3			2	1	1	3	1
Other positive comments	4	3	4	3		10	5	1	4	3	5	7	4
General positive toward program	15	17	15	12	19	21	16	16	13	14	23	17	16
Like least; negative comments	3	5	9	4	6	8	4	5	6	7	6	9	6
Medicare response given				1		1				1		1	0
Other			1							1			0
Nothing; none	12	8	4	8	1	4	9	11	6	6	2	4	6
Don't know; no answer	43	31	31		26		34	40	27	32	23	18	29
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right direction	Wrong track	Jobs, employ- ment	Educ., schools	Taxes or state budget	Health- care	Other	
O9a Like most about			mene		buaget			
Michigan's Medicaid program								
Like most; positive comments	57	62	59	64	64	56	66	61
Covers people who need it; safety net	29	31	32	34	27	26	32	31
Program helps/covers children	4	7	6	6	8	6	6	6
Covers other people	3	4	3	6	5	4	2	4
Elderly; older folks	2	4	3	4	3	5		3
Choices in coverage	2	1	2			4		1
Other positive comments	4	5 +	4	7	3	4	5	4
General positive toward program	19	14	14	16	19	11	26	16
Like least; negative	6	7	6	4	6	9	8	6
comments	0	,	O	7	U	9	0	0
Medicare response given		0	0			1		0
Other	0			1				0
Nothing; none	7	5	8	4	5	5	4	6
Don't know; no answer	32	26	30	29	25	31	23	29
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	<i>507</i>

	Michigan'	s healthcar today	e system	-	sonal healt coverage	thcare	Total
·	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,	
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
Q9a Like most about							
Michigan's Medicaid program							
Like most; positive	58	61	63	57	64	59	61
comments	30	01		37	04	33	01
Covers people who need it;	28	32	32	29	35	28	31
safety net	20	32	32	23	33	20	31
Program helps/covers	15	5	5	7	4	7	6
children	13			,	•	•	J
Covers other people		3	5	2	4	5	4
Elderly; older folks	2	2	5	1	3	4	3
Choices in coverage	5	0	1	2	1	1	1
Other positive comments	2	5	4	5	4	5	4
General positive toward	8	17	17	15	17	15	16
program	0	17	17	13	17	13	10
Like least; negative	11	7	5	3	8	7	6
comments	11	7	3	3	0	7	0
Medicare response given		0	0		1		0
Other		0				0	0
Nothing; none	8	6	5	4	6	7	6
Don't know; no answer	29	27	30	36	26	28	29
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	<i>507</i>

		for most of o healthcare	own	Importa	lf, own	Total		
-	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
Q9a Like most about								
Michigan's Medicaid program				_				
Like most; positive comments	57	61	56	83	69	47	34	61
Covers people who need it; safety net	31	35	29	39	41	30	16	31
Program helps/covers children	7	4	4	6	6		7	6
Covers other people	3	4	5	. 7	1		3	4
Elderly; older folks	4	2	2	5	2	1	2	3
Choices in coverage	1		3	2	1		1	1
Other positive comments	3	6	3	6	7	3	2	4
General positive toward program	12	17	10	26	16	17	5	16
Like least; negative	7	7	4	4	5	10	7	6
comments	,	,	4	4	3	10	7	O
Medicare response given		1		0			0	0
Other			1				1	0
Nothing; none	6	3	9	4	3	1	13	6
Don't know; no answer	33	30	31	12	24	44	45	29
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507

		scribes Mic licaid prog		Least des	criptive of N	1edicaid in	Michigan	Total
-	Account-	Competent		Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-	
	able		ful	sion			ful	
Q9a Like most about								
Michigan's Medicaid program								
Like most; positive comments	72	65	78	77	70	68	69	61
Covers people who need it; safety net	27	35	41	36	5 41	37	37	31
Program helps/covers children	8	4	13		9	10	4	6
Covers other people	6	6	2		7 3	4	4	4
Elderly; older folks	3	7	5			2	4	3
Choices in coverage		1	3			2	1	1
Other positive comments	6	3	7	10) 3	6	5	4
General positive toward program	28	13	21	15	5 14	19	19	16
Like least; negative comments	7	4	1	1	9	7	8	6
Medicare response given	1						1	0
Other							1	0
Nothing; none	2	4	3	ŗ	5 5	5	1	6
Don't know; no answer	22	29	18	17	7 18	23	24	29
Number of cases	53	88	74	5.5	5 60	90	82	<i>507</i>

	coverage		Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	caid scale	Total		
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q9a Like most about									
Michigan's Medicaid program									
Like most; positive comments	69	51	60	76	48	45	58	77	61
Covers people who need it; safety net	35	25	40	42	22	22	29	43	31
Program helps/covers children	5	5	10	7	5	6	6	4	6
Covers other people	3	4	2	3	4	5	3	4	4
Elderly; older folks	2	4	4	3	3	3	2	4	3
Choices in coverage	3			2	1		1	2	1
Other positive comments	6	4		5	4	3	4	6	4
General positive toward program	20	13	10	21	12	8	17	21	16
Like least; negative comments	7	6	2	4	8	7	7	4	6
Medicare response given	0		1	1			1		0
Other		0			0		0		0
Nothing; none	5	10		4	9	12	4	4	6
Don't know; no answer	22	34	39	18	37	36	33	16	29
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years registered to vote			2012 presidential primary vote			Genera	al electio	story	Most likely voter	Total	
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
	than 10		than 15									
Q9a Like most about												
Michigan's Medicaid program												
Like most; positive comments	61	59	61	54	78	60	60	60	61	60	62	61
Covers people who need it; safety net	29	38	31	27	47	30	29	33	32	32	34	31
Program helps/covers children	6	6	5	5	9	6	6	5	5	5	6	6
Covers other people	2	6	3	1	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	4
Elderly; older folks	2	2	4	2	9	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
Choices in coverage	1		2	1		1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Other positive comments	5	2	6	6	2	4	5	5	4	5	5	4
General positive toward program	19	10	16	14	16	16	16	15	16	16	16	16
Like least; negative comments	6	9	5	8	5	6	6	6	6	6	8	6
Medicare response given		1	0		3		0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0					0	0	0	0	0		0
Nothing; none	6	8	4	9	3	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Don't know; no answer	28	26	31	33	16		30	28	29	29	26	29
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	S	Total
_	Tri– County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Q9b Like least about									
Michigan's Medicaid program Like least; negative									
comments	58	56	62	56	63	56	70	64	61
Abuse of the system; fraud	8	12	3	10	13	8	14	16	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	10	13	6	12	11	11	13	8	10
Doesn't cover people; not eligible	14	10	18	13	8	12	7	10	10
Doesn't cover enough	9	5	14	5	6	8	6	7	7
Paperwork; qualification process	6	5	8	5	7	6	7	7	6
Waste; inefficient	2	3	1	3	6	3	7	4	4
Costs	5	3	7	3	4	4	2	5	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	1	2		1	4	1	6	3	3
Program poorly managed	1	1		1	3	1	4	2	2
Lack of drug-screening	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
Repayment of costs of care	0	1		0	0	0	1		0
Other negative comments	7	6	8	6	9	7	13	7	8
General negative toward program	4	3	5	4	2	3	2	3	3
Like most; positive comments	3	1	6	1	4	3	4	5	4
Other	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Nothing; none	3	4	1	4	4	4	3	3	4
Don't know; no answer Number of cases	35 <i>202</i>	38 113	31 <i>89</i>	38 1 <i>58</i>	29 <i>305</i>	36 <i>254</i>	22 99	28 1 <i>54</i>	31 <i>507</i>

	MSA cou	ınties	Ethni	city	Total
_	Urban	Non- urban	Minority	White; Anglo	
Q9b Like least about					
Michigan's Medicaid program					
Like least; negative	61	62	63	61	61
comments			-		
Abuse of the system; fraud	10	16	2	13	11
Too many people using	10	10	6	11	10
system that do not need it					
Doesn't cover people; not	11	8	16	9	10
eligible			12	•	7
Doesn't cover enough	6	10	12	6	7
Paperwork; qualification	6	6	9	6	6
process Waste; inefficient	E	2		5	4
Costs	3	3	8	3	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	3		2	3	3
Program poorly managed	3	1	3	2	2
Lack of drug-screening	2		3	2	1
Repayment of costs of care	0		1	0	0
Other negative comments	9	6	9	8	8
General negative toward	_	·	_	-	_
program	3	4	3	3	3
Like most; positive	_	_		_	_
comments	3	6	8	3	4
Other	1			1	1
Nothing; none	4	3	3	4	4
Don't know; no answer	32	30	26	32	31
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>

	Se	x		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or less	41-60	More than 60	Male 18-50	Male 51+	Female 18-50	Female 51+	
Q9b Like least about Michigan's Medicaid program										
Like least; negative comments	58	64	67	64	51	63	53	72	57	61
Abuse of the system; fraud	11	12	7	13	12	9	13	12	11	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	8	12	8	9	14	7	9	11	14	10
Doesn't cover people; not eligible	9	11	13	12	6	12	6	12	10	10
Doesn't cover enough	6	8	7	8	6	9	4	6	10	7
Paperwork; qualification process	6	6	6	7	5	7	6	7	6	6
Waste; inefficient	6	2	6	5	2	10	3	4	1	4
Costs	6	3	3	6	3	6	6	4	2	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	1	4	6	2	1	3		5	3	3
Program poorly managed	1	3	3	1	2		2	4	2	2
Lack of drug-screening		2	2	1	1			2	2	1
Repayment of costs of care		1	1		0			1	0	0
Other negative comments	10	7	9	9	7	11	9	8	7	8
General negative toward program	2	4	6	2	2	2	2	7	1	3
Like most; positive comments	2	5	0	5	5	2	3	2	7	4
Other	1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1
Nothing; none	4	3	3	4	4	4	5	2	4	4
Don't know; no answer	35	28	29	27	39	32	38	25	31	31
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507

	E	ducation			Income		Socio-	economic s	status	Total
_	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	\$30K or less	\$30K- \$70K	Over \$70K	Low	Medium	High	
Q9b Like least about			J							
Michigan's Medicaid program										
Like least; negative comments	57	62	63	65	62	66	61	60	62	61
Abuse of the system; fraud	10	10	13	9	11	14	10	11	12	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	6	12	12	9	12	13	8	13	11	10
Doesn't cover people; not eligible	11	8	12	12	14	8	10	11	10	10
Doesn't cover enough	8	6	7	10	4	8	9	4	9	7
Paperwork; qualification process	5	10	5	12	6	5	9	5	5	6
Waste; inefficient	2	5	5	4	3	8	3	3	6	4
Costs	4	5	4	6	2	3	4	5	3	4
Lack of choice in healthcare		5	2	8	2	1	3	3	0	3
Program poorly managed	3	2	1	1	4	1	3	1	2	2
Lack of drug-screening	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1
Repayment of costs of care	0	1		1			0	1		0
Other negative comments	8	7	9	8	9	10	8	7	11	8
General negative toward program	4	1	3		5	3	2	4	3	3
Like most; positive comments	7	4	1	9	3	1	6	2	2	4
Other	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
Nothing; none	7	2	3	5	5	2	6	2	3	4
Don't know; no answer	29	31	32	21	30	31	26	36	32	31
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	<i>507</i>

		Hou	ısehold ty _l	эе		Employ	ment	Total
_	Child (all)	Child, double income	No child (all)	No child, double income	Single adult, no child	Full- time	Retired	
Q9b Like least about								
Michigan's Medicaid program								
Like least; negative	73	74	57	59	52	66	51	61
comments	1.5	10	10	0	7	12	11	11
Abuse of the system; fraud	15	19	10	9	7	12	11	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	10	13	10	9	9	10	13	10
Doesn't cover people; not								
eligible	9	8	11	16	8	12	5	10
Doesn't cover enough	9	8	6	6	13	6	6	7
Paperwork; qualification process	8	10	6	5	6	8	7	6
Waste; inefficient	8	10	3	4		7	2	4
Costs	4	3	4	5	3	5	3	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	5	3	2	2		2	1	3
Program poorly managed	3	3	1	1	3	2	2	2
Lack of drug-screening	2	3	1		1	1	2	1
Repayment of costs of care	1	1	0		1		0	0
Other negative comments	12	11	7	5	7	10	7	8
General negative toward	3	3	3	2		4	2	3
program								
Like most; positive	3	1	4	1	11	1	7	4
comments Other			1				1	-
	2	3	1	ว	Λ	2	1	1
Nothing; none	23	23	24	3 37	4 33	30	4 37	4 31
Don't know; no answer	155	107	34 <i>338</i>	118	60	21 <i>5</i>	37 147	507
Number of cases	133	107	220	110	00	213	14/	307

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	ition	Total
-	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative		Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q9b Like least about										
Michigan's Medicaid program										
Like least; negative comments	62	61	63	62	61	64	61	64	62	61
Abuse of the system; fraud	15	15	14	9	_ 11	6	16	5	15	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	12	14	11	9	9	9	13	7	12	10
Doesn't cover people; not eligible	4	1	6	15	14	18	4	20	7	10
Doesn't cover enough	6	3	8	7	6	9	4	11	4	7
Paperwork; qualification process	7	6	8	6	6	7	8	11	3	6
Waste; inefficient	6	5	6	4	2	6	7	2	5	4
Costs	5	7	4	3	4	1	1	3	6	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	2	1	2	3	4	2	1	4	3	3
Program poorly managed	3	1	4	1	1		4	1	1	2
Lack of drug-screening Repayment of costs of care	1	2	1	1 1	1	4	1	2	1 1	1 0
Other negative comments	12	15	10	6	5	8	11	7	9	8
General negative toward program	2	3	1	4	4	4	3	4	2	3
Like most; positive comments	3	3	3	4	4	5	4	8	0	4
Other	1	1	1	0		1	1		1	1
Nothing; none	4	2	6		3	2	2	4	5	4
Don't know; no answer	30	34		31		28	33	25	33	31
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507

					Party id	entificat	tion & id	eology					Total
_	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
Q9b Like least about													
Michigan's Medicaid program													
Like least; negative comments	61	63	62	66	64	61	63	64	59	57	66	73	61
Abuse of the system; fraud	16	18	15	16	17	_ 15	16	5	4	2	4	6	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	13	13	12	17	10	10	15	7	5	6	8	10	10
Doesn't cover people; not eligible	4	3	7	2	12	10	3	20	17	21	23	25	10
Doesn't cover enough	4	3	4		6	6	3	11	13	6	8	9	7
Paperwork; qualification process	8	8	3	3	2	2	7	11	12	11	10	9	6
Waste; inefficient	7	6	5	9		4	7	2			2	4	4
Costs	1	2	6	9	5	5	4	3	5	4	2	1	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	1	1	3	1	5	4	1	4	4	4	4	3	3
Program poorly managed	4	4	1	2	1	1	4	1	1				2
Lack of drug-screening Repayment of costs of care	1	1	1 1	2	2	1	1	2			3	6	1 0
Other negative comments	11	11	9	15	5	7	12	7	7	4	6	7	8
General negative toward program	3	3	2	1	3	3	2	4	3	5	5	5	3
Like most; positive comments	4	2	0	1			3	8	8	9	8	8	4
Other	1	2	1	1		1	1						1
Nothing; none	2	2	5	6	3	3	3	4	4	3	2	1	4
Don't know; no answer	33	32	33	27	32	35	31	25	29	32	24	18	31
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
_	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q9b Like least about													
Michigan's Medicaid program													
Like least; negative	57	64	59	65	61	65	66	54	66	58	74	55	61
comments		•			~-								
Abuse of the system; fraud	9	21	14	16	7	3	16	15	13	17	4	5	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	11	15	10	15	1	10	11	16	8	17	8	5	10
Doesn't cover people; not eligible	1	6	7	8	21	19	7		7	7	23	17	10
Doesn't cover enough	8	2	2	8	14	10	6	2	5	4	11	12	7
Paperwork; qualification process	10	6	2	4	12	10	11	6		5	14	8	6
Waste; inefficient	9	5	8	2	2	1	9	4	9	2	2	1	4
Costs	3		9	3	. 01	4	2	1	8	5	4	3	4
Lack of choice in healthcare		2	2	4	2	4	2		5	1	4	3	3
Program poorly managed	1	7	1	1	1	1	4	5	1	1	1	1	2
Lack of drug-screening		1		2	7	4		1		2	4	1	1
Repayment of costs of care				2					1	1			0
Other negative comments	10	12	13	5	7	7	10	11	13	6	4	9	8
General negative toward program	7		1	4		6	3	3	3	2	8		3
Like most; positive comments	3	5		1	6	8	3	5		1	3	12	4
Other	1	1		2				3	1	1			1
Nothing; none	2	2	5	4	5	3		3	6	4	3	4	4
Don't know; no answer	37	30	36	29	27	24	31	36	28	37	21	29	31
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	<i>507</i>

	Direction of	of things		Most	mportant i	ssue		Total
-	Right direction	Wrong track	Jobs, employ- ment	Educ., schools	Taxes or state budget	Health- care	Other	
Q9b Like least about Michigan's Medicaid program Like least; negative comments	54	67	62	62	62	59	63	61
Abuse of the system; fraud	14	11	12_	11	11	15	8	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	10	10	12	15	7	3	9	10
Doesn't cover people; not eligible	2	16	13	3	10	14	8	10
Doesn't cover enough	5	9	5	18	6	10	4	7
Paperwork; qualification process	7	5	4	11	6	5	11	6
Waste; inefficient	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4
Costs	4	5	3	4	7	6	6	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	3	2	1	5	2		7	3
Program poorly managed	1	2	1		2	3	3	2
Lack of drug-screening	0	2	1	2	2		1	1
Repayment of costs of care	1	0	0			2		0
Other negative comments	10	8	10	3	17	5	7	8
General negative toward program	3	3	4	4		1	2	3
Like most; positive comments	4	4	4	1	1	9	4	4
Other	1	0	1	1	1			1
Nothing; none	6	2	4	4	4	4	3	4
Don't know; no answer	35	27	30	32	32	28	30	31
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507

	Michigan'	s healthcai	e system	-	sonal healt	thcare	Total
-	State of crisis	today Major problems	Minor, no	Excel- lent	Good Good	Fair,	
O9b Like least about	CHISTS	problems	problems	iciic		роог	
Michigan's Medicaid program							
Like least; negative						66	
comments	75	68	52	58	60	66	61
Abuse of the system; fraud	15	13	7	14	14	7	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	12	10	11	11	11	10	10
Doesn't cover people; not eligible	18	11	8	7	8	16	10
Doesn't cover enough	12	7	6	8	6	8	7
Paperwork; qualification process	2	7	7	5	5	10	6
Waste; inefficient	4	5	4	4	3	6	4
Costs	11	4	3	4	5	5	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	3	3	2	1	2	4	3
Program poorly managed	1	3	1		1	2 2	2
Lack of drug-screening		1	1	1	1	2	1
Repayment of costs of care	1	0			0	1	0
Other negative comments	5	10	8	9	9	7	8
General negative toward program	4	3	3	5	3	3	3
Like most; positive		4	3	3	5	1	4
comments		4	3	3	3	_	4
Other	3	0	0	1	1	1	1
Nothing; none	5	1	6	2	5	3	4
Don't know; no answer	17	26	39	36	30	28	31
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507

		for most of healthcare	own	Importa	nce of Med fam		lf, own	Total
-	Employer plan	Medicare	Self	Very imp.	Somewhat imp.	Not too imp.	Not at all imp.	
Q9b Like least about	•			·	•	•	·	
Michigan's Medicaid program								
Like least; negative comments	65	51	65	66	75	44	55	61
Abuse of the system; fraud	16	11	8	6	14	13	16	11
Too many people using				A				
system that do not need it	12	14	9	9	9	11	12	10
Doesn't cover people; not	11	7	17	14	12	8	6	10
eligible		•						
Doesn't cover enough	4	10	5	13	6	4	2	7
Paperwork; qualification process	4	6	10	7	8	4	6	6
Waste; inefficient	5	1	8	6	5	2	3	4
Costs	5	2	5	3	6	2	4	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	0	1	1	4	3		2	3
Program poorly managed	2	1	5	3	2	1	1	2
Lack of drug-screening	2	2		1	2	3	1	1
Repayment of costs of care		1	2	1				0
Other negative comments	8	7	8	10	13	3	7	8
General negative toward	4	2	4	3	5		3	3
program		۷	7	3	,		3	3
Like most; positive	0	6	2	7	1	1	3	4
comments	U	U	2	•	_		3	7
Other	1	2		1	1	1	0	1
Nothing; none	2	6	2	4	3	5	4	4
Don't know; no answer	31	37	32	23	20	50	38	31
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>

		scribes Mic licaid prog		Least desc	criptive of N	1edicaid in	Michigan	Total
-	Account- able	Competent		Compas- sion	Competent	Efficient	Resource- ful	
Q9b Like least about Michigan's Medicaid program								
Like least; negative comments	69	59	69	75	67	69	67	61
Abuse of the system; fraud	6	9	8	_ 12	21	15	7	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	10	14	9	8	13	6	14	10
Doesn't cover people; not eligible	12	8	8	17	12	7	16	10
Doesn't cover enough	10	5	15	10	2	3	10	7
Paperwork; qualification process	5	9	10	9	7	10	6	6
Waste; inefficient	3	9	4			13	5	4
Costs	8	4	4	4		4	4	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	4		6	5	3	2	3	3
Program poorly managed	6	1	3		1	3	2	2
Lack of drug-screening Repayment of costs of care	3		1		3 1	2	1 1	1 0
Other negative comments	11	9	10	10	11	15	7	8
General negative toward program	4	1	1	6	3	5	1	3
Like most; positive comments	6	5	6	3	5	5	5	4
Other				1	1	1		1
Nothing; none	3	4	4	3	6			4
Don't know; no answer	22	32	20	17	21	25	28	31
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507

		the rules to	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q9b Like least about				2332					
Michigan's Medicaid program									
Like least; negative comments	64	63	46	59	66	67	57	64	61
Abuse of the system; fraud	6	18	8	5	19	23	9	5	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	6	16	12	6	15	21	7	7	10
Doesn't cover people; not eligible	18	2	4	11	9	1	9	20	10
Doesn't cover enough	9	5	4	10	5	3	8	10	7
Paperwork; qualification process	9	4	3	7	6	5	7	7	6
Waste; inefficient	3	6	2	4	4	7	2	5	4
Costs	3	6	3	2	6	8	4	2	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	4	2		4	2	2	2	4	3
Program poorly managed	2	2		2	2	1	3	0	2
Lack of drug-screening	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1
Repayment of costs of care	1			1			0	1	0
Other negative comments	8	9	6	9	8	8	9	9	8
General negative toward program	3	2	6	4	2	3	2	4	3
Like most; positive comments	5	1	7	7	0	1	3	7	4
Other	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	1
Nothing; none	4	4	1	4	3	1	4	5	4
Don't know; no answer	26	33	46	29	30	31	36	23	31
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years registered to vote				preside mary vo		Genera	al electio	Most likely voter	Total		
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
	than 10		than 15									
Q9b Like least about												
Michigan's Medicaid program												
Like least; negative	61	61	60	66	55	60	61	61	62	61	64	61
comments	7	13	15	22	5	9	12	12	12	13	15	11
Abuse of the system; fraud	/	13	13	22	5	9	12	12	12	13	13	11
Too many people using system that do not need it	9	11	12	14	6	10	11	13	11	12	14	10
Doesn't cover people; not eligible	12	12	7	3	17	11	10	7	9	7	7	10
Doesn't cover enough	9	7	4	6	15	6	7	6	7	6	8	7
Paperwork; qualification process	7	4	8	6	7	7	6	6	6	6	7	6
Waste; inefficient	4	4	5	4	2	5	5	4	4	5	6	4
Costs	3	7	5	5	2	4	5	6	4	6	5	4
Lack of choice in healthcare	3	5		2		3	3	2	3	2	2	3
Program poorly managed	2		3	2		2	2	2	2	2	1	2
Lack of drug-screening	1	2	2	2		1	1	2	1	2	2	1
Repayment of costs of care	1		0		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other negative comments	8	7	9	14	6	7	8	9	9	9	10	8
General negative toward	3	1	3	3	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
program	3	1	3	3	O	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Like most; positive	3	7	2	5	9	3	3	3	3	3	3	4
comments		1	2	-	2	1		4		4		
Other	4	1	2	1	2	4	3	T	1	1	1	1
Nothing; none	4	4 27		20	21		32	3	21	32	3 29	4
Don't know; no answer	32 209	27 119		29 <i>91</i>	31 <i>43</i>	32 <i>373</i>	32 437	32 <i>334</i>	31 <i>483</i>	32 308	29 246	31 <i>507</i>
Number of cases	209	119	1/3	91	43	3/3	437	334	465	308	240	307

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	ts	Total
_	Tri- County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid									
Favor	57		70	52	43	52	41	48	49
Oppose	29		17	34	46	33	47	44	39
Unsure	14	15	12	14	10	14	12	8	11
Refused					1	1	1	1	1
Favor - oppose	29	10	53	18	-3	19	-6	4	10
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides			Mic	158					
essential care to deserving	51	41	64	45	44	51	41	44	47
Strongly	31	24	40	27	27	31	28	24	28
Not strongly	20		24	19	18	20	14	19	19
Flawed program, wastes,									
fraud, needs reform	36	45	25	42	50	36	56	51	44
Not strongly	15	20	8	17	14	14	21	10	14
Strongly	21		17	24	36	22	35	41	30
Unsure	12		10	12	6	12	3	6	8
Refused	1		1	1		0			0
Good - flawed	15	-4	40	4	-6	15	-14	-7	2
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507

	MSA cou	unties	Ethni	city	Total
	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
O10 Charatter than the fac		urban		Anglo	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid					
Favor	50	43	74	44	49
Oppose	37	48	17	44	39
Unsure	12	8	8	12	11
Refused	1	1	1	1	1
Favor - oppose	13	-4	57	0	10
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform	Mic	edica	Ò		
Good program, provides					
essential care to	49	37	67	43	47
deserving	20	21	4.5	25	20
Strongly Not strongly	30 19	21 17	45 22	25 18	28 19
Flawed program, wastes,	19	17	22	10	19
fraud, needs reform	42	56	22	49	44
Not strongly	14	15	7	16	14
Strongly	28	41	15	33	30
Unsure	9	7	9	8	8
Refused	0		1		0
Good - flawed	7	-19	45	-6	2
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>

	Se	x		Age			Sex &	age		Total
	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Favor	41	55	57	47	44	41	42	58	52	49
Oppose	49	31	31	43		47	50	27	34	39
Unsure	9	13	12	9	14	10	9	14	12	11
Refused	1	1	1	0	1	1			2	1
Favor - oppose	-7		26	4	_ 2	-6	-8	31	18	10
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides			146	nio's	aid					
essential care to	43	51	51	43	49	43	43	46	54	47
deserving	25	31	27	25	2.4	22	20	25	2.7	20
Strongly Not strongly	25 18	19	24	25 17	34 15	23 20	26 17	25 21	37 17	28 19
Flawed program, wastes,										
fraud, needs reform	48	41	42	48	42	50	46	45	38	44
Not strongly	15	14	18	13	12	18	12	15	12	14
Strongly	34		24	35		32	35	30	25	30
Unsure	9	8	7	8	10	7	11	8	8	8
Refused		0		1				1		0
Good - flawed	-6	9	9	-6		-8	-4	1	17	2
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	<i>507</i>

		Education			Income		Socio-	economic	status	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Favor	50	50	48	65	47	49	52	46	49	49
Oppose	37	40		25	42	39	38	39	39	39
Unsure	12	8		9	9	12	8	15	12	11
Refused	1	2		1	1		2	1		1
Favor - oppose	13	10		40	_ 5	10	14	7	9	10
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides				Childs Childs	aid					
essential care to	52	48	44	58	44	43	52	45	44	47
deserving Strongly	33	29	26	42	23	26	35	21	29	28
Not strongly	19	19		16	20	17	17	24	15	19
Flawed program, wastes, fraud, needs reform	38	43		38	50	47	40	47	47	44
Not strongly	8	9		10	15	17	9	15	20	14
Strongly	30	33	29	28	35	30	32	31	27	30
Unsure	10	9	6	4	6	10	8	8	9	8
Refused										0
Good - flawed	14	6		20	-7	-4	11	-2	-3	2
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	<i>507</i>

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	ment	Total
-	Child (all)	Child, double income	No child (all)	No child, double income	Single adult, no child	Full- time	Retired	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid		income	(all)	income	no ciniu			
Favor	46	45	50	52	55	43	47	49
Oppose	44	47	37		26	43	39	39
Unsure	11	7	12		18	13	13	11
Refused		•	1		1	1	1	1
Favor - oppose	2	-2	13	16	29	0	8	10
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides		N	Me					
essential care to	42	38	49	48	63	40	48	47
deserving	27	23	30	21	41	21	33	28
Strongly Not strongly	15	25 15	19		22	19	15	19
Flawed program, wastes,								
fraud, needs reform	49	52	43	46	26	54	41	44
Not strongly	16	17	13	15	10	18	11	14
Strongly	32	35	30		16	37	30	30
Unsure	9	10	8		11	6	11	8
Refused								0
Good - flawed	-6	-13	6		37	-14	7	2
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	<i>507</i>

-			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	ition	Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid										
Favor	30					75	28	79	38	49
Oppose	60	72				14	60	12	49	39
Unsure	10	7	11	12	13	11	12	8	13	11
Refused	1		1	1	1		1	1	0	1
Favor - oppose	-30				27	61	-32	67	-11	10
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides				chic	183					
essential care to	31	24	36	58	51	71	33	66	40	47
deserving Strongly	14	7	18	39	34	48	15	44	25	28
Not strongly	18	18				23	18	23	15	19
Flawed program, wastes,										
fraud, needs reform	57	63	53	36	42	25	59	26	50	44
Not strongly	18	19	17	11	13	7	17	10	17	14
Strongly	39	44	. 36	24	28	17	42	16	33	30
Unsure	12	12	11			4	8	8	10	8
Refused										0
Good - flawed	-26	-39				47	-26	40	-10	2
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	<i>507</i>

					Party id	entificat	tion & id	leology					Total
	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid													
Favor	28	19	38	18	46	48	25	79	76	78	81	84	49
Oppose	60	71	49	66	43	40	62	12	16	10	7	5	39
Unsure	12	9	13	15	12	12	13	8	6	9	10	11	11
Refused	1	1	0	1			1	1	2	4	2		1
Favor - oppose	-32	-53	-11	-47	3	7	-37	67	60	68	74	79	10
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides				Hic	nio)	125	>						
essential care to	33	27	40	27	46	48	31	66	59	64	70	76	47
deserving Strongly	15	9	25	13	31	31	14	44	34	39	49	57	28
Not strongly	18	18	15	14	14	16	17	23	25	25	22	19	19
Flawed program, wastes, fraud, needs reform	59	63	50	59	47	45	59	26	30	27	23	20	44
Not strongly	17	19	17	22	15	14	19	10	10	10	8	7	14
Strongly	42	44	33	37	31	32	40	16	20	17	14	12	30
Unsure	8	10	10	14	8	7	10	8	11	9	7	4	8
Refused					_	-		_		_		•	0
Good - flawed	-26	-36	-10	-31	-1	2	-28	40	29	37	48	56	2
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>

		Party	identifi	cation by	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
coverage under Medicaid Favor	18	37	33	43	80	78	32	23	41	24	79	79	49
Oppose	18 65		59	43 37	80 12		52 52	23 68		34 51	79 12	79 12	49 39
Unsure	17		8 8	19	6		16	7		14	7	9	11
Refused	1,7	1	0	19	2		10	2	13	1	2	1	1
Favor - oppose	-48	-17	-26	6	68	_66	-20	-45	-4	-17	67	67	10
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides				Mil	hick	105	>						
essential care to	25	40	39	42	70	64	34	32	40	40	62	70	47
deserving Strongly	11	19	19	31	51	40	15	15	26	24	32	54	28
Not strongly	14		19	11	20		18	17	14	16	30	16	19
Flawed program, wastes, fraud, needs reform	61		54	46	22	28	63	55	50	51	30	23	44
Not strongly	15	19	18	16	11	9	20	15	19	15	10	9	14
Strongly	46	38	36	30	11	19	43	40	31	36	20	14	30
Unsure	14	3	7	12	7	8	4	13	10	9	8	7	8
Refused													0
Good - flawed	-37		-16	-4	48		-29	-23		-10	33	47	2
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction (of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right direction	Wrong track	Jobs, employ- ment	Educ., schools	Taxes or state budget	Health- care	Other	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid								
Favor	37	55	48	54	45	50	53	49
Oppose	54	32	36	39	49	41	40	39
Unsure	9	12	16	7	6	9	6	11
Refused	0	1	0	1			1	1
Favor - oppose	-17	23	12	15	-4	8	13	10
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides			chida	aic				
essential care to	42	49	49	52	44	43	40	47
deserving Strongly	25	29	27	30	32	32	26	28
Not strongly	17	20	23	22	12	12	14	19
Flawed program, wastes, fraud, needs reform	49	44	41	40	48	49	52	44
Not strongly	16	13	13	13	16	18	17	14
Strongly	33	31	29	27	32	31	36	30
Unsure	8	7	9	8	6	7	7	8
Refused	1	•	J	· ·	2	•	•	0
Good - flawed	-6	4	8	12	-5	-6	-12	2
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	<i>507</i>

	Michigan'	gan's healthcare system Own personal healthcare coverage				thcare	Total
	State of crisis	Major problems	Minor, no problems	Excel- lent	Good	Fair, poor	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid						·	
Favor	58			43	46	56	49
Oppose	34			46	41	31	39
Unsure	8	11	13	9	12	13	11
Refused		0	1	1	1	1	1
Favor - oppose	24		6	-3	5	25	10
Number of cases	53			110	221	154	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides		Mic	nig si				
essential care to	45	45	50	52	47	42	47
deserving							
Strongly	27			37	28	24	28
Not strongly	18	17	21	15	19	18	19
Flawed program, wastes,	50	47	40	38	45	49	44
fraud, needs reform							
Not strongly	13		17	14	16	12	14
Strongly	37	34		24	30	37	30
Unsure	5	8	9	10	7	9	8
Refused			1		0		0
Good - flawed	-5			14	1	-7	2
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507

		for most of o	own	Importa	lf, own	Total		
	Employer plan	Medicare	Self	Very imp.	fam Somewhat imp.	Not too imp.	Not at all imp.	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid	μαπ			mp.	mp.	too iiiip.	an imp.	
Favor	43	42	58	68	47	41	29	49
Oppose	44	42	32	20	40	48	60	39
Unsure	12	14	10	12	14	8	10	11
Refused	1	1	10	1	,	2	1	1
Favor - oppose	-1	0	26	48	7	-7	-31	10
Number of cases	225	103		178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides		di	68					
essential care to deserving	39	51	41	68	49	39	22	47
Strongly	22	33	22	46	27	15	12	28
Not strongly	16	18	19	22	22	24	10	19
Flawed program, wastes, fraud, needs reform	52	38	54	25	38	53	70	44
Not strongly	17	12	9	7	18	19	18	14
Strongly	35	26	45	18	20	34	52	30
Unsure	9	10	5	7	11	8	9	8
Refused	0	10	,	,	1	0	,	0
Good - flawed	-14	13	-13	42	11	-14	-48	2
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>

		scribes Mic licaid prog		Least des	Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan						
	Account- able	Competent	Resource- ful	Compas- sion	Competent	Efficient	Resource- ful				
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid	abie		iui	SIOII			TUI				
Favor	60	33	66	81	50	42	47	49			
Oppose	31			12		42		39			
Unsure	9		7	6		16		11			
Refused		1		2				1			
Favor - oppose	29			69	9	0		10			
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507			
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides			74	Callo							
essential care to deserving	67	43	60	61	47	47	51	47			
Strongly	50		39	35		25		28			
Not strongly	17	16	21	25	10	22	18	19			
Flawed program, wastes, fraud, needs reform	33	52	34	26	53	45	44	44			
Not strongly	16	13	9	12	14	15	11	14			
Strongly	17	39	25	14	39	29	33	30			
Unsure		5	6	11		8		8			
Refused				2				0			
Good - flawed	35			34		2		2			
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507			

		the rules to	o expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid Favor	100			70	28	5	46	90	49
Oppose		100		18	62	91	34	3	39
Unsure			100	10	10	4	18	7	11
Refused				1	0		2		1
Favor - oppose	100	-100		52	-34	-87	12	87	10
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the			Mic	238	O				
deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides essential care to	68	22	43	100		6	44	86	47
deserving	42	10	27			1	2.2	60	20
Strongly Not strongly	42 25	12 10	27 16	60 40		1 6	23 21	60 26	28 19
Flawed program, wastes,				40		•			
fraud, needs reform	25	70	40		100	89	43	9	44
Not strongly	9	19	22		32	18	18	4	14
Strongly	17	51	18		68	71	25	5	30
Unsure	7	8	17			5	12	5	8
Refused		1					0		0
Good - flawed	42	-49	3	100	-100	-83	1	77	2
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	<i>507</i>

	Years re	gistered	to vote		preside imary vo		Genera	al electio	story	Most likely voter	Total	
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
Q10 Changing the rules for Michigan Medicaid to allow more people to qualify for coverage under Medicaid	than 10		than 15									
Favor	52	54	42	21	69	53	48	46	48	45	45	49
Oppose	37	34		66	14	35	40	42	39	44	46	39
Unsure	11	12		11	17	11	11	11	12	10	9	11
Refused	1	1	1	2		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Favor - oppose	15	20	-4	-44	55	18	8	4	8	1	-1	10
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	3/3	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q11 Point of view closest to own about Medicaid in Michigan: A good program that provides essential healthcare benefits to the deserving poor, vs. a flawed program that wastes a lot of money, has problems of fraud & abuse, & is in severe need of reform Good program, provides				-44 91	nio"	calo						
essential care to deserving	52	46	42	29	82	47	45	45	47	45	44	47
Strongly	31	28	26	19	47	28	27	29	28	28	29	28
Not strongly	21	18	16	10	35	19	18	17	19	17	15	19
Flawed program, wastes, fraud, needs reform	39	49	48	61	10	44	46	47	44	48	49	44
Not strongly	14	16		13	6	15	14	14	15	15	13	14
Strongly	25	33	35	48	4	29	32	33	30	32	36	30
Unsure	9	5	10	9	8	8	9	7	8	7	7	8
Refused	1			1			0	0	0	0		0
Good - flawed	13	-3		-32	72	3	-1	-1	2	-3	-6	2
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	<i>373</i>	437	334	483	308	246	<i>507</i>

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	:S	Total
_	Tri- County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level									
Favor	62	49	78	53	43	58	38	46	50
Strongly	43	34	54	38	24	40	25	23	32
Not strongly	19	15	24	15	18	18	12	23	19
Oppose	28	36	19	34	48	32	53	43	40
Not strongly	12	16	6	15	12	14	13	8	12
Strongly	16	19	12	19	35	18	40	35	28
Unsure	9	15	2	12	9	9	8	10	9
Refused	1		1	1	1	0	1	1	1
Favor - oppose	34	13	60 89	19	-5	25	-16	3	11
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level			43						
Favor	53	43	65	47	43	50	39	48	47
Strongly	35	28	45	32	26	34	26	26	30
Not strongly	17	15	20	15	17	16	13	22	17
Oppose	37	44	28	41	49	40	55	45	44
Not strongly	14	18	9	15	14	15	16	10	14
Strongly	23	26	19	26	35	25	39	34	30
Unsure	10	13	6	12	6	10	5	7	8
Refused	1		1	1	1	0	1	1	1
Favor - oppose	16	-1	37	5	-6	10	-17	3	3
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507

	MSA co	unties	Ethni	city	Total
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	-
		urban		Anglo	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid					
coverage to all uninsured state residents with					
household income levels up					
to 138 percent of the current					
federal poverty level					
Favor	52	44	79	44	50
Strongly	33	26	52	28	32
Not strongly	19	18	28	17	19
Oppose	39	43	15	45	40
Not strongly	13	10	5	14	12
Strongly	27	32	10	32	28
Unsure	9	12	4	10	9
Refused	0	1	1	0	1
Favor - oppose	13	2	64	-1	11
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
	1 11/2				
Q13 In view of varying	4.9				
estimates, expanding					
Medicaid coverage to all	40				
uninsured state residents					
with household income					
levels up to 138 percent of					
the current federal poverty					
level					
Favor	48	45	72	42	47
Strongly	31	25	47	26	30
Not strongly	17	20	25	16	17
Oppose	44	45	23	49	44
Not strongly	15	11	11	14	14
Strongly	29	35	12	35	30
Unsure	8	8	5	8	8
Refused	1	1	1	1	1
Favor - oppose	3	0	49	-7	3
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507

-	Se	X		Age			Sex &	age		Total
-	Male	Female	40 or less	41-60	More than 60	Male 18-50	Male 51+	Female 18-50	Female 51+	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level			iess		than 60	16-30	31+	10-30	31+	
Favor Strongly Not strongly Oppose Not strongly Strongly Unsure Refused	44 28 16 48 13 35 7 0	56 35 20 33 11 22 11	53 33 21 34 14 21 13	51 32 19 43 10 33 5	31 15 41	44 28 16 47 11 36 9	44 28 16 49 16 34 5	57 34 23 31 12 19 11	55 37 18 34 10 24 11	50 32 19 40 12 28 9
Favor – oppose Number of cases	-4 234	23 273	19 146	8 207	6 153	-3 112	-5 122	25 132	21 141	11 507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level				Car						
Favor Strongly Not strongly Oppose Not strongly Strongly Unsure Refused	42 26 16 51 13 38 6	51 33 19 39 15 24 9	48 31 17 41 17 24 10	52 31 21 45 12 33 3	28 13 47 13 33 12	45 26 18 50 8 41 6	41 27 14 52 18 35 6	49 30 19 40 22 19 9	53 35 18 37 8 29 9	47 30 17 44 14 30 8 1
Favor - oppose Number of cases	- 8 234	12 273	7 146	7 207		-5 112	-11 122	9 132	16 141	3 <i>507</i>

		Education			Income		Socio-	economic s	status	Total
-	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
to 138 percent of the current										
federal poverty level										
Favor	59	46		58	53	48	56	47	48	50
Strongly	35	28		39	37	28	37	26	33	32
Not strongly	24	18		19	16	20	20	21	15	19
Oppose	30	47		34	38	43	33	45	43	40
Not strongly	10	14		10	11	16	11	10	16	12
Strongly	20	33		24	27	27	22	35	26	28
Unsure	11	6		8	8	9	10	8	9	9
Refused			1	. (0)	1			1	1	1
Favor - oppose	28	-1		25	15	4	23	2	6	11
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level				Mely						
Favor	53	44	47	59	48	45	51	46	45	47
Strongly	33	27		39	31	26	34	26	30	30
Not strongly	20	17		20	17	19	17	21	15	17
Oppose	40	47		31	46	47	40	47	47	44
Not strongly	13	13		9	15	17	14	12	16	14
Strongly	26	34		23	31	30	26	35	30	30
Unsure	8	8		8	5	8	8	6	9	8
Refused	J	1		1	1	•	1	1	-	1
Favor - oppose	13	-3		28	3	-1	11	-1	-2	3
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	ment	Total
_	Child (all)	Child, double income	No child (all)	No child, double income	Single adult, no child	Full- time	Retired	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level		medine	(all)	meome	no crima			
Favor	45	40	53	51	63	49	52	50
Strongly	26	27	34	33	47	30	33	32
Not strongly	19	14	19	19	16	19	19	19
Oppose	46	51	37	_ 39	21	44	36	40
Not strongly	12	15	12	11	6	10	10	12
Strongly	34	36	25	28	15	33	26	28
Unsure	9	9	9	9	14	7	11	9
Refused			1		2	0	1	1
Favor - oppose	-1	-11	16	12	42	5	16	11
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level			Me					
Favor	41	39	50	55	54	48	45	47
Strongly	24	24	31	30	40	28	32	30
Not strongly	17	15	18	25	15	20	13	17
Oppose	54	56	41	37	37	47	44	44
Not strongly	20	16	11	11	13	13	11	14
Strongly	34	39	30	26	24	34	33	30
Unsure Refused	5	6	8 1	8	9	6	10 1	8 1
	4.0			4.5	4-	-		
Favor - oppose	-12 155	-17 107	8 338	18 118	17 60	1 215	1 147	3 507
Number of cases	133	107	228	110	OU	213	147	307

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	tion	Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level										
Favor	29	22	34	66	60	79	27	80	42	50
Strongly	16	14	17	44	36	58	11	58	24	32
Not strongly	13	8	17	22	23	20	15	22	18	19
Oppose	63	75		24	27	18	64	15	45	40
Not strongly	20	22	18	7	8	4	19	5	14	12
Strongly	43	53	37	17	19	14	46	10	31	28
Unsure	8	2	11	9	13	3	9	5	12	9
Refused	0	1		0		,			1	1
Favor - oppose	-34	-53		43	33	61	-38	65	-3	11
Number of cases	204	82	122	43 282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level				Men	,					
Favor	24	21	26	64	57	77	21	76	40	47
Strongly	13	10				56	12	51	24	30
Not strongly	11	11				21	9	25	16	17
Oppose	70	75				20	72	18	50	44
Not strongly	21	19				5	20	8	16	14
Strongly	49	56				15	53	10	34	30
Unsure	6	4				3	6	6	9	8
Refused	1		1			-	1		1	1
Favor - oppose	-46	-54				58	-51	58	-9	3
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507

					Party id	lentifica	tion & id	leology					Total
	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level													
Favor	27	18	42	18	51		24	80	78	81	84	86	50
Strongly	11	7	24	8	27	32	10	58	54	60	63	66	32
Not strongly	15	11	18	10	23		14	22	23	22	21	20	19
Oppose	64	77	45	67	34		65	15	17	12	12	12	40
Not strongly	19	24	14	22	11	10	20	5	6	7	5	3	12
Strongly	46	53	31	46	24		46	10	11	5	7	9	28
Unsure	9	5	12	13	14 1	12 1		5	5	7	4	2	9
Refused			1	1	7.3	1	0						1
Favor - oppose	-38	-59	-3	-49	16	18	-42	65	60	70	72	75	11
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level					e.								
Favor	21	11	40	16	52		19	76	70	74	81	86	47
Strongly	12	6	24	5	31		9	51	45	49	55	59	30
Not strongly	9	6	16	12	21		10	25	25	25	26	27	17
Oppose	72	83	50	77	37		74	18	21	13	13	12	44
Not strongly	20	22	16	21	17		20	8	9	1	4	5	14
Strongly	53	60	34	56	20		54	10	12	12	9	7	30
Unsure	6	5	9	7	10		6	6	9	12	7	2	8
Refused	1	2	1		1	1	1						1
Favor - oppose	-51	-72	-9	-60	15		-54	58	50	61	68	73	3
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level													
Favor	18		39		82		38	15	41	42	77	83	50
Strongly	7		22		60	• •	14	8	25	22	54	62	32
Not strongly	11		17		21		23	6	16	20	23	21	19
Oppose	76	54	50	39	13	17	53	77	47	43	17	14	40
Not strongly	17	19	14	14	7	4	10	28	17	12	7	4	12
Strongly	59	34	36		6	12	43	49	30	32	10	10	28
Unsure	6	12	10	16	5	4	9	8	13	12	6	3	9
Refused			1	1) (C	>			2			1
Favor - oppose	-58		-11			63	-16	-63	-6	-1	59	70	11
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level				la.	No.	<i>?</i>							
Favor	16	25	36	45	80	74	28	12	42	39	69	82	47
Strongly	7	15	21	28	56	48	15	7	26	23	43	58	30
Not strongly	9		16		24	26	13	5	16	16	26	24	17
Oppose	80		55	44	14	20	63	83	51	48	24	12	44
Not strongly	18	21	15	18	7	9	21	18	18	15	10	7	14
Strongly	61	45	40	26	7	11	42	65	33	34	14	6	30
Unsure	4	7	8	11	6	7	7	5	7	11	7	6	8
Refused		2	1				2			1			1
Favor - oppose	-64		-18	_	66		-34	-71	-10	-9	45	70	3
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right direction	Wrong track	Jobs, employ- ment	Educ., schools	Taxes or state budget	Health- care	Other	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level								
Favor	40	58	51	57	40	47	54	50
Strongly	23	37	31	39	26	29	35	32
Not strongly	16	21	19	18	13	19	20	19
Oppose	54	32	39	34	48	44	40	40
Not strongly	18	9	12	9	19	17	11	12
Strongly	36	23	27	25	28	27	29	28
Unsure	5	9	10	8	11	6	6	9
Refused	1	0	0		2	2		1
Favor - oppose	-15	26	12 229	23	-8	3	15	11
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level			Me					
Favor	37	54	47	57	35	47	50	47
Strongly	24	33	30	38	21	32	32	30
Not strongly	12	21	18	19	14	16	18	17
Oppose	59	37	45	36	56	44	41	44
Not strongly	22	10	15	12	23	17	7	14
Strongly	37	27	31	24	33	27	34	30
Unsure	4	8	7	7	8	6	9	8
Refused	1	1	1		2	2		1
Favor - oppose	-22	18	2	21	-21	3	9	3
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507

-	Michigan'	s healthcar today	e system		sonal healt coverage	hcare	Total
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
federal poverty level							
Favor	47	54	48	53	47	54	50
Strongly	35	34	29	37	29	33	32
Not strongly	12	20	19	16	18	21	19
Oppose	47	37	41	39	45	33	40
Not strongly	6	7	17	13	14	6	12
Strongly	41	30	24	25	31	27	28
Unsure	7	8	10	9	7	12	9
Refused		0	1		1		1
Favor - oppose	0	17	7	14	2	21	11
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level							
Favor	44	50	45	48	44	51	47
Strongly	30	31	28	30	27	34	30
Not strongly	14	19	17	18	17	17	17
Oppose	50	42	46	47	48	39	44
Not strongly	15	10	19	16	14	12	14
Strongly	35	32	28	31	34	26	30
Unsure	6	8	7	5	7	9	8
Refused		0	1		1	1	1
Favor - oppose	-7	9	-1	1	-4	13	3
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507

		for most of healthcare	own	Importa	nce of Med fam		lf, own	Total
	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level								
Favor	47	52	55	70	50	35	33	50
Strongly	32	37	36	49	25	16	21	32
Not strongly	15	14	19	21		20	11	19
Oppose	44	36	42	_ 18	42	54	61	40
Not strongly	12	14	8	3	14	23	17	12
Strongly	32	22	34 🧥	14	28	31	44	28
Unsure	8	11	3	13	7	11	5	9
Refused	0	1	1/100		1		1	1
Favor - oppose	3		12	52		-18	-28	11
Number of cases	225	103	12 68	178	98	70	145	507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level			Me					
Favor	43	46	48	63	48	37	31	47
Strongly	27	32	30	46	22	17	20	30
Not strongly	17	14	18	18		20	11	17
Oppose	49	43	45	25		55	62	44
Not strongly	15	14	12	10		16	16	14
Strongly	35	30	33	15		39	47	30
Unsure	7	10	5	11		8	6	8
Refused	0	1	2	1			1	1
Favor - oppose	-6	3	3	38		-19	-31	3
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507

		scribes Mic licaid prog		Least desc	criptive of N	Medicaid in	Michigan	Total
	Account-	Competent		Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-	-
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level	able		ful	sion			ful	
Favor Strongly Not strongly Oppose Not strongly Strongly Unsure Refused	52 39 14 35 5 30 12	24 18 51 14 37	45 17 28 8 19	76 55 21 11 1 10 11 2	38 12 45 11 34 6	46 29 17 47 14 32 6	53 33 20 39 13 26 8	50 32 19 40 12 28 9
Favor - oppose Number of cases	17 53		34 74	65 55		-1 90	14 82	11 507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level			Me					
Favor Strongly	54 33	27	38	73 44	34	45 24	47 30	47 30
Not strongly Oppose Not strongly	21 40 9	57 22	34	29 20 4	46	21 53 22	16 48 17	17 44 14
Strongly Unsure Refused	31 6		23 5	16 5 2	6	31 1 1	31 5	30 8 1
Favor – oppose Number of cases	14 53			52 <i>55</i>		-8 90	-1 82	3 <i>507</i>

	Changing	the rules to	o expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level									
Favor	79	16	45	72	28	2	47	97	50
Strongly	55	7	18	49	16	2	19	80	32
Not strongly	24	9	27	24	12		28	18	19
Oppose	13	78	24	18	65	93	37	1	40
Not strongly	5	21	11	8	16	19	16		12
Strongly	8	57	12	10	48	74	21	1	28
Unsure	8	5	31	9	7	5	15	2	9
Refused	0	1		1		1	1		1
Favor - oppose	66	-62	22 58	54	-37	-91	10	97	11
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level				le.					
Favor	77	14	36	67	28		42	95	47
Strongly	53	6	15	46	14		17	76	30
Not strongly	24	7	21	21	14		25	19	17
Oppose	15	82	41	25	66	98	43	2	44
Not strongly	6	21	23	13	16	17	20	_ 1	14
Strongly	8	61	19	12	50	81	22	1	30
Unsure	7	4	23	7	6	2	13	3	8
Refused	1	i		0	1	_	1	•	1
Favor – oppose Number of cases	62 247	-68 198	-5 58	42 238	-39 226	-98 121	0 243	93 143	3 507

	Years registered to vote				preside mary vo		Genera	al electio	n vote hi	story	Most likely voter	Total
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
Q12 Expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level	than 10		than 15									
Favor	50	53	47	24	74	54	50	50	50	49	48	50
Strongly Not strongly	32 19	35 18	31 17	13 12	61 12	33 21	32 18	34 17	32 18	33 16	35 13	32 19
Oppose	38	38	44	68	13	36	39	42	41	43	46	40
Not strongly	13	11	13	19	3	12	12	13	12	14	12	12
Strongly	25	28	32	49	10	25	27	29	28	30	34	28
Unsure	11	8	8	6	13	10	10	7	9	7	5	9
Refused	1	1		2	1100	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Favor - oppose	13	15	3	-44 91	60 43	18	11	8	9	5	2	11
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q13 In view of varying estimates, expanding Medicaid coverage to all uninsured state residents with household income levels up to 138 percent of the current federal poverty level				1	(C)							
Favor	46	55	44	22	61	52	47	48	47	46	44	47
Strongly	29	37	26	11	48	32	30	30	30	29	31	30
Not strongly	17	18	18	12	13	19	17	17	17	17	13	17
Oppose	44	39	48	69	25	40	44	45	45	47	51	44
Not strongly	15	13	13	16	9	14	14	14	14	15	12	14
Strongly	29	26	35	53	16	26	30	31	31	32	38	30
Unsure	9	5	8	7	13	7	8	7	7	7	5	8
Refused	1	1		1		1	1	0	1	0	0	1
Favor - oppose	2	16	-4	-47	36	11	3	2	2	-1	-6	3
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	<i>373</i>	437	334	483	308	246	507

		Geo	graphic a	rea		Me	dia market	S	Total
_	Tri– County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid would become an unreasonably large program, covering almost one in four (or 23% of) residents of the state				~					
Convincing argument not to expand	55	66	41	59	67	57	68	68	62
Very convincing	22	32	10	26	31	23	32	34	28
Somewhat convincing	33	34	30		36	34	36	34	35
Not very convincing	42	33	54	38	29	39	30	28	34
Unsure	3	1	4	2	3	3	2	3	3
Refused	1		1	1	1	0		2	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would just give the federal government more power & control over our lives									
Convincing argument not to expand	45	55	33	50	61	48	67	58	55
Very convincing	20	30	6	24	35	22	40	32	29
Somewhat convincing	26	24	27	26	27	26	27	26	26
Not very convincing	52	43	63	47	37	49	31	40	43
Unsure	2	2	3	2	1	2	1	1	2
Refused	1		1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507

	MSA co	unties	Ethni	city	Total	
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;		
		urban		Anglo		
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid would become an unreasonably large program, covering almost one in four (or 23% of) residents of the						
state						
Convincing argument not to expand	62	63	52	65	62	
Very convincing	26	34	17	30	28	
Somewhat convincing	36	29	35	35	35	
Not very convincing	35	31	42	32	34	
Unsure	3	5	5	2	3	
Refused	1	1	1	1	1	
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>	
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would just give the federal government more power & control over our lives	4					
Convincing argument not to expand	53	65	33	59	55	
Very convincing	26	38	11	32	29	
Somewhat convincing	26	27	23	27	26	
Not very convincing	45	33	61	39	43	
Unsure	2	1	4	1	2	
Refused	0	1	1	0	1	
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507	

	Se	2X		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid would become an unreasonably large program, covering almost one in four (or 23% of) residents of the state										
Convincing argument not to expand	59	65	65	65	56	58	60	64	66	62
Very convincing	29	27	24	31		29	28	24	30	28
Somewhat convincing	31	38	41	34	29	30	32	40	36	35
Not very convincing	37	31	34	31	. 37	37	38	34	28	34
Unsure	3	3	2	3	5	5	2	1	4	3
Refused		1		1	2			1	2	1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	7 153	112	122	132	141	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would just give the federal government more power & control over our lives										
Convincing argument not to expand	60	50	55	59	49	61	59	55	46	55
Very convincing	34	24	23	31	. 31	33	35	21	27	29
Somewhat convincing	26	27	32	28	18	28	24	35	19	26
Not very convincing	39	47	44	39		38	40	43	50	43
Unsure	1	2	1	1	. 3	1	1	1	3	2
Refused		1		1	. 1			1	1	1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	7 153	112	122	132	141	507

	E	Education			Income		Socio-e	conomic s	tatus	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
04416	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid would become an unreasonably large program, covering almost one in four (or 23% of) residents of the										
state					^					
Convincing argument not to expand	66	63	61	65	66	60	65	66	57	62
Very convincing	29	22		30		32	27	28	30	28
Somewhat convincing	37	41		34	39	28	38	38	28	35
Not very convincing	31	34	36	32	33	37	32	31	39	34
Unsure	2	4	3	4	1	3	2	3	3	3
Refused	1		0	. 9	0		1	0		1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would just give the federal government more power & control over our lives			4	HILL						
Convincing argument not to expand	57	54	54	54	55	53	55	58	53	55
Very convincing	30	28	29	28	29	27	30	25	32	29
Somewhat convincing	28	26	25	25	26	26	25	33	21	26
Not very convincing	40	44	45	45	44	46	43	40	47	43
Unsure	3	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2
Refused			0		0			0		1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	/ment	Total
_	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid								
would become an								
unreasonably large program,								
covering almost one in four								
(or 23% of) residents of the								
state				(0)				
Convincing argument not to	67	70	61	60	64	64	56	62
expand								
Very convincing	34	35	26	20	30	28	26	28
Somewhat convincing	33	35	35	40	34	36	30	35
Not very convincing	31	29	35		31	33	38	34
Unsure	2	- 10	4	2	3 2	2	6	3
Refused	155	107		110	60	215	1 147	50 <i>7</i>
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	307
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would			110.					
just give the federal								
government more power &								
control over our lives								
Convincing argument not to expand	63	69	52	54	44	62	47	55
Very convincing	32	36	28	30	24	31	32	29
Somewhat convincing	32	32	23	24	20	31	16	26
Not very convincing	37	31	46	45	52	38	48	43
Unsure			2	2	3		4	2
Refused			0		1		1	1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	ition	Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid would become an unreasonably large program, covering almost one in four (or 23% of) residents of the state	, ,				^					
Convincing argument not to expand	79	79	79	52	59	40	84	44	65	62
Very convincing	43	46	40	18	22	10	44	12	32	28
Somewhat convincing	36	32				30	40	32	32	35
Not very convincing	20	21				59	15	53	31	34
Unsure	2	1		3		1	1	3	4	3
Refused			6.17	0		1			0	1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would just give the federal government more power & control over our lives				la.						
Convincing argument not to expand	77	82	74	40	48	25	78	30	62	55
Very convincing	45	61	34	17	22	6	44	8	37	29
Somewhat convincing	32	21	39	23	26	19	34	21	26	26
Not very convincing	22	18				74	22	68	36	43
Unsure	1		1			1		3	1	2
Refused	0		1						0	1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	<i>507</i>

					Party id	lentifica	tion & id	leology					Total
_	GOP	Cons	Indep	Cons	Mod	M/L	GOP+	Dem	Cons/	Mod	M/L	Lib	
	(all)	GOP	(all)	Indep	Indep	Indep	cons Indep	(all)	mod Dem	Dem	Dem	Dem	
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid							шиер		Delli				
would become an													
unreasonably large program,													
covering almost one in four													
(or 23% of) residents of the													
state													
Convincing argument not to expand	84	87	65	81	60	57	83	44	51	48	42	36	62
Very convincing	44	52	32	50	25	22	46	12	15	16	12	9	28
Somewhat convincing	40	36	32	31	35	34	37	32	36	32	30	28	35
Not very convincing	15	11	31	17	37	40	16	53	45	47	56	64	34
Unsure	1	1	4	2	3	3	1	3	4	5	2		3
Refused			0	671	0	1							1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would just give the federal government more power & control over our lives													
Convincing argument not to expand	78	78	62	86	52	50	81	30	38	23	21	19	55
Very convincing	44	45	37	58	26	25	49	8	15	7	3		29
Somewhat convincing	34	33	26	28	26			21	23	16	18	19	26
Not very convincing	22	22	36	12	46	49	19	68	59	73	77	80	43
Unsure			1	1	1	1	1	3	3	4	2	1	2
Refused			0	1			0						1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
_	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid													
would become an													
unreasonably large program,													
covering almost one in four													
(or 23% of) residents of the													
state													
Convincing argument not to	82	86	61	69	35	49	84	84	63	66	39	49	62
expand													
Very convincing	43	45	30		12	12	43	46		35	12	13	28
Somewhat convincing	39	41	31		23	37	41	38	34	31	27	36	35
Not very convincing	16	14	35	26	61	48	15	16		29		48	34
Unsure	2		4	4	4	3	2		4	4	3	4	3
Refused	= 0			$\frac{1}{2}$						1			1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would													
just give the federal													
government more power &													
control over our lives													
Convincing argument not to	79	77	67	58	30	29	78	78	67	58	30	29	55
expand	79	//	67	30	30	29	78	78	67	20	30	29	33
Very convincing	55	34	38	36	7	9	34	54	37	37	8	9	29
Somewhat convincing	24	43	29		24	20	43	24	30	22	21	21	26
Not very convincing	21	23	33	40	67	68	22	22	32	40	68	67	43
Unsure			1	2	2	3			1	1	2	3	2
Refused				1						1			1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
-	Right direction	Wrong track	Jobs, employ- ment	Educ., schools	Taxes or state budget	Health- care	Other	
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid would become an unreasonably large program, covering almost one in four (or 23% of) residents of the state			e.ik		Sudget			
Convincing argument not to expand	71	59	64	63	73	68	49	62
Very convincing	33	27	32	21	33	20	24	28
Somewhat convincing	38	33	32	42	40	48	25	35
Not very convincing	26	37 💩	33	37	21	29	48	34
Unsure	3	2	3		5	1	4	3
Refused	1	1	1		2	1		1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would just give the federal government more power & control over our lives			Lu.					
Convincing argument not to expand	68	47	54	48	53	64	59	55
Very convincing	38	24	30	19	30	33	29	29
Somewhat convincing	31	23	24	29	23	31	30	26
Not very convincing	30	52	44	51	45	36	38	43
Unsure	2	1	2	1			3	2
Refused	1	0	0		2			1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	<i>53</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>507</i>

	Michigan'	s healthcai today	e system	•	rsonal healt coverage	thcare	Total
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,	
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid would become an unreasonably large program, covering almost one in four	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
(or 23% of) residents of the							
state Convincing argument not to expand	66	63	63	60	65	59	62
Very convincing	25	27	31	27	31	24	28
Somewhat convincing	41	36	31	33	35	35	35
Not very convincing	30	36	33	39	29	38	34
Unsure	3	1	4	1	5	1	3
Refused	1	0	1		1	1	1
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would just give the federal government more power & control over our lives			V				
Convincing argument not to expand	56	53	58	53	52	60	55
Very convincing	31	27	30	24	32	25	29
Somewhat convincing	25	26	28	28	20	35	26
Not very convincing	42	46	39	46	45	39	43
Unsure		0	2	1	2	1	2
Refused	1	0	1		1		1
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507

		for most of healthcare	own	Importa	ance of Med fam		lf, own	Total
_	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid would become an unreasonably large program, covering almost one in four (or 23% of) residents of the state				^				
Convincing argument not to expand	65	59	59	57	57	68	72	62
Very convincing	33	28	23	23	12	38	43	28
Somewhat convincing	32	31	36	34	46	30	29	35
Not very convincing	33	31	41	38	39	31	26	34
Unsure	2	7		4	. 2	1	2	3
Refused	0	3		1	. 1			1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would just give the federal government more power & control over our lives Convincing argument not to			La.					
expand	56	46	57	46	56	64	63	55
Very convincing	30	30	26	24	23	39	37	29
Somewhat convincing	26	16	32	22	33	25	26	26
Not very convincing	43	47	43	51	42	35	37	43
Unsure	0	5		2	1	1	0	2
Refused	0	1		0	1			1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507

		scribes Mid		Least desc	criptive of M	1edicaid in	Michigan	Total
_	Account-	Competent		Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-	
	able		ful	sion			ful	
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid								
would become an								
unreasonably large program,								
covering almost one in four (or 23% of) residents of the								
state								
Convincing argument not to								
expand	75	72	51	60	68	61	64	62
Very convincing	21	35	18	25	32	25	25	28
Somewhat convincing	54		34	35		36	39	35
Not very convincing	20		45	38		38	36	34
Unsure	2		3			1	1	3
Refused	2		77, 70	2				1
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would just give the federal			10.					
government more power &								
control over our lives								
Convincing argument not to	F.0	63	40	4.4	5 0		F-7	
expand	50	63	49	44	56	55	57	55
Very convincing	30	33	19	17	36	26	30	29
Somewhat convincing	20			27		29	27	26
Not very convincing	48		49	54	43	45	43	43
Unsure	2		1		1			2
Refused				2				1
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507

	Changing	the rules to coverage	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid would become an unreasonably large program, covering almost one in four (or 23% of) residents of the state									
Convincing argument not to expand	51	78	56	54	72	90	70	27	62
Very convincing	15	46	20	16	39	66	23	5	28
Somewhat convincing	36	33	35	38	33	24	47	22	35
Not very convincing	47	18	32	42	26	8	25	70	34
Unsure	2	3	10	2	2	2	4	2	3
Refused	0	1	2	1			1	1	1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would just give the federal government more power & control over our lives Convincing argument not to	39	76	52	38	74	91	61	14	55
expand									
Very convincing	15	50	16	13	48	72	22	3	29
Somewhat convincing	24	25	36	25	26	19	38	11	26
Not very convincing	60	23	39	60	25	9	36	84	43
Unsure	1	1	8	2	0		3	1	2
Refused		1	1	0	0		1		_ 1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years registered to vote				preside imary vo		Genera	al electio	n vote hi	story	Most likely voter	Total
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3	-	
O1416 ded Mediceid	than 10		than 15									
Q14 If expanded, Medicaid would become an												
unreasonably large program,												
covering almost one in four												
(or 23% of) residents of the												
state												
Convincing argument not to expand	67	63	58	76	45	61	62	62	63	63	62	62
Very convincing	28	25		48	11	25	29	30	28	32	34	28
Somewhat convincing	38	38		27	34	36	34	32	35	32	28	35
Not very convincing	31	34		20	46	L. III	33	34	33	32	36	34
Unsure	2	2		3	7	2	3	3	3	4	2	3
Refused	1	2		1	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q15 Expanding Medicaid would												
just give the federal												
government more power &												
control over our lives												
Convincing argument not to	56	52	57	74	27	53	55	55	55	56	56	55
expand	20	20	20	40	_	27	20	2.1	20	2.1	2.4	20
Very convincing	28 28	28 24		48 27	6 21	27 27	29 27	31 25	29 26	31 24	34 22	29 26
Somewhat convincing Not very convincing	43	44		27	64	46	42	42	43	42	42	43
Unsure	1	3		1	7	1	2	2	2	2	1	2
Refused	1	1		1	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507

		Geo	graphic a	rea		Me	dia market	ts	Total
_	Tri-	Macomb/	Wayne	Tri-	Balance	Detroit	Grand	Other	
	County	Oakland	County	County,			Rapids-	markets	
	(all)	Cos.		not			K-BC		
016.11				Detroit					
Q16 We can't count on the									
debt-ridden federal									
government's contribution to									
Michigan Medicaid to last									
forever, so in the future									
Michigan taxpayers will be									
left to foot the bill for all the									
new Medicaid recipients added									
				A GN	40				
Convincing argument not to expand	55	62	47	58	72	58	75	71	65
Very convincing	25	31	19	30	42	27	44	43	35
Somewhat convincing	30		29		30	31	31	28	30
Not very convincing	40		45	39	26	37	22	27	31
Unsure	4	2	6	3	2	4	2	1	3
Refused	1		- 1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q17 Medicaid should not be									
expanded until the waste,									
fraud, & abuse in the									
program is cleaned up									
Convincing argument not to	70	72	69	70	76	70	78	77	74
expand	70	12	09	70	70	70	76	77	74
Very convincing	41	43	38	43	50	40	51	53	46
Somewhat convincing	29		31		26	30	27	23	27
Not very convincing	28	27	29	28	23	28	20	23	25
Unsure	1	2	1	. 2	1	2	1		1
Refused	1		1	_	0	0		0	0
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	<i>507</i>

	MSA counties		Ethnic	city	Total
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last		urban		Anglo	
forever, so in the future Michigan taxpayers will be left to foot the bill for all the new Medicaid recipients added		an.			
Convincing argument not to expand	64	70	55	68	65
Very convincing Somewhat convincing Not very convincing Unsure	34 30 32 3	41 29 28 1	18 36 36 8	39 29 31 1	35 30 31 3
Refused Number of cases	0 413	1 94	1 90	0 414	1 507
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up		•			
Convincing argument not to expand	74	75	67	75	74
Very convincing Somewhat convincing	45 29	54 21	29 39	50 25	46 27
Not very convincing	25	24	29	24	25
Unsure Refused	1	1	3 1	1	1 0
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	50 <i>7</i>

	Se	ex		Age			Sex &	k age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	·
araw t			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last forever, so in the future Michigan taxpayers will be left to foot the bill for all the										
new Medicaid recipients										
added Convincing argument not to					Y 1					
expand	65	66	71	69	55	71	59	74	58	65
Very convincing	38	33	38			41	35	35	30	35
Somewhat convincing	27	33	33			30	24	38	28	30
Not very convincing	33	30	26			26	40	23	37	31
Unsure	2	3	2	3		3	1	2	4	3
Refused	224	1	1.46	30.	L 0	112	122	1	1	1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	7 153	112	122	132	141	507
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up Convincing argument not to expand	70	77	74	77	7 70	73	67	81	74	74
Very convincing	45	47	42	47	7 49	45	45	48	46	46
Somewhat convincing	25	30	31	30		28	21	32	28	27
Not very convincing	29	21	25			25	32	19	24	25
Unsure	1	1	1	(1	1		2	1
Refused		1		1	0			1	1	0
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	7 153	112	122	132	141	507

		Education			Income		Socio-ec	onomic st	atus	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low N	Medium	High	
O16 We can't count on the	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last										
forever, so in the future Michigan taxpayers will be										
left to foot the bill for all the new Medicaid recipients					^					
added				0						
Convincing argument not to expand	65	63	69	60	68	67	63	70	64	65
Very convincing	32	39	36	28		39	34	35	39	35
Somewhat convincing	33	24		32	34	28	30	35	26	30
Not very convincing	31	33	30	37	,	31	33	26	34	31
Unsure	4	4	4.4	3	1	2	3	3	2	3
Refused	1	1 4 2	210	00	174	1.42	0	1.00	1.50	1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up										
Convincing argument not to expand	78	75	71	78	72	75	78	73	70	74
Very convincing	55	45	42	49	48	46	51	45	43	46
Somewhat convincing	23	31	29	29		29	27	29	28	27
Not very convincing	20	23	29	21		25	20	25	30	25
Unsure	2	2		2	0		2	1		1
Refused										0
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507

		Ho	usehold ty	pe		Employ	yment	Total
_	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double income	child (all)	double income	adult, no child	time		
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last forever, so in the future		income	(dii)	income	no cilia			
Michigan taxpayers will be left to foot the bill for all the new Medicaid recipients added				ar.				
Convincing argument not to expand	73	79	62	70	57	72	52	65
Very convincing	41	50	34	36	31	40	30	35
Somewhat convincing	32	29	29	34	26	33	22	30
Not very convincing	24	20	35	27	42	27	44	31
Unsure	3	1/2	- 3	4	1	1	5	3
Refused	0	1						1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up Convincing argument not to expand	85	87	69	66	75	75	70	74
Very convincing	54	60	44	38	45	46	49	46
Somewhat convincing	31	27	25		30	29	21	27
Not very convincing	15	13	29		24	25	27	25
Unsure			1	2	1		3	1
Refused								0
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	tion	Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last forever, so in the future Michigan taxpayers will be left to foot the bill for all the new Medicaid recipients added	(4.17)									
Convincing argument not to	76	81	. 73	59	65	48	86	50	65	65
expand				33		40		30	03	03
Very convincing	52	62				19	52	19	39	35
Somewhat convincing	25	19		34		29	34	31	26	30
Not very convincing	22	16		38	31	52	14	46	31	31
Unsure	2	2	1	3	4			4	4	3
Refused			Ť,	0	•			0		1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	? 183	99	122	166	198	507
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up Convincing argument not to	87	88	87	66	5 77	45	85	62	78	74
expand	66	70	63	33	39	21	63	30	51	46
Very convincing Somewhat convincing	21	18				21	21	30	27	46 27
	12	12				55	15	36	21	27
Not very convincing Unsure	12	12	. 12			33	13	2	1	1
Refused	т.		1	1	. 1			2	1	0
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	2 183	99	122	166	198	50 <i>7</i>
INVITIDEL OF CASES	204	02	122	202	. 103	23	122	100	130	507

					Party id	lentifica	tion & ic	leology					Total
	GOP	Cons	Indep	Cons	Mod	M/L	GOP+	Dem	Cons/	Mod	M/L	Lib	
	(all)	GOP	(all)	Indep	Indep	Indep	cons Indep	(all)	mod Dem	Dem	Dem	Dem	
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last forever, so in the future Michigan taxpayers will be							шаер		Dem				
left to foot the bill for all the													
new Medicaid recipients													
added						0)							
Convincing argument not to expand	86	86	65	76	64	60	83	50	53	55	50	47	65
Very convincing	52	57	39	59	27	29	55	19	23	21	17	13	35
Somewhat convincing	34	29	26	18			28	31	30	34	34	33	30
Not very convincing	14	14	31	19	34	38	16	46	41	34	45	53	31
Unsure			4	5	2	2	2	4	5	9	4		3
Refused				1.0	Va.			0	1	1	1		1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up													
Convincing argument not to	85	86	78	90	76	71	87	62	79	73	56	41	74
expand	63	65	51	75	40	20	67	30	41	20	22	1 5	16
Very convincing Somewhat convincing	21	21	27	75 15	40 36		67 19	30 32	38	29 43	22 34	15 26	46 27
	15	14	21	9	23	_		36	19	43 24	43	∠6 59	27 25
Not very convincing Unsure	13	14	1	9 1	23 1		0	36	2	24	43	39	
Refused			1	1	1	1	U	2	2	3	1		1 0
	122	00	100	69	0.0	125	101	166	06	56	122	67	507
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
_	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
O16 We can't count on the	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last forever, so in the future													
Michigan taxpayers will be left to foot the bill for all the new Medicaid recipients						.0							
added													
Convincing argument not to expand	86	86	66	64	42	54	91	81	68	63	62	39	65
Very convincing	50	54	42		18		51	54	39	38	27	12	35
Somewhat convincing	36	32	24				40	27	28	25	36	27	30
Not very convincing	14	14	32		_	B. Y	9	19		35	34	57	31
Unsure			2	5	4	4			5	3	3	4	3
Refused					NO	1					_1		1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up					•								
Convincing argument not to expand	80	89	74	83	54	66	85	84	81	76	69	56	74
Very convincing	55	70	51	52	26		65	61	48	55	34	26	46
Somewhat convincing	24	_	23		28		20	23	32	22	35	30	27
Not very convincing	20	11	25	16	43		15	16	19	22	29	42	25
Unsure			1	1	2	2				1	2	2	1
Refused			_								_		0
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction (of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right direction	Wrong track	Jobs, employ- ment	Educ., schools	Taxes or state budget	Health- care	Other	
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last forever, so in the future Michigan taxpayers will be left to foot the bill for all the new Medicaid recipients added			inch		budget			
Convincing argument not to expand	78	57	65	63	74	65	62	65
Very convincing	48	28	37	32	43	29	30	35
Somewhat convincing	31	29	28		32	36	32	30
Not very convincing Unsure	18 3	41 1	31 3	37	24	31 4	33 5	31 3
Refused	1	0	1		2			1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	<i>507</i>
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up Convincing argument not to expand	78	71	74	65	74	81	74	74
Very convincing	48	47	45	42	52	53	46	46
Somewhat convincing	30	24	29	24	23	27	28	27
Not very convincing	20	28	25	35	24	18	24	25
Unsure	1	0	1			2	2	1
Refused	1	0	0		2			0
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507

	Michigan'	s healthca today	re system	-	rsonal healt coverage	thcare	Total	
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,		
O1C We sould sound on the	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor		
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last forever, so in the future Michigan taxpayers will be left to foot the bill for all the new Medicaid recipients added			an					
Convincing argument not to	65	67	40.00	64	60	6.4	65	
expand	65	67	63	64	68	64	65	
Very convincing	34		39	34	40	31	35	
Somewhat convincing	31	35	25	30	28	34	30	
Not very convincing	33	32	32	34	29	33	31	
Unsure		1	4	2	3	3	3	
Refused	1		1		1	0	1	
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507	
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up								
Convincing argument not to expand	72	74	74	77	74	72	74	
Very convincing	42			47	47	46	46	
Somewhat convincing	31	26	_	29	27	27	27	
Not very convincing	26	26	24	23	23	27	25	
Unsure		0	_		1	0	1	
Refused	1		1		1		0	
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507	

		for most of healthcare	own	Importa	ance of Med fam		lf, own	Total
-	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last forever, so in the future Michigan taxpayers will be left to foot the bill for all the	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
new Medicaid recipients added								
Convincing argument not to expand	70	58	58	56	67	81	70	65
Very convincing	40	34	36	25	27	47	50	35
Somewhat convincing	31	24	23	31	40	34	21	30
Not very convincing	28	36	39	40	28	18	27	31
Unsure	1	5	3	3	4	1	3	3
Refused	0	1	180	1	1			1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up Convincing argument not to	76	74	75	70	74	79	79	74
expand	40	40	20	41	2.2	F.0	C1	4.0
Very convincing	49	49	39	41		50	61	46
Somewhat convincing	27	25	36 35	30		29	17	27
Not very convincing	24	22	25	28		20	21	25
Unsure Refused	0	3 1		1 0		1		1 0
	225	103	68	178	_	70	145	50 <i>7</i>
Number of cases	223	103	00	178	98	70	143	307

		scribes Mid		Least desc	criptive of M	1edicaid in	Michigan	Total
	Account- able	Competent	Resource- ful	Compas- sion	Competent	Efficient	Resource- ful	
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last forever, so in the future Michigan taxpayers will be left to foot the bill for all the new Medicaid recipients added	abic			al?			Tul	
Convincing argument not to expand	67	67	67	58	65	67	61	65
Very convincing	36	39	26	25	41	36	39	35
Somewhat convincing	30	28	41	33		31	23	30
Not very convincing Unsure	33	32 1	28 4	40		32 1	37 2	31 3
Refused			1	2				1
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up Convincing argument not to expand	79	76	81	67	66	78	76	74
Very convincing	41	. 57	41	41	47	50	43	46
Somewhat convincing	38	_		26		28	33	27
Not very convincing	21	. 23	18	31	34	21	24	25
Unsure		1	1			1	1	1
Refused				2				0
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507

	Changing	Changing the rules to expand coverage			iew about icaid	Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last forever, so in the future Michigan taxpayers will be left to foot the bill for all the new Medicaid recipients				no.					
added Convincing argument not to	53	79	69 👞	56	79	93	73	29	65
expand					r'				
Very convincing	21	55	28	20	55	78	32	5	35
Somewhat convincing	32		42	36		15	41	23	30
Not very convincing	45		21	41		7	21	69	31
Unsure	2		10	2	1		5	2	3
Refused Number of cases	0 <i>247</i>		58	1 238	226	121	243	143	1 507
Number of cases	247	190	30	236	220	121	243	143	307
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up Convincing argument not to expand	63		73	61	87	95	84	39	74
Very convincing	31		37	29		83	49	11	46
Somewhat convincing	32		36	32		12	35	28	27
Not very convincing	36	12	23	38	13	5	14	60	25
Unsure	1	0	4	0	0		2	1	1
Refused		1		0			1		0
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years re	ears registered to vote			2 preside imary vo		Genera	al electio	n vote hi	istory	Most likely voter	Total
	Less than 10	10-15	More than 15	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
Q16 We can't count on the debt-ridden federal government's contribution to Michigan Medicaid to last forever, so in the future Michigan taxpayers will be left to foot the bill for all the			than 15									
new Medicaid recipients					Ö	0.						
added Convincing argument not to expand	68	66	61	80	49	64	65	66	65	65	65	65
Very convincing	36	30		57			35	38	36	39	40	35
Somewhat convincing	32	35		23 18	31	32	30	28	29	26	25	30
Not very convincing	29	31		18	42		32	31	32	32	35	31
Unsure	2	2	4	1	7	3	3	2	3	2	0	3
Refused	1	1		1	2		0	1	1	1		1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q17 Medicaid should not be expanded until the waste, fraud, & abuse in the program is cleaned up Convincing argument not to	74	75	72	86	61	72	73	72	74	72	71	74
expand												
Very convincing	43	47		65			47	47	46	48	50	46
Somewhat convincing	31	28		21			26	25	28	24	21	27
Not very convincing	24	24		12			25	26	25	26	29	25
Unsure	1	_	2	1		1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Refused	1	1		1			0	1	0	1	246	0
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	:S	Total
	Tri-	Macomb/	Wayne	Tri-	Balance	Detroit	Grand	Other	
	County	Oakland	County	County,			Rapids-	markets	
	(all)	Cos.		not Detroit			K-BC		
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety & environmental protection				Detroit					
Convincing argument not to	58	64	51	60	68	61	68	67	64
expand Very convincing Somewhat convincing Not very convincing Unsure	27 31 39 2	37 27 34 2	14 37 46 2	33 27 38 1	33 35 31 1	26 35 36 2	37 31 31 1	34 33 32 1	30 34 34 1
Refused Number of cases	1 202	113	1 89	1 158	0 305	0 254	99	0 1 <i>54</i>	0 <i>507</i>
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs			. 4						
Convincing argument not to expand	52	59	43	56	67	53	70	67	61
Very convincing	27	34	19	31	40	30	43	38	35
Somewhat convincing	24	24	24	25	27	24	27	28	26
Not very convincing	45	39	51	41	30	43	26	30	36
Unsure	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	2	3
Refused	1		2	1	0	1		0	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	<i>507</i>

	MSA co	unties	Ethnic	city	Total
-	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
010.5		urban		Anglo	
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs,					
like education, public safety					
& environmental protection					
Convincing argument not to expand	65	61	54	66	64
Very convincing	29	36	14	34	30
Somewhat convincing	36	25	40	32	34
Not very convincing	33	38	41	33	34
Unsure	2		4	1	1
Refused	413	0.4	1	0	0
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs	13	C.			
Convincing argument not to expand	60	65	42	65	61
Very convincing	34	40	19	38	35
Somewhat convincing	26	25	23	27	26
Not very convincing	37	32	50	33	36
Unsure	3	3	6	2	3
Refused	1		2	0	1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507

	Se	X		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or less	41-60	More than 60	Male 18-50	Male 51+	Female 18-50	Female 51+	
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety & environmental protection										
Convincing argument not to expand	65	63	70	67	55	68	62	70	57	64
Very convincing Somewhat convincing Not very convincing Unsure Refused Number of cases	31 34 34 2	30 34 35 1 1 273	33 37 29 1	31 36 32 0 1 207	28 41	33 35 31 1	30 33 36 2	37 33 29 1	23 34 40 3 1	30 34 34 1 0 507
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs Convincing argument not to	62	60	65	62	56	64	60	62	58	61
expand	35	35	29	36	38	36	35	31	39	35
Very convincing Somewhat convincing	26	25	35	26		28	25	32	20	26
Not very convincing	36	36	34	34		35	37	34	37	36
Unsure	30	3	2	4		1	4	3	4	3
Refused	,		2	1		1		1	1	1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	_	112	122	132	141	50 <i>7</i>

		Education			Income		Socio-ec	onomic st	atus	Total
_	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	\$30K or less	\$30K- \$70K	Over \$70K	Low	Medium	High	
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety & environmental protection	1655	Conlege	graduate	iess	370 K	\$70K				
Convincing argument not to expand	64	62	67	60	64	68	62	68	64	64
Very convincing Somewhat convincing Not very convincing Unsure	25 39 34 2	30 32 36 2	32 33	27 33 38 2	28 35 36 1	39 29 32	24 38 35 2	34 34 31 1	35 29 35 1	30 34 34 1
Refused Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	0 <i>507</i>
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs Convincing argument not to	60	64	60	54	61	64	60	64	59	61
expand	27	2.0	22	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.4	25
Very convincing	37 22	36		27		35	35 25	37 27	34 25	35 26
Somewhat convincing	22 36	28 32		26 44		29 34	25 37	27 32	25 38	26 36
Not very convincing Unsure	4	32 4	2	2		2	3	3 2	30	3
Refused	1 1	4	2	1	۷	۷	0	3	3	3 1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507

		Ηοι	isehold ty	pe		Employ	/ment	Total
_	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double income	child (all)	double income	adult, no child	time		
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety & environmental protection		medine	(dil)	income	no cimo			
Convincing argument not to expand	72	80	61	63	54	68	53	64
Very convincing	41	49	26		22	37	22	30
Somewhat convincing	31	31	35 37	31	32	30	30	34
Not very convincing	28	20	37	34	44	32	43	34
Unsure			2	3	2		4	1
Refused								0
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs			Me					
Convincing argument not to expand	65	72	60	63	51	67	53	61
Very convincing	37	45	35	33	34	38	37	35
Somewhat convincing	28	27	25		17	29	16	26
Not very convincing	32	28	37	34	47	31	42	36
Unsure	2		3	3	1	2	4	3
Refused			0		1		1	1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	tion	Total
-	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety & environmental protection Convincing argument not to expand	79	81	77	56	63	43	79	54	63	64
Very convincing	41	49	36	24	30	12	48	16	31	30
Somewhat convincing	37	32		32		31	31	38	31	34
Not very convincing	21	19		42	35	56	21	43	36	34
Unsure				2	2	1		3	1	1
Refused					C.					0
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs				Me						
Convincing argument not to	77	78	77	52	59	37	86	44	60	61
expand Very convincing	49	50	47	26	31	17	52	22	36	35
Somewhat convincing	29	28				20	32 34	22	25	26
Not very convincing	20	19		_		62	13	51	36	36
Unsure	3	3				1	1	5	3	3
Refused	0	·	1	·	•	_	_	0	-	1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	<i>507</i>

					Party id	entifica	tion & id	leology					Total
_	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety & environmental protection Convincing argument not to expand	79	78	63	79	58	56	acp	54	68	60	48	38	64
Very convincing	48	49	31	43	28	26	46	16	21	23	16	10	30
Somewhat convincing	31	28	31	36	29		33	38	47	38	32	28	34
Not very convincing	21	22	36	21	41	43	21	43	30	36	49	61	34
Unsure			1		2	1		3	2	4	2	1	1
Refused						U							0
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs													
Convincing argument not to expand	86	84	60	78	56	54	83	44	54	49	39	30	61
Very convincing	52	54	36	53	29	28	53	22	28	25	19	15	35
Somewhat convincing	34	30	25	25	26	26	31	22	26	24	20	16	26
Not very convincing	13	15	36	17	42	44	14	51	41	46	58	68	36
Unsure	1	1	3	5	3	2	2	5	4	5	3	2	3
Refused								0	1				1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex		Party identification by age						Total
_	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
O10 Cost of a south a	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety & environmental protection													
Convincing argument not to expand	81	77	62	63	53	56	80	76	67	58	62	48	64
Very convincing	48	47	31	31	14	18	51	43	35	28	21	12	30
Somewhat convincing	33	29	31	32	39	38	29	33	32	30	41	36	34
Not very convincing	19	23	37	34	42	43	20	24	33	39	36	49	34
Unsure			1	2	5	2				3	2	4	1
Refused													0
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs					W.	r							
Convincing argument not to expand	83	90	64	57	38	47	88	85	58	63	47	41	61
Very convincing	56	49	34		15		50	55	31	40	23	21	35
Somewhat convincing	27	41	29		23		38	30		22	24	20	26
Not very convincing	17	9	35		55		12	14	39	34	50	53	36
Unsure		1	2	4	7	3		2	3	3	3	5	3
Refused						1						1	1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right direction	Wrong track	Jobs, employ- ment	Educ., schools	Taxes or state budget	Health- care	Other	
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety & environmental protection Convincing argument not to	71	59	61	63	71	73	64	64
_ expand							٠.	• .
Very convincing	37	27 33	29 32	30 33	39	31	30 34	30 34
Somewhat convincing Not very convincing	34 27	33 39	32 37	37	32 27	42 27	34 33	34 34
Unsure	1	3 9 1	2	3/	21	21	3	1
Refused	1	0.	á		2		3	0
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs			Me					
Convincing argument not to expand	72	55	60	58	71	67	56	61
Very convincing	39	34	33	34	34	35	40	35
Somewhat convincing	33	21	27	24	37	32	16	26
Not very convincing	25	41	38	41	24	32	35	36
Unsure	2	2	2		3	1	9	3
Refused	1	1	0	1	2			1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507

	Michigan'	s healthcai today	e system	-	rsonal healt coverage	thcare	Total
	State of crisis	Major problems	Minor, no problems	Excel- lent	Good	Fair, poor	
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety	CHSIS	problems	problems	ient		роог	
& environmental protection							
Convincing argument not to expand	66	64	64	66	65	64	64
Very convincing	33	31	28	30	30	33	30
Somewhat convincing	34		36	35	34	32	34
Not very convincing	32	35	33	34	33	34	34
Unsure		1	2	1	2	1	1
Refused	1		1		1		0
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs		1					
Convincing argument not to expand	67	59	62	62	61	60	61
Very convincing	45	31	37	35	40	29	35
Somewhat convincing	22	28	25	27	22	31	26
Not very convincing	30	38	34	36	34	39	36
Unsure	1	2	3	2	3	2	3
Refused	_1	_	1		1		_ 1
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507

-		for most of healthcare	own	Importa	nce of Med fam		lf, own	Total
-	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at all imp.	
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety & environmental protection	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	aii imp.	
Convincing argument not to	73	53	53	53	68	78	71	64
expand Very convincing	39	23	22	21	27	38	42	30
Somewhat convincing	33	30	30	33	41	40	29	34
Not very convincing	27	42	47	44		21	28	34
Unsure		5		2	1	1	1	1
Refused	0	1		0				0
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs			Me					
Convincing argument not to expand	64	51	59	53	53	76	69	61
Very convincing	41	36	31	26	28	42	48	35
Somewhat convincing	23	15	28	27	_	34	21	26
Not very convincing	35	42	36	42		19	30	36
Unsure	1	5	4	4		5	1	3
Refused	0	1		1	1			1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507

-		scribes Mic licaid prog		Least desc	criptive of N	1edicaid in	Michigan	Total
-	Account- able	Competent	Resource- ful	Compas- sion	Competent	Efficient	Resource- ful	
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety & environmental protection Convincing argument not to								
expand	65	63	73	54	64	68	69	64
Very convincing	28	38	19	26	33	32	36	30
Somewhat convincing	37	24	54	28		37	33	34
Not very convincing	32		26	44		32	30	34
Unsure	4		1	m'O"			1	1
Refused				2				0
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs			Me					
Convincing argument not to expand	67	66	53	46	60	58	60	61
Very convincing	34	37	29	26	45	31	29	35
Somewhat convincing	33	30	23	20		27	31	26
Not very convincing	33	32	41	52	37	39	38	36
Unsure		1	6		2	3	2	3
Refused				2				1
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507

	Changing	the rules to coverage	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less money for other needed state services & programs, like education, public safety & environmental protection									
Convincing argument not to	52	77	68	55	75	92	72	26	64
expand Very convincing Somewhat convincing Not very convincing Unsure Refused	17 35 47 1	48 30 21 1 1	30 38 28 4	17 38 43 1 0	46 29 25 1	67 25 8	29 44 25 2 1	2 24 72 1	30 34 34 1 0
Number of cases Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs Convincing argument not to								143	
expand	45	81	56	51	74	96	66	22	61
Very convincing Somewhat convincing Not very convincing Unsure	19 26 51 3	57 24 17 1	24 32 35 9	23 28 46 3	49 25 25 2	79 17 3 1	31 36 29 4	5 17 74 3	35 26 36 3
Refused Number of cases	0 <i>247</i>	1 198	58	1 <i>238</i>	226	121	1 243	1 143	1 507

	Years registered to vote				2012 presidential primary vote			al electio	n vote hi	story	Most likely voter	Total
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
019 Cost of overanding	than 10		than 15									
Q18 Cost of expanding Medicaid would leave less												
money for other needed												
state services & programs,												
like education, public safety												
& environmental protection												
Convincing argument not to	71	57	60	70	50	64	62	61	64	61	61	64
expand					- 40			33	20		2.4	
Very convincing Somewhat convincing	34 37	27 30	29 31	47 23	10 40	29 35	31 31	29	30 34	33 29	34 27	30 34
Not very convincing	28	41	38	28	43	35	36	37	34	37	38	34
Unsure	1	1	2	-1	5	1	2	1	2	1	1	1
Refused	$\overline{1}$	$\bar{1}$		1	2		0	$\overline{1}$	0	$\overline{1}$		Ō
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q19 Expanding Medicaid would allow too many able-bodied young people to get insurance, even if they just choose not to work to provide for their own healthcare needs					10.							
Convincing argument not to	69	54	56	74	37	60	61	60	61	60	59	61
expand Very convincing	34	35	37	48	23	33	36	37	35	38	39	35
Somewhat convincing	35	19		26	14	27	25	22	25	21	20	26
Not very convincing	28	43		21	54	37	35	37	36	37	39	36
Unsure	2	2	4	4	6	3	3	2	3	3	2	3
Refused	1	1		1	3		1	1	1	1	0	1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507

		Geo	graphic a	rea		Me	dia market	ts	Total
_	Tri-	Macomb/	Wayne	Tri-	Balance	Detroit	Grand	Other	
	County	Oakland	County	County,			Rapids-	markets	
	(all)	Cos.		not			K-BC		
				Detroit					
Q20 Because there is so much									
disagreement among experts									
on the costs of expanding									
Medicaid, we shouldn't rush									
into any expansion until we				A (0.)					
have a better handle on the				4.0%	40				
financial consequences									
Convincing argument not to	73	78	68	75	80	75	82	79	77
expand			4.00						
Very convincing	38		27	44		41	51	50	45
Somewhat convincing	36		40	31	30	34	31	29	32
Not very convincing	25		29	23	18	22	18	19	20
Unsure	2	1	2	2	2	2		2	2
Refused	1		1	1	1	0		1	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Attitude toward Medicaid scale									
Negative	17	23	9	22	28	19	29	28	24
Mixed, neutral	47	49	43	46	49	47	49	48	48
Positive	36	27	48	32	23	33	22	24	28
Mean	60	53	69	56	48	57	47	49	53
Number of cases	202		89			254	99	154	<i>507</i>

	MSA co	unties	Ethnic	city	Total
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
		urban		Anglo	
Q20 Because there is so much disagreement among experts on the costs of expanding Medicaid, we shouldn't rush into any expansion until we have a better handle on the		73.0	X		
financial consequences Convincing argument not to			C.		
expand	77	79	67	80	77
Very convincing	44	50	28	49	45
Somewhat convincing	33	29	39	30	32
Not very convincing	21	17	29	19	20
Unsure	. 1	3	2	1	2
Refused	1		1	0	1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>
Attitude toward Medicaid scale					
Negative	23	29	4	28	24
Mixed, neutral	48	48	52	47	48
Positive	29	23	43	25	28
Mean	54	47	69	49	53
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>

	Se	x		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	·
			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q20 Because there is so much disagreement among experts on the costs of expanding Medicaid, we shouldn't rush into any expansion until we have a better handle on the				.0	<u>.</u>					
financial consequences				63						
Convincing argument not to expand	75	80	83	76	75	81	69	83	77	77
Very convincing	46	45	41	45	50	47	46	42	47	45
Somewhat convincing	28	35	42	31	24	34	23	41	30	32
Not very convincing	24	18	16	23	3 21	18	29	15	20	20
Unsure	2	1	1) 4	1	2	1	2	2
Refused		1			1			1	1	1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	7 153	112	122	132	141	507
Attitude toward Medicaid scale										
Negative	30	18	18	28	3 24	31	29	19	18	24
Mixed, neutral	43	52	55	44	46	43	43	58	47	48
Positive	27	29	26	28	30	26	28	23	35	28
Mean	50	55	54			48	51	54	56	53
Number of cases	234	273	146	5 207	7 153	112	122	132	141	507

		Education			Income		Socio-ec	onomic s	tatus	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low N	Лedium	High	
	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Q20 Because there is so much disagreement among experts on the costs of expanding Medicaid, we shouldn't rush into any expansion until we					0					
have a better handle on the				A. (4)	M &					
financial consequences				- A GN	4.0					
Convincing argument not to expand	80	79	76	80	82	73	82	78	75	77
Very convincing	49	48		42		49	48	47	43	45
Somewhat convincing	31	31	34 23	37	35	25	34	31	32	32
Not very convincing	18	18	23	20	17	26	17	20	25	20
Unsure	2	2	0		0	1	1	2	1	2
Refused			0	4.4	0			0		1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Attitude toward Medicaid scale										
Negative	19	27	25	15	27	26	21	26	26	24
Mixed, neutral	54	48	43	49	45	47	50	51	41	48
Positive	27	25	32	36	28	28	29	23	33	28
Mean	55	52	52	59	52	51	55	50	53	53
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	Total	
_	Child (all)	Child, double	No child	No child, double	Single adult,	Full- time	Retired	
Q20 Because there is so much disagreement among experts		income	(all)	income	no child			
on the costs of expanding Medicaid, we shouldn't rush				.0.				
into any expansion until we have a better handle on the				0°. A				
financial consequences				9				
Convincing argument not to expand	84	86	76	74	76	79	74	77
Very convincing	53	57	43	43	35	46	45	45
Somewhat convincing	31	29 14	32			32	29	32
Not very convincing	16	14	22	24	22	21	23	20
Unsure			2	2	2		4	2
Refused			100			0		1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Attitude toward Medicaid scale								
Negative	31	38	21	19	12	29	20	24
Mixed, neutral	47	44	48	54	45	45	48	48
Positive	22	18	31	27	43	26	32	28
Mean	48	44	54	53	61	49	55	53
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	<i>507</i>

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	tion	Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative		Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q20 Because there is so much	(uii)	vacive	vative							
disagreement among experts										
on the costs of expanding										
Medicaid, we shouldn't rush										
into any expansion until we				, a						
have a better handle on the				- 40		,				
financial consequences										
Convincing argument not to expand	90	92	89	70	79	54	94	67	78	77
Very convincing	60	65	5 57	37	44	23	62	30	50	45
Somewhat convincing	30	27				32	33	37	29	32
Not very convincing	9	8				45	6	32	19	20
Unsure	0		1	2	3			1	2	2
Refused				0		1			0	1
Number of cases	204	82	? 122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Attitude toward Medicaid scale										
Negative	41	52				10	44	4	29	24
Mixed, neutral	51	44			54	27	50	45	47	48
Positive	8	4	11	43	32	63	6	51	24	28
Mean	38	32	2 41	63	57	73	36	70	49	53
Number of cases	204	82	? 122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507

					Party io	dentifica	ition & ic	deology					Total
_	GOP	Cons	Indep	Cons	Mod	M/L	GOP+	Dem	Cons/	Mod	M/L	Lib	
	(all)	GOP	(all)	Indep	Indep	Indep	cons Indep	(all)	mod Dem	Dem	Dem	Dem	
Q20 Because there is so much							шаср		Dem				
disagreement among experts													
on the costs of expanding													
Medicaid, we shouldn't rush						.0.							
into any expansion until we have a better handle on the					- A								
financial consequences					-40		3						
Convincing argument not to													
expand	94	97	78	90	75	73	93	67	80	81	64	49	77
Very convincing	62	67	50				65	30	38	44	31	20	45
Somewhat convincing	33	30	29					37	42	38	33	29	32
Not very convincing	6	3	19		22			32	18	15	35	51	20
Unsure			2		3	2	1	1	2	4	2		2
Refused			0			1							1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	3 125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Attitude toward Medicaid scale													
Negative	44	51	29	50	16	18	46	4	4	3	3	4	24
Mixed, neutral	50	46	47	44	55	48	48	45	58	50	37	27	48
Positive	6	3	24	6	29	34	6	51	38	47	59	70	28
Mean	36	32	49	33	55	5 57	35	70	64	68	73	78	53
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	3 125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>

		Party	identif	ication b	y sex			Party	identifi	cation b	y age		Total
_	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q20 Because there is so much disagreement among experts on the costs of expanding Medicaid, we shouldn't rush into any expansion until we						20							
have a better handle on the						(0)							
financial consequences						<i>3</i>	>						
Convincing argument not to expand	92	96	75	82	61	70	94	95	84	73	69	65	77
Very convincing	60		50	50	31	. 30	56	68		50	31	30	45
Somewhat convincing	32	33	25		31		38	26		23	38	35	32
Not very convincing	8	4	23	15	36	29	6	5	15	24	29	35	20
Unsure			2	. 2	2	2 1			1	3	2	1	2
Refused				1	69.					1			1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	<i>507</i>
Attitude toward Medicaid scale													
Negative	48	41	36	21	3	5	43	46	27	30	7	1	24
Mixed, neutral	47	53	40	55	43	46	53	47	49	46	49	42	48
Positive	6	6	25	24	54	49	4	8	24	25	44	56	28
Mean	34	37	47	' 51	72	69	37	35	49	49	67	73	53
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	issue		Total
•	Right	Wrong	Jobs,	Educ.,	Taxes or	Health-	Other	
	direction	track	employ-	schools	state	care		
			ment		budget			
Q20 Because there is so much disagreement among experts								
on the costs of expanding Medicaid, we shouldn't rush								
into any expansion until we have a better handle on the								
financial consequences			4.0%	40				
Convincing argument not to			100					
expand	83	75	75	71	92	84	76	77
Very convincing	54	40	46	38	54	49	37	45
Somewhat convincing	28	35	46 29	33	37	35	38	32
Not very convincing	15	35 23	22	29	7	13	23	20
Unsure	2	1 4	2			2	1	2
Refused	1	1	0		2	1		1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Attitude toward Medicaid scale								
Negative	33	18	24	17	27	24	25	24
Mixed, neutral	49	48	47	42		55	45	48
Positive	18	34	29	40	20	21	30	28
Mean	45	57	53	58	47	51	53	53
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507

	Michigan'	s healthca today	re system	-	rsonal healt coverage	thcare	Total
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,	
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
Q20 Because there is so much							
disagreement among experts							
on the costs of expanding							
Medicaid, we shouldn't rush							
into any expansion until we							
have a better handle on the			AGY A	0			
financial consequences							
Convincing argument not to expand	78	75	81	76	81	74	77
Very convincing	54	45	45	48	47	43	45
Somewhat convincing	24		36	29	34	30	32
Not very convincing	20			22	17	25	20
Unsure	20		3	2	1	1	2
Refused	1	0		_	1	Ō	1
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Attitude toward Medicaid scale							
Negative	24	24	24	21	26	22	24
Mixed, neutral	51	45	50	50	45	50	48
Positive	24	31	27	29	28	28	28
Mean	51	54	52	54	51	55	53
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507

		own	Importa	lf, own	Total		
Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
		.00	6.2				
81	72	72	71	74	84	83	77
50	44	36	37	37	57	57	45
31	27	36	34	38	27	26	32
19	21	28	26	23	14	16	20
			1	2	3	1	2
0	1		1	1			1
225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
29	18	24	5	14	33	50	24
44	54	46	50	58	55	37	48
27	28	30			12	13	28
49	55	54			42	36	53 <i>507</i>
	Employer plan 81 50 31 19 0 225	Employer plan Medicare 81 72 50 44 31 27 19 21 6 0 225 103 29 18 44 54 27 28 49 55	Employer plan Medicare plan Self 81 72 72 50 44 36 31 27 36 19 21 28 6 0 1 225 103 68 29 18 24 44 54 46 27 28 30 49 55 54	Healthcare Employer plan Medicare Self Very imp. 81 72 72 71 50 44 36 37 31 27 36 34 19 21 28 26 0 1 1 1 225 103 68 178 29 18 24 5 44 54 46 50 27 28 30 45 49 55 54 67	Employer plan Medicare Self very imp. Somewhat imp. 81 72 72 71 74 50 44 36 37 37 31 27 36 34 38 19 21 28 26 23 0 1 1 1 1 225 103 68 178 98 29 18 24 5 14 44 54 46 50 58 27 28 30 45 28 49 55 54 67 57	Self Very Somewhat Not too imp.	Not at all imp. Somewhat Not at too imp. Somewhat Not at too imp.

		scribes Mid dicaid prog		Least des	Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan					
	Account-		Resource-	Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-			
	able	-	ful	sion	•		ful			
Q20 Because there is so much disagreement among experts on the costs of expanding Medicaid, we shouldn't rush into any expansion until we have a better handle on the financial consequences			:0	on de						
Convincing argument not to expand	70	81	85	75	77	75	77	77		
Very convincing	40	54	42	28	51	47	43	45		
Somewhat convincing	30	26	43	47	7 26	27	34	32		
Not very convincing	28	26 17	15	23	23	25	22	20		
Unsure	2		4.11			1	1	2		
Refused				2	<u>)</u>			1		
Number of cases	53	88	74	55		90	82	507		
Attitude toward Medicaid scale										
Negative	18	35	11	6	5 25	25	25	24		
Mixed, neutral	49	41	54	50	39	49	45	48		
Positive	33	24	35	44	36	26	30	28		
Mean	57			67		52		53		
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	5 60	90	82	507		

	Changing	the rules to coverage	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	Total		
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q20 Because there is so much									
disagreement among experts									
on the costs of expanding Medicaid, we shouldn't rush									
into any expansion until we									
have a better handle on the				40"	0				
financial consequences									
Convincing argument not to expand	68	90	78	68	88	99	85	46	77
Very convincing	29	64	48	31	59	85	44	15	45
Somewhat convincing	38	25	30	36	29	14	42	31	32
Not very convincing	32	8	14	31	12	1	11	53	20
Unsure	0	1	8	1	0		3		2
Refused	0	1	· ·	1			1	1	1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Attitude toward Medicaid scale									
Negative	2	56	8	3	48	100			24
Mixed, neutral	45	42	76	45	47		100		48
Positive	52	2	16	52	6			100	28
Mean	71	30	54	70	35	17	51	85	53
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years registered to vote				2012 presidential primary vote			al electio	Most likely voter	Total		
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
020 Process the section of the	than 10		than 15									
Q20 Because there is so much disagreement among experts												
on the costs of expanding												
Medicaid, we shouldn't rush						A						
into any expansion until we					0							
have a better handle on the					. 6							
financial consequences					112							
Convincing argument not to expand	80	74	77	86	61	77	76	75	77	75	77	77
Very convincing	42	41	53	69	22	42	46	47	46	48	50	45
Somewhat convincing	38	33	24	17	39	35	30	28	32	27	27	32
Not very convincing	19	23	20	12	32	21	22	23	21	24	22	20
Unsure	1	3	2	1	6	1	2	1	2	1	0	2
Refused	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Attitude toward Medicaid scale												
Negative	24	19	27	47	4	20	24	27	24	28	31	24
Mixed, neutral	50	50		41	41		46	44	48	43	38	48
Positive	26	32	28	12	56	29	30	30	28	30	31	28
Mean	53	55	51	36	70		52	52	52	51	51	53
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	ts	Total
	Tri- County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Q21 Education	20	20		a -	26	20		26	2=
High school or less	30		31	27	26	28	27	26	27
Some grade school	1	2	2	1	0	1		1	1
Some high school	3		2	3	4	3	4	4	3
Graduated high school	26		29	23	22	24	24	22	23
Some college	22		22	25	32	27	21	35	28
College graduate	47	49	45	47	40	44	50	38	43
Graduated college	31	36	26	31	28	28	36	28	29
Graduate, professional school	16	14	19	15	12	16	14	10	14
Refused	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q22 Adults in household									
1	16		18	12	14	16	17	12	15
2 or more	81		79	85	84	82	81	86	83
2	51		38	57	55	52	55	55	54
3 or more	30	21	41	27	29	29	26	31	29
Refused	3	3	3	4	2	2	2	2	2
Mean	2.3	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.2
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q23 Working adults in household									
None	20		20	19	28	23	22	29	25
1	29	22	38	25	26	28	26	27	27
2 or more	48		39	53	42	46	50	40	45
2	39		28	43	33	36	39	32	36
3 or more	10		11	10	9	10	10	8	9
Refused	3	3	3	4	3	3	2	3	3
Mean	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.3
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	<i>507</i>

	MSA cou	ınties	Ethni	city	Total
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
		urban		Anglo	
Q21 Education					
High school or less	27	29	33	26	27
Some grade school	1	1	2	0	1
Some high school	3	6	5	3	3
Graduated high school	24	22	25	23	23
Some college	27	34	28	28	28
College graduate	45	36	38	44	43
Graduated college	30	26	29	30	29
Graduate, professional school	15	10	9	14	14
Refused	1	1	1	1	1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>
Q22 Adults in household					
1	15	15	28	12	15
2 or more	83	85	69	86	83
2	53	56	30	58	54
3 or more	30	28	39	28	29
Refused	3		3	2	2
Mean	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.2
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Q23 Working adults in					
household					
None	24	30	22	26	25
1	28	27	29	27	27
2 or more	46	41	46	44	45
2	36	33	33	36	36
3 or more	9	9	13	9	9
Refused	3	2	3	3	3
Mean	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.3
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507

	Se	x		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
Q21 Education			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
High school or less	22	32	23	26	34	17	27	26	38	27
Some grade school	0	1	1		1		1	2	1	1
Some high school	3	4	4	3	4	2	4	5	3	3
Graduated high school	18	27	18	23	29	15	22	20	34	23
Some college	31	26	29	28	27	35	27	24	27	28
College graduate	46	41	47	46	36	48	44	48	34	43
Graduated college	27	31	34	31	24	28	27	36	27	29
Graduate, professional school	19	9	14	15	12	20	17	12	7	14
Refused	1	2	0	1	3		2	1	2	1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Q22 Adults in household				10	10					
1	8	21	10	12	23	3	11	14	28	15
2 or more	91	76	87	86		95	87	82	70	83
2	61	47	47	50		54	68	43	50	54
3 or more	29	29	40	36	10	41	19	39	20	29
Refused	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	4	1	2
Mean	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.4	1.9	2.5	2.1	2.4	2.0	2.2
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Q23 Working adults in										
household										
None	22	27	5	14	59	5	37	6	47	25
1	28	27	33	28	22	27	28	32	23	27
2 or more	48	42	60	56	15	66	33	58	26	45
2	39	32	44	45	14	49	31	46	19	36
3 or more	9	10	16	11	1	17	2	12	7	9
Refused	2	4	3	2	4	2	2	4	3	3
Mean	1.4	1.3	1.7	1.6	.6	1.8	1.0	1.7	.9	1.3
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507

		Education	1		Income		Socio-	economic	status	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Q21 Education	100			4.4	24	4-		10	-	2-
High school or less	100			41	31	15	66	10	1	27
Some grade school	3			_	0	2	2			1
Some high school	13			5	4	2	9	1	_	3
Graduated high school	85			36	26	11	55	9	1	23
Some college		100		32	32	20	34	44	5	28
College graduate			100	27	37	65		46	94	43
Graduated college			68	23	29	39		44	51	29
Graduate, professional school			32	4	8	26		2	43	14
Refused					0		0			1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	<i>507</i>
Q22 Adults in household				11/2						
1	20	13		31	13	5	22	14	8	15
2 or more	78	86	85	69	85	95	76	85	91	83
2	47	47	63	47	56	60	44	54	65	54
3 or more	31	39	22	22	30	35	32	31	26	29
Refused	2	1	63 22 2	"Ue	2		2	2	1	2
Mean	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.2
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q23 Working adults in										
household										
None	33	29	17	46	22	9	37	19	16	25
1	27	31	. 26	32	35	20	30	34	18	27
2 or more	37	39	55	21	40	71	30	44	65	45
2	28	30		19	35	54	25	34	51	36
3 or more	9	9	10	2	5	17	5	10	13	9
Refused	3	1			2		2	3	1	3
Mean	1.2	1.2		.8	1.2	1.8	1.0	1.4	1.7	1.3
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	ment	Total
	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
Q21 Education								
High school or less	23	22	30	23	38	15	40	27
Some grade school	1		0				0	1
Some high school	5	7	3	2	4	3	4	3
Graduated high school	16	16	27	21	34	12	35	23
Some college	28	23	29	26	26	25	27	28
College graduate	49	55	40	51	35	59	31	43
Graduated college	30	30	29	37	26	39	19	29
Graduate, professional school	19	25	12	13	9	20	12	14
Refused			1		1		2	1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q22 Adults in household			10/12					
1	10		18		100	9	23	15
2 or more	90	100	82	100		90	76	83
2	46	54	58	59		62	62	54
3 or more	44	46	24	41		28	14	29
Refused			0			2	1	2
Mean	2.5	2.7	2.1	2.5	1.0	2.3	2.0	2.2
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q23 Working adults in household								
None	5		35		61	1	70	25
1	26		29		37	24	18	27
2 or more	69	100	35	100		74	9	45
2	54	79	28	79		62	8	36
3 or more	14	21	7	21		12	1	9
Refused			1		2	2	3	3
Mean	1.8	2.2	1.1	2.2	.4	1.9	.4	1.3
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	ition	Total
-	Conser-	Very	Somewhat		Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep.,	
	vative	conser-	conser-	liberal					other	
	(all)	vative	vative							
Q21 Education										
High school or less	27	23				20	26	35	22	27
Some grade school	1	1					2	0	0	1
Some high school	1	2		5		3		7	3	3
Graduated high school	24	20				16	24	28	18	23
Some college	31	33				28	32	28	26	28
College graduate	41	45				52	43	36	51	43
Graduated college	30	34				29	34	21	35	29
Graduate, professional school	11	11	. 11	16	12	23	9	15	16	14
Refused	1		1	1	1				1	1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q22 Adults in household										
1	12	10	13	16	16	16	11	18	15	15
2 or more	87	90		83	82	84	89	81	84	83
2	57	60				53	56	46	59	54
3 or more	30	30		31		32	33	35	25	29
Refused	1		2	$\overline{1}$			1	1	1	2
Mean	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.2
Number of cases	204	82				99	122	166	198	507
Q23 Working adults in										
household None	28	29	28	22	2.4	20	10	26	27	2.5
							19			25
1	26	24				34	27	29	29	27
2 or more	44	47				45	53	45	42	45
2	36	41				35	40	37	33	36
3 or more	8	6				10	13	8	9	9
Refused	1		2	2	2	1	1	1	2	3
Mean	1.3	1.3		1.4		1.4	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.3
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507

					Party id	entifica	tion & id	leology					Total
_	GOP	Cons	Indep	Cons	Mod	M/L	GOP+	Dem	Cons/	Mod	M/L	Lib	
	(all)	GOP	(all)	Indep	Indep	Indep	cons Indep	(all)	mod Dem	Dem	Dem	Dem	
Q21 Education													
High school or less	26	22	22	22	22	21	24	35	46	45	30	18	27
Some grade school	2	2	0	1			2	0	1	1	1		1
Some high school			3		4	5		7	10	13	6	1	3
Graduated high school	24	19	18	21	18	16	23	28	36	31	23	17	23
Some college	32	35	26	30	24	24	31	28	26	28	30	31	28
College graduate	43	44	51	47	52	53	44	36	27	27	40	51	43
Graduated college	34	36	35	28	39	39	32	21	19	20	22	23	29
Graduate, professional school	9	7	16	19	13	14	13	15	8	7	18	28	14
Refused			1	1	2	1	0						1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q22 Adults in household													
1	11	12	15	9	15	16	10	18	22	24	18	14	15
2 or more	89	87	84	91	84	83	90	81	76	73	80	86	83
2	56	62	59	57	63	62	56	46	39	36	46	55	54
3 or more	33	25	25	34	20	21	33	35	37	38	34	31	29
Refused	1	1	1	- 44	2	1	1	1	2	3	1		2
Mean	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.2
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q23 Working adults in													
household	10	22	27	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.4	20	20	20	22	1.0	2.5
None	19			33	24	24	24	26	30	29	23	18	25
1	27	28	29	24	26	31	26	29	27	27	29	30	27
2 or more	53	49	42	43	48	43	50	45	41	41	47	52	45
2	40	40	33	32	39	34	37	37	33	30	37	43	36
3 or more	13	9	9	11	9	8	13	8	8	11	9	8	9
Refused	1	1	2		3	3	1	1	2	3	1		3
Mean	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.3
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex			Party	identifi	cation b	y age		Total
_	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q21 Education													
High school or less	25		17	28	27	40	24	28	18	25	25	44	27
Some grade school		3	1			1	3			1		1	1
Some high school			3	3	6	7			4	3	6	7	3
Graduated high school	25	23	13	24	22	32	21	28	14	22	19	36	23
Some college	35		29	23	31	27	32	32	26	26	32	25	28
College graduate	40		53	48	42	33	44	41	56	46	43	31	43
Graduated college	28		32	38	18	23	33	34	36	34	27	16	29
Graduate, professional school	12	7	20	11	24	11	11	7	20	12	16	15	14
Refused			1	1						2			1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Q22 Adults in household							7						
1	7	14	9	22	6	25	7	14	10	19	10	26	15
2 or more	91	86	91	76	94	73	93	84	88	81	88	74	83
2	62	51	61	57	63	36	52	60	57	61	35	55	54
3 or more	30	35	30	19	31	37	41	23	31	20	53	19	29
Refused	2			2	u_{c}	2		2	2		2		2
Mean	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.7	2.0	2.2
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
Q23 Working adults in household													
None	19	19	21	35	27	25	4	37	9	44	2	46	25
1	27	26	31	26	24	32	26	27	34	24	30	28	27
2 or more	52	55	48	35	49	42	70	34	55	30	66	26	45
2	41	39	37	28	43	33	49	29	41	25	55	21	36
3 or more	11	16	11	7	6	9	21	5	14	5	11	5	9
Refused	2			3		2		2	2	2	2		3
Mean	1.4		1.4	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.9	1.0	1.6	.9		.9	1.3
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
-	Right	Wrong	Jobs,	Educ.,	Taxes or	Health-	Other	·
	direction	track	employ– ment	schools	state budget	care		
Q21 Education								
High school or less	22	34	24	24	28	27	37	27
Some grade school	1	0			5		1	1
Some high school	2	4	3	2	2		8	3
Graduated high school	19	29	21	22	22	27	28	23
Some college	31	25	30	21	32	25	27	28
College graduate	46	40	43	54	37	48	36	43
Graduated college	30	28	29	32	24	36	27	29
Graduate, professional school	17	12	15	22	13	12	8	14
Refused	1	2	2	1	2			1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Q22 Adults in household			1/1/20					
1	14	15	14	12	17	14	20	15
2 or more	84	82	84	86	80	85	77	83
2	50	55	54	63	38	54	52	54
3 or more	34	27	30	23	42	31	25	29
Refused	1	3	2	2	3	1	2	2
Mean	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.2
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Q23 Working adults in household								
None	21	26	21	21	21	25	39	25
1	26	29	30	26	26	27	26	27
2 or more	50	41	46	51	49	44	33	45
2	38	34	35	42	38	30	31	36
3 or more	13	7	10	9	11	14	3	9
Refused	2	4	3	2	3	4	2	3
Mean	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.0	1.3
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	<i>507</i>

	Michigan'	s healthcai today				Total	
_	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,	
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
Q21 Education							
High school or less	26	28	27	21	28	29	27
Some grade school		1	0	2	1		1
Some high school	3	4	3		3	6	3
Graduated high school	24	22	23	20	24	23	23
Some college	24	28	28	24	28	29	28
College graduate	47	43	43	54	42	41	43
Graduated college	39	30	27	33	28	32	29
Graduate, professional school	8	13	17	21	14	9	14
Refused	3	1	2	1	1	1	1
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Q22 Adults in household							
1	13	13	18	19	17	9	15
2 or more	82	86	80	76	81	90	83
2	40	56	55	52	55	57	54
3 or more	42	30	25	25	27	33	29
Refused	6	1	2	5	1	1	2
Mean	2.5	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.2
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Q23 Working adults in							
household							
None	13	24	29	25	30	18	25
1	31	33	22	24	27	29	27
2 or more	51	42	47	47	42	51	45
2	36	32	39	37	35	40	36
3 or more	15	9	7	10	7	11	
Refused	6	1	3	5	2	2	9
Mean	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.3
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507

		for most of o	own	Importa	lf, own	Total		
-	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	fam Somewhat	Not	Not at	
	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
Q21 Education								
High school or less	22	36	18	39	19	19	23	27
Some grade school	0	1		2	1			1
Some high school	3	5		5	2	2	3	3
Graduated high school	18	30	18	33	16	17	19	23
Some college	23	31	33	26	29	33	27	28
College graduate	55	30	46	33	50	48	49	43
Graduated college	34	20	36	20	41	31	33	29
Graduate, professional school	20	10	10	13	9	17	16	14
Refused	1	3	3	2	2		1	1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Q22 Adults in household			1/1/20					
1	11	25 🌲	8	22	9	5	13	15
2 or more	87	72	87	74	89	93	87	83
2	58	58	56	43	58	60	60	54
3 or more	29	13	32	31	31	33	26	29
Refused	2	3	5	4	2	3	20	2
Mean	2.3	1.9	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.2
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Q23 Working adults in household								
None	10	64	16	31	17	28	22	25
1	26	18	33	27	31	12	32	27
2 or more	62	11	46	37	49	5 <i>7</i>	46	45
2	52	11	35	30	38	45	37	36
3 or more	10	1	11	7	11	12	9	9
Refused	2	7	5	4	3	3	1	3
Mean	1.6	.4	1.4	1.1	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507

		scribes Mic dicaid prog		Least des	Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan					
_	Account-	Competent		Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-			
	able		ful	sion			ful			
Q21 Education										
High school or less	31		35	27	36	19	23	27		
Some grade school		2					1	1		
Some high school	2		6	2		2	4	3		
Graduated high school	29		29	26		17	18	23		
Some college	29		28	31		26	32	28		
College graduate	40	37	36	40	35	52	45	43		
Graduated college	23	20	28	_ 27	27	32	29	29		
Graduate, professional school	17	17	7	13	8	20	16	14		
Refused		2	1	2		4		1		
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507		
Q22 Adults in household										
1	23	14	15	13	16	13	13	15		
2 or more	77		82	85	84	83	87	83		
2	46	54	43	57	64	50	57	54		
3 or more	32	31	39	28	21	33	31	29		
Refused		1	3	2		4		2		
Mean	2.2		2.3	2.4		2.3	2.2	2.2		
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507		
Q23 Working adults in										
household										
None	28		24	21		12	23	25		
1	24			35		30	28	27		
2 or more	45		39	42		53	49	45		
2	38		35	35		48	36	36		
3 or more	7		5	7	7	5	14	9		
Refused	2	2	3	2		4		3		
Mean	1.3		1.2	1.3		1.5	1.4	1.3		
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507		

	Changing	the rules to coverage	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	Total		
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q21 Education									
High school or less	28	26	29	30	23	22	31	26	27
Some grade school		1	1	1	0		1	0	1
Some high school	3	4	3	3	4	2	4	3	3
Graduated high school	25	21	24	26	19	19	25	23	23
Some college	29	29	20	29	27	32	28	25	28
College graduate	42	43	52	40	48	46	39	48	43
Graduated college	28	31	33	26	36	32	29	28	29
Graduate, professional school	15	11	18	15	12	14	10	20	14
Refused	1	2		1	1	1	2	0	1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q22 Adults in household				0, 0					
1	16	11	24	20	10	7	16	20	15
2 or more	81	87	76	77	89	92	82	77	83
2	47	61	52	46	64	63	52	49	54
3 or more	34	26	24	31	26	29	30	28	29
Refused	3	2		3	1	1	2	3	2
Mean	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q23 Working adults in household									
None	24	23	33	27	20	19	27	27	25
1	28	27	29	28	29	28	24	33	27
2 or more	44	48	36	41	50	52	47	36	45
2	35	38	27	31	42	42	38	27	36
3 or more	9	10	9	10	8	10	9	9	9
Refused	3	3	2	4	1	1	3	4	3
Mean	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.3
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	<i>507</i>

	Years registered to vote				preside		Genera	al electio	n vote hi	story	Most likely voter	Total
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
Q21 Education	than 10		than 15									
High school or less	25	30	29	18	26	30	27	26	27	25	16	27
Some grade school	1	1		1		1	1	0	1	0	0	1
Some high school	4	1	5	-	3	4	3	3	3	2	1	3
Graduated high school	20	28	24	17	23	25	23	22	23	22	15	23
Some college	29	21	32	33	25	27	27	28	28	27	24	28
College graduate	45	47	37	47	45	42	45	45	44	47	59	43
Graduated college	30	36	23	34	24	29	31	30	30	31	34	29
Graduate, professional school		10	14	13	21	13	14	15	14	16	25	14
Refused	1	2	2	2	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	50 <i>7</i>
rvaniber of cases	203	113	173	31		40	131	331	103	300	2 10	307
Q22 Adults in household					100							
1	12	21	14	11	35	13	15	16	15	16	15	15
2 or more	86	75	85	88	61		82	82	83	81	84	83
2	50	50	62	62	43	53	56	58	53	58	59	54
3 or more	36	25	24	26	18	32	26	24	30	23	25	29
Refused	2	4	1	1	3	2	2	2	2	2	1	2
Relasea	_	•	-		,,	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Mean	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.3	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	50 <i>7</i>
Q23 Working adults in household												
None	17	23	36	31	38	22	26	27	25	28	32	25
1	29	29	24	30	29	27	26	26	27	26	25	27
2 or more	52	43	38	38	29	48	45	44	45	43	42	45
2	40	38	29	31	24	38	36	37	35	35	35	36
3 or more	12	6	8	6	5	11	9	8	10	8	8	9
Refused	2	5	2	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	3
Mean	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	<i>507</i>

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	Total		
-	Tri- County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Q24 Infants & children in household									
None	68	69	67	67	66	70	62	64	67
1 or more	29	28	30	28	32	27	36	33	31
1	10	6	15	10	15	10	17	16	13
2	10	15	5	11	10	10	10	11	10
3 or more	8	7	10	6	6	7	9	5	7
Refused	3	3	4	4	2	3	2	3	3
Kelusea	3	3	4	4	2	3	2	3	3
Mean	.6	.6	.6	.5	.6	.6	.7	.6	.6
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	<i>507</i>
Q22-Q24 Household type				40	6				
Family	85	85	85	86	87	85	84	88	86
With children	29	28	30	28	32	27	36	33	31
Traditional family	1	1	2	2	4	1	3	6	3
Double income	20	25	14	23	22	19	27	20	21
No one employed	1		2		2	1		3	2
Single adult	4	2	2 6	1	3	3	4	2	3
No children	55	56	55	57	54	58	48	54	55
Double income	28	30	25	29	20	26	23	19	23
Single adult, no children	12	13	12	10	11	12	13	10	12
Not ascertained	3	3	3	4	2	3	2	2	2
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q25 Employment									
Employed	60	62	57	59	52	57	62	47	55
Full-time	43	46	39	42	42	43	47	39	42
Part-time	17	16	18	17	9	14	15	8	12
Other	39	37	42	39	47	42	37	52	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid									
off	9	9	10	11	8	9	7	9	9
Retired	22	23	22	21	33	26	27	35	29
Homemaker	3	3	3	3	2	3	1	3	3
Student	4	3	6	5	4	4	3	4	4
Refused	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	<i>507</i>

	MSA co	unties	Ethnic	city	Total
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
		urban		Anglo	
Q24 Infants & children in					
household					
None	67	64	58	68	67
1 or more	30	34	39	29	31
1	13	16	13	13	13
2	10	13	12	10	10
3 or more	7	6	14	5	7
Refused	3	2	3	3	3
Mean	.6	.6	.9	.5	.6
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Q22-Q24 Household type		40	S		
Family	86	85	79	87	86
With children	30	34	39	29	31
Traditional family	2	7		3	3
Double income	21	23	22	21	21
No one employed	2	1	2	1 2	2
Single adult No children	4 56	49	9 40	58	3 55
Double income	25	49 17	24	23	23
Single adult, no children	11	15	18	10	12
Not ascertained	3	1	3	2	2
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Q25 Employment					
Employed	55	52	54	55	55
Full-time	42	43	40	43	42
Part-time	13	9	13	12	12
Other	43	48	45	44	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid					
off	10	5	16	7	9
Retired	27	38	19	31	29
Homemaker	3	2	2	3	3
Student	4	3	8	3	4
Refused	1		1	1	1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>

-	Sex			Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or less	41-60	More than 60	Male 18-50	Male 51+	Female 18-50	Female 51+	
Q24 Infants & children in			1622		triari 60	16-50	31+	10-30	21+	
household										
None	65	68	44	62	94	44	85	45	89	67
1 or more	32	29	53	36	2	54	12	51	9	31
1	11	15	23	15	1	16	7	25	6	13
2	15	7	14	14	1	26	4	12	2	10
3 or more	7	7	16	6	1	12	1	14	2	7
Refused	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	5	2	3
Refused	2	3	5	2	3	2	3	5	2	3
Mean	.6	.6	1.1	.7	.0	1.1	.2	1.1	.1	.6
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Q22-Q24 Household type										
Family	92	81	95	88	75	98	87	91	71	86
With children	32	29	53	36	2	54	12	51	9	31
Traditional family	4	2	. 5			6	2	4	3	3
Double income	24	19	35	3 27	0	40	8	33	6	21
No one employed	1	2	2	2		1	1	2	1	2
Single adult	1	4	8	2		3		8	1	3
No children	59	51	41	52	71	43	74	39	62	55
Double income	25	22	25	29	14	25	24	25	20	23
Single adult, no children	6	17	3	10	23	1	11	5	27	12
Not ascertained	2	3	3	2	3	2	2	4	1	2
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Q25 Employment										
Employed	57	53	74	69	18	75	41	75	32	55
Full-time	49	37	50	61	11	64	35	48	26	42
Part-time	8	16	24	8	7	11	5	27	7	12
Other	42	46	26	29	81	25	58	24	, 66	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid										
off	10	8	9	13	3	13	7	8	8	9
Retired	28	30	1	13	77	3	51	2	56	29
Homemaker	20	5	4	3	1	3	31	9	1	3
Student	1	3	12	1		9		5	1	4
Refused	4 1			2	1 1	9	2			
Number of cases	234	1 273	0 146	207	153	112	2 1 <i>22</i>	1 132	1 141	1 507
ivarriber of cases	234	2/3	140	207	155	112	122	132	141	307

	Education				Income		Socio-	economic	status	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
Q24 Infants & children in	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
household										
None	72	68	62	75	74	56	75	64	60	67
1 or more	25	30		25	24	44	23	34	38	31
1	14	13		13	11	17	9	20	12	13
2	7	8		6	10	15	8	8	16	10
3 or more	4	9		6	3	11	6	6	10	7
Refused	2	1		O	2	1	2	3	2	3
		6	.7	-				.6		6
Mean Number of cases	.4 139	.6 143		.5 99	.4	.9 142	.5 184	166	.8 150	.6 507
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	104	100	130	307
Q22-Q24 Household type				~*O						
Family	82	88		75	88	98	79	89	93	86
With children	25	30	35	25	24	44	23	34	38	31
Traditional family	1	5	3	3	3	4	2	3	4	3
Double income	17	17		10	14	35	13	20	32	21
No one employed	2	3		6	•	•	3	1	•	2
Single adult	3	2	53	6	3	3	3	4	2	3
No children	56 19	58		49	64	54	56	54 24	54 31	55 23
Double income Single adult, no children	19 16	22 11		12 25	26 10	36 2	17 19	9	6	12
Not ascertained	2	1		23	2	2	2	2	1	2
Number of cases	139	143		99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q25 Employment										
Employed	37	52	69	35	56	76	38	59	73	55
Full-time	24	38		15	45	67	23	45	65	42
Part-time	13	14		20	11	8	15	14	8	12
Other	61	48		65	44	24	61	41	27	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid										
off	14	9	6	20	9	4	13	9	3	9
Retired	42	28	21	38	30	16	40	23	21	29
Homemaker	2	4	2 2		4	3	2	3	3	3
Student	3	7	2	8	1	2	5	6	1	4
Refused	2						1			1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	<i>507</i>

		Hou	isehold ty	pe		Employ	ment	Total
-	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
Q24 Infants & children in								
household								
None			100	100	100	52	95	67
1 or more	100	100				45	3	31
1	43	37				17	3	13
2	34	41				18	0	10
3 or more	23	22				10		7
Refused						3	2	3
Mean	1.9	2.0				.9	.0	.6
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q22-Q24 Household type			- 40					
Family	100	100	82	100		92	77	86
With children	100	100				45	3	31
Traditional family	9					4		3
Double income	69	100	// // //			38	1	21
No one employed	5	4.0	Sec.	7		_	1	2
Single adult	10		0.0	100		2	1	3
No children			82	100		46	73	55
Double income			35 18	100	100	35 6	7 22	23 12
Single adult, no children					100	2		2
Not ascertained	1.55	107	0	110	60		1	
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q25 Employment						100		
Employed	76	90	45	79	32	100		55
Full-time	63	76	33	64	22	100		42
Part-time	14	13	12	15	10			12
Other	24	10	55	20	68		100	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid off	10	3	9	7	11			9
Retired	3	2	41	9	54		100	29
Homemaker	5	1	1	1	1			3
Student	6	4	3	3	2			4
Refused			1	1				1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	<i>507</i>

-			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	ıtion	Total
•	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q24 Infants & children in	(all)	valive	vative							
household										
None	65	62	67	69	68	70	66	70	64	67
1 or more	33	38				29	32	29	34	31
1	12	15		15		14	11	15	15	13
2	12	16		9		12	11	8	13	10
3 or more	9	7		6		3	10	6	7	7
Refused	2	,	3			1	1	1	2	3
	_		_	_		_	_	_	_	
Mean	.7	.8		.5	.6	.5	.8	.5	.6	.6
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q22-Q24 Household type				6						
Family	89	90	88	86	85	88	92	84	88	86
With children	33	38		30		29	32	29	34	31
Traditional family	4	5		2		3	3	2	4	3
Double income	22	26				18	24	18	24	21
No one employed	2	4	677	2	2	2	1	2	2	2
Single adult	2		4	3		4	3	3	3	3
No children	56	52		56		58	59	55	53	55
Double income	22	21		25		28	29	26	18	23
Single adult, no children	10	10		13		12	8	15	12	12
Not ascertained	1		2		2		1	1	1	2
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q25 Employment										
Employed	57	59	56	55	56	53	65	51	54	55
Full-time	44	46	42			47	53	39	41	42
Part-time	14	13				7	12	12	13	12
Other	42	41				47	35	49	46	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid										
off	7	7	-			10	4	12	9	9
Retired	32	29				25	25	28	31	29
Homemaker	2	4		3		2	4	2	2	3
Student	1		2	6	4	9	1	6	4	4
Refused	0		1							1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	<i>507</i>

	Party identification & ideology											Total	
	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
Q24 Infants & children in													
household													
None	66	63	64	64	63	65	66	70	71	73	70	68	67
1 or more	32	35	34	36	34	33	34	29	27	24	28	32	31
1	11	13	15	14	17	15	12	15	12	14	16	18	13
2	11	12	13	11	11	12	11	8	6	1	7	11	10
3 or more	10	10	7	10	6	5	10	6	8	9	6	3	7
Refused	1	2	2		2	2	1	1	2	3	1		3
Mean	.8	.8	.6	.7	.6	.6	.7	.5	.6	.5	.5	.5	.6
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q22-Q24 Household type					. 6	7.2							
Family	92	91	88	91	87	87	91	84	79	76	83	90	86
With children	32	35	34	36	34	33	34	29	27	24	28	32	31
Traditional family	3	4	4	5	3	3	4	2	2	2	2	3	3
Double income	24	23	24	26	24	23	25	18	18	20	19	19	21
No one employed	1	1	2	3	1	_	2	2	2	3	3	2	2
Single adult	3	4	3		4	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
No children	59	56	53	55	52	53	58	55	52	52	55	58	55
Double income	29	25	18	17		19	25	26	22	21	27	32	23
Single adult, no children	8	8	12	9	11	11	8	15	19	21	15	10	12
Not ascertained	1	1	1	60	2	1	1	1	2	3	1	67	2
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q25 Employment													
Employed	65	68	54	50	57	56	60	51	51	53	54	54	55
Full-time	53	54	41	37	42	43	47	39	36	39	42	44	42
Part-time	12	14	13	13	15	12	13	12	14	14	12	9	12
Other	35	32	46	50	43	44	40	49	49	47	46	46	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid off	4	2	9	11	9	8	7	12	11	11	12	12	9
Retired	25	27	31	34	30	30	28	28	31	25	24	24	29
Homemaker	4	2	2	4	2	1	4	2	2	3	3	3	3
Student	i	1	4	i	2	5	i	6	6	8	8	8	4
Refused	_	-	•	_	_	,	_	·	Ū	Ü	Ū	•	i
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	50 <i>7</i>

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex		Party identification by age					Total	
-	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
Q24 Infants & children in	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
household													
None	67	66	61	68	71	69	48	87	41	86	46	91	67
1 or more	32		38		29		52	10	57	13	52	9	31
1	10		14		10		14	-8	21	8	27	4	13
2	11		20		10		21	J	23	3	13	4	10
3 or more	10		4	· ·	9	-	16	2	13	1	11	i	7
Refused	2		1		,	2	10	3	2	1	2	-	3
Mean	.7	.8	.7	.6	.6	.5	1.3	.2	1.1	.2	1.0	.2	.6
Number of cases	58		106		61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
Q22-Q24 Household type						(O) s							
Family	91	92	94	80	94	78	98	84	95	81	93	76	86
With children	32	33	38	29	29	29	52	10	57	13	52	9	31
Traditional family	6		5	2	2	3	1	4	8		5		3
Double income	22		29	18	20	17	40	6	37	11	35	3	21
No one employed	2			3	3		2		3			3	2
Single adult		6	_ 3		. OZ	5	6		7		4	2	_ 3
No children	60		55		65	49	47	73	38	67	41	67	55
Double income	30		18		29	25	30	27	18	18	31	22	23
Single adult, no children	7		6		6		2	14	4	19	5	24	12
Not ascertained	2			2		2		2	2		2		2
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Q25 Employment													
Employed	65	65	60		47		83	44	73	37	72	33	55
Full-time	61	45	50	31	39		63	41	54	29	53	26	42
Part-time	4	19	10	16	7	15	21	3	19	8	19	6	12
Other	35	35	40	52	53	47	17	56	27	63	28	67	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid off	7	2	8	10	17	10	8		13	5	10	15	9
Retired	28	23	27	36	27	29		54	3	57	2	52	29
Homemaker		8		4		3	7	1	4		3	1	3
Student		3	5		9		2	1	7	1	14	•	4
Refused		•	,	_	,	3	_	_	•	_	- '		i
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
	50	3 /	, 50	- 55		.03	- 33	37					

	Direction (of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right direction	Wrong track	Jobs, employ- ment	Educ., schools	Taxes or state budget	Health- care	Other	
Q24 Infants & children in								
household								
None	62	69	63	59	72	77	69	67
1 or more	36	29	35	36	25	20	29	31
1	14	14	17	15	11	10	9	13
2	12	9	10	17	8	4	12	10
3 or more	10	5	9	4	6	6	8	7
Refused	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	3
Mean	.8	.5	.7	.7	.5	.5	.6	.6
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Q22-Q24 Household type			. 0	. 6.				
Family	88	85	88	89	85	85	79	86
With children	36	29	35	36	25	20	29	31
Traditional family	3	3	4	2	2	2	2	3
Double income	28	17	23	28	17	13	20	21
No one employed	2	2	2	2			2	2
Single adult	4	_ 3	3	4	6		2	3
No children	51	55	52	51	60	64	51	55
Double income	22	24	23	20	32	31	13	23
Single adult, no children	10	13	10	8	11	12	19	12
Not ascertained	2	3	2	2	3	3	2	2
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Q25 Employment								
Employed	60	52	58	65	48	48	49	55
Full-time	46	42	45	49	39	34	38	42
Part-time	13	9	13	17	9	14	12	12
Other	40	46	41	32	50	51	51	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid off	7	10	9	4	12	13	6	9
Retired	26	31	25	25	25	34	37	29
Homemaker	3	2	3			3	6	3
Student	4	3	4	3	14	•	2	4
Refused	1	2	1	2	2	1		1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	50 <i>7</i>

	Michigan'	s healthcai	e system	Own per	Own personal healthcare				
		today			coverage		Total		
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,			
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor			
Q24 Infants & children in									
household									
None	54	66	70	67	72	59	67		
1 or more	41	32	27	29	25	39	31		
1	21	14	10	14	11	14	13		
2	14	10	9	7	11	14	10		
3 or more	6	8	7	8	4	11	7		
Refused	5	2	3	4	2	2	3		
Mean	.7	.6	.6	.6	.5	.8	.6		
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	<i>507</i>		
Q22-Q24 Household type			40.						
Family	92	89	81	82	83	93	86		
With children	41	32	27	29	25	39	31		
Traditional family	1	4	1	2	1	5	3		
Double income	20	20	23	21	20	25	21		
No one employed	3	1	1	1	1	2	2		
Single adult	10	_ 3	1	6	2	3	_ 3		
No children	51	56	53	53	58	53	55		
Double income	30	21	23	26	21	25	23		
Single adult, no children	3	10	17	14	15	6	12		
Not ascertained	6	1	3	5	2	1	2		
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507		
Q25 Employment									
Employed	58	56	53	59	48	63	55		
Full-time	52	40	43	44	38	50	42		
Part-time	6	16	10	15	11	13	12		
Other	36	43	46	39	51	37	44		
Unemployed, temporarily laid off	13	9	7	5	6	12	9		
Retired	17	29	31	29	38	17	29		
Homemaker	1	2	2	2	2	4	3		
Student	5	3	5	2	5	4	4		
Refused	6	0	1	2	1	1	1		
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	50 <i>7</i>		
TVAITIDET OF CASES	33	233	200	110	441	1 74	307		

-	Pays for most of own Importance of Medicaid for self, own healthcare family					Total		
	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
Q24 Infants & children in								
household								
None	58	93	58	63	64	73	68	67
1 or more	40	3	36	32	33	24	31	31
1	15	2	18	14	15	13	11	13
2	15	0	9	8	11	11	13	10
3 or more	10	1	9	10	8		6	7
Refused	3	4	6	4	3	3	1	3
Mean	.8	.1	.7	.7	.7	.4	.6	.6
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Q22-Q24 Household type			40.	6.0				
Family	90	72	90	78	92	93	89	86
With children	40	3	36	32	33	24	31	31
Traditional family	3		6	4	1		5	3
Double income	33	2	17	19	25	24	20	21
No one employed	2	4.4	3	2	2		1	2
Single adult	3 50	68	2 52	4 45	4	60	3 57	3 55
No children Double income	28	9	29	45 17	59 25	69 33	57 24	23
Single adult, no children	8	24	6	18	5	5	11	12
Not ascertained	2	4	5	4	3	3	11	2
	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	50 <i>7</i>
Number of cases	223	103	08	178	98	70	145	307
Q25 Employment								
Employed	74	12	66	50	58	52	60	55
Full-time	66	6	52	34	44	44	51	42
Part-time	8	6	14	16	14	8	9	12
Other	25	85	33	48	40	48	40	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid off	4	9	9	12	10	7	5	9
Retired	16	76	14	30	27	31	27	29
Homemaker	2	, 5	6	1	2	1	5	3
Student	3		4	5	1	9	2	4
Refused	1	3	1	2	2	3	_	1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	50 <i>7</i>

	Best describes Michigan's Medicaid program			Least desc	Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan					
	Account-	Competent	Resource-	Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-			
Q24 Infants & children in	able		ful	sion			ful			
household										
None	81	68	58	68	87	54	72	67		
1 or more	19		38	30		41	27	31		
1 of more 1	11			6	2	20	13	13		
2	7		9	11	8		8	10		
	/	12				9				
3 or more			10	13	3	12	6	7		
Refused		2	3	2		5	1	3		
Mean	.3	.7		.8	.3	.8	.5	.6		
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	<i>507</i>		
Q22-Q24 Household type										
Family	79	88	83	90	84	86	89	86		
With children	19		38	30	13	41	27	31		
Traditional family		2	2		1	4	1	3		
Double income	15	20 2 2	2 24	21	11	30	19	21		
No one employed		2	4			1	2	2		
Single adult	2	2	2	5		3	2	3		
No children	61	58	45	60	72	44	61	55		
Double income	31	27	15	21	24	22	30	23		
Single adult, no children	21		13	8	16	10	11	12		
Not ascertained		2	3	2		4		2		
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507		
Q25 Employment										
Employed	38	58	51	49	39	66	65	55		
Full-time	36	45	36	36	29	55	45	42		
Part-time	3	14	14	12	10	11	20	12		
Other	59	41	48	49	59	31	35	44		
Unemployed, temporarily laid	0	7	17	0	0	0	0	0		
off	9	7	17	9	8	9	9	9		
Retired	38	30	23	30	43	16	24	29		
Homemaker	3	1	3	3	1	1	1	3		
Student	10	4	5	8	8	5	2	4		
Refused	2	1	1	2	2	3		1		
Number of cases	53			55		90	82	507		

	Changing	the rules to	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q24 Infants & children in household									
None	68	63	69	69	64	59	67	72	67
1 or more	29	34	28	28	33	40	3 0	72 24	31
	13	15	2 8 10	13	33 14	15		24 11	
1							13		13
2	9	13	7	8	14	21	8	5	10
3 or more	7	6	12	7	5	4	8	7	7
Refused	3	3	3	3	2	1	3	4	3
Mean	.6	.6	.7	.5	.6	.8	.6	.5	.6
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	<i>507</i>
Q22-Q24 Household type				401 4	0				
Family	84	90	82 🖠	81	92	93	86	79	86
With children	29	34	28	28	33	40	30	24	31
Traditional family	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	4	3
Double income	20	26	13	17	24	33	19	13	21
No one employed	1	1	3	2	1	1	2	1	2
Single adult	2	3	6	4	3	1	4	2	3
No children	55	55	51	53	58	53	56	54	55
Double income	25	22	20	24	24	18	26	23	23
Single adult, no children	13	8	18	16	7	6	11	18	12
Not ascertained	3	2		3	1	1	2	3	2
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q25 Employment									
Employed	51	60	53	50	61	65	52	50	55
Full-time	38	47	47	36	52	51	40	40	42
Part-time	14	13	7	14	10	14	13	11	12
Other	47	39	47	48	38	35	46	48	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid									
off	11	5	10	11	7	4	11	8	9
Retired	28	29	32	30	27	25	29	33	29
Homemaker	2	2	4	2	2	4	2	2	3
Student	6	3		5	3	2	4	6	4
Refused	2	1		2	0	_	2	ĭ	1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	50 <i>7</i>
TRAILIDET OF CASES	247	130	50	230	220	121	243	173	307

	Years re	gistered	to vote		preside mary vo		Genera	al electio	n vote hi	story	Most likely voter	Total
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
Q24 Infants & children in	than 10		than 15									
household												
None	54	66	83	73	92	62	69	70	67	70	74	67
1 or more	44	28	16	24	5	35	28	27	30	26	25	31
1	18	12	8	13	,	15	11	11	13	11	10	13
2	15	9	6	8	2	12	9	11	10	10	9	10
3 or more	11	8	2	3	3	8	7	5	7	6	5	7
		5	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	3
Refused	2)	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	3
Mean	.9	.7	.3	.5	.2	.7	.6	.5	.6	.5	.5	.6
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q22-Q24 Household type					. 6							
Family	90	77	86	89	61	88	84	84	86	83	84	86
With children	44	28	16	24	5	35	28	27	30	26	25	31
Traditional family	3	6		3		3	2	2	2	2	1	3
Double income	29	18	14	17	5	24	20	21	21	21	21	21
No one employed	3		1	1	A. D.	2	1	0	2	0		2
Single adult	4	2	1	1	U	4	2	2	3	2		3
No children	46	47	70	64	56	52	56	56	55	56	59	55
Double income	23	24	23	20	24	24	24	22	23	22	21	23
Single adult, no children	7	19	12	10	35	10	13	14	12	14	15	12
Not ascertained	2	4	1	1	3	2	3	2	2	3	1	2
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q25 Employment												
Employed	61	54	47	53	40	5 <i>7</i>	56	53	55	52	51	55
Full-time	43	46	38	37	30	45	45	44	42	44	44	42
Part-time	19	8	8	15	9	12	11	8	13	8	7	12
Other	38	43	52	46	54	42	43	45	44	46	48	44
Unemployed, temporarily laid	l 14	6	4	7	11	9	8	7	9	7	2	0
off	14	О	4	/	11	9	8	/	9	/	3	9
Retired	12	31	48	37	41	25	31	36	29	37	44	29
Homemaker	4	4	0	2		3	2	1	2	1	0	3
Student	8	2			2	5	2	1	4	1	0	4
Refused	1	3	1	1	6	1	$\overline{1}$	2	1	2	0	1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	3 <i>73</i>	437	334	483	308	246	50 <i>7</i>

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	S	Total
	Tri- County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Q26 Ideology									
Conservative	36		34	36	43	38	49	38	40
Very	10		10	10	20	13	26	16	16
Somewhat	26		24	26	23	26	24	22	24
Moderate; liberal	61		63	60	52	59	44	58	56
Moderate; middle-of-road	37	37	37	38	35	36	31	39	36
Liberal	24		27	22	16	22	13	19	19
Somewhat	14		15	13	12	14	10	13	13
Very	10		11	9	4	8	3	6	6 2 2
Unsure	1	1	2	0	2	1	5	1	2
Refused	2	3	1	3	2	2	1	3	
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q27 Party identification			N 1						
Republican	18	26	7	23	29	19	31	28	24
Democrat	45		63	35	25	41	18	29	33
Independent	23	31	12	28	33	26	39	28	29
Something else	9	8	9	8	8	9	7	9	9
Unsure	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	2
Refused	4	3	6	5	4	4	2	5	4
GOP – Dem	-27	-5	-56	-13	4	-22	13	-1	-9
Number of cases	202		89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Q28 Ethnicity									
Minority	32	17	50	16	9	28	10	6	18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	3	4		3	2	2	4	1	2
Black, African-American	26	10	46	11	5	23	6	3	13
Hispanic (all)	1	2		1	1	1		1	1
Hispanic	0	_		0	1	0		1	1
Latino	1	1		1		1			0
Native American	1		2		1	1		1	1
Something else	1	1	2	1	0	1		0	1
White, Anglo	68		50	82	91	71	90	94	82
Refused	1	2		1	0	1			_ 1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507

	MSA cou	ınties	Ethnic	city	Total
	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
		urban		Anglo	
Q26 Ideology					
Conservative	40	41	29	43	40
Very	15	19	12	17	16
Somewhat	25	22	17	26	24
Moderate; liberal	56	53	66	53	56
Moderate; middle-of-road	35	39	36	36	36
Liberal	21	14	30	17	19
Somewhat	14	10	18	12	13
Very	7	4	12	5	6
Unsure	2	3	4	1	2
Refused	2	3	1	3	2
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Q27 Party identification			0		
Republican	23	30	12	26	24
Democrat	34	26	64	26	33
Independent	28	32	12	33	29
Something else	8	9	8	8	9
Unsure	2	7	1	2	2
Refused	4	3	2	4	4
GOP – Dem	-11	4	-51	0	-9
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Q28 Ethnicity					
Minority	21	5	100		18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	2	2	12		2
Black, African-American	16		75		13
Hispanic (all)	1	1	5		1
Hispanic	0	1	3		1
Latino	0		1		0
Native American	1	1	5		1
Something else	1	1	3		1
White, Anglo	79	95		100	82
Refused	1				1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>

	Se	X		Age			Sex &	age		Total
	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
O36 Idealami			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q26 Ideology	4.4	37	29	11	46	39	49	33	40	40
Conservative	44			44					40	40
Very	20	13	9	19	19	18	23	11	14	16
Somewhat	24	24	19	26	27	21	27	22	26	24
Moderate; liberal	52	59	69	51	49	58	46	63	55	56
Moderate; middle-of-road	32	40	44	35	30	37	27	42	37	36
Liberal	20	19	25	16	19	21	19	20	18	19
Somewhat	13	13	18	13	9	15	12	15	10	13
Very	7	6	7	3	10	6	7	5	7	6
Unsure	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	2
Refused	3	2	1	3	4	1	4	2	3	2
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Q27 Party identification				10	10					
Republican	25	24	29	23	21	25	24	28	19	24
Democrat	26	38	31	36	30	25	27	37	40	33
Independent	35	24	26	26	36	34	37	20	27	29
Something else	9	8	10	10	6	12	5	8	9	9
Unsure	1	2	2	2	1	2	•	2	2	2
Refused	4	4	2	4	6	2	7	4	4	4
Refused	7	7		7	U	2	,	7	7	7
GOP – Dem	-1	-15	-2	-12	-9	0	-2	-9	-20	-9
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Q28 Ethnicity										
Minority	15	21	18	24	10	19	11	23	19	18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	2	2	5	2	1	2	2	5	1	2
Black, African-American	10	16	8	21	8	12	8	16	17	13
Hispanic (all)	1	0	2	1	Ö	2	1	1		1
Hispanic	1	0	1	1	Ő	1	1	1		1
Latino	1	v	1	-	Ŭ	1	-	-		0
Native American	1	1	2		1	1		1	1	1
Something else	1	Ō	1	0	Ō	1	1	-	ī	ī
White, Anglo	85	7 9	81	76	9 0	79	89	77	81	82
Refused	1	0	1	, 0	1	2	0,5	.,	1	1
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Trailiber of cases	234	213	140	207	1 2 3	112	122	1 72	171	307

_		Education			Income		Socio-	economic	status	Total
	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Q26 Ideology										
Conservative	40	45		35	45	38	40	44	38	40
Very	13	19		15	15	16	14	17	18	16
Somewhat	26	26		19	29	22	25	27	19	24
Moderate; liberal	55	53		61	54	60	56	54	59	56
Moderate; middle-of-road	41	33		38	38	33	39	36	33	36
Liberal	14	20		22	17	27	16	18	26	19
Somewhat	9	15		15	10	19	11	11	18	13
Very	4	5		7	7	8	5	7	8	6
Unsure	2	2		4	_ 1	1	2	1	2	2
Refused	3	1		1			2	0	2	2
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q27 Party identification				10	10					
Republican	23	27	24	14	26	32	21	29	24	24
Democrat	42	33	28	43	34	33	42	27	29	33
Independent	24	26		32	26	30	25	29	35	29
Something else	7	8		10	10	5	8	11	6	9
Unsure	-	2		A 02	2	1	1	2	2	2
Refused	4	3		1	2	-	4	2	3	4
GOP - Dem	-19	-6	-4	-29	-8	-1	-21	2	-5	-9
Number of cases	139	143		99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Q28 Ethnicity										
Minority	21	18	16	25	17	14	21	18	13	18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	3	2		3	2	3	3	2	1	2
Black, African-American	16	14		20	12	9	16	13	10	13
Hispanic (all)	2	1		20	1	1	1	1	0	1
Hispanic	1	1			1	1	1	1	0	1
Latino	1	_	O		1	-	1	_	O	0
Native American	-	1	2	1	-	1	-	1	1	1
Something else	1	_	1	-	1	1	0	1	1	1
White, Anglo	79	82		75	83	84	79	82	85	82
Refused	75	32	1	73	03	1	7.5	32	1	1
Number of cases	139	143	_	99	174	142	184	166	150	50 <i>7</i>
Number of cases	133	143	213	33	177	174	104	100	150	507

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employment		Total
	Child (all)	Child, double	No child	No child, double	Single adult,	Full- time	Retired	
Q26 Ideology		income	(all)	income	no child			
Conservative	44	43	39	37	33	41	45	40
Very	20	20	15	15	13	17	16	16
Somewhat	24	23	24	23	19	24	28	24
Moderate; liberal	54	57	57	60	60	56	50	56
Moderate; middle-of-road	36	40	37	37	40	35	34	36
Liberal	18	16	20	23	20	21	17	19
Somewhat	12	12	14	16	9	15	9	13
Very	6	4	7	7	11	7	8	6
Unsure	2	1	2	_ 1	5	1	2	2
Refused			2	2	3	1	3	2
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q27 Party identification								
Republican	26	27	24	30	16	30	21	24
Democrat	31	28	34	37	42	30	32	33
Independent	28	31	31	23	30	29	34	29
Something else	12	10	7	7	7	8	8	9
Unsure	4	3	1		2	1	1	2
Refused			4	3	4	2	4	4
GOP – Dem	-5	-1	-10	-7	-27	0	-11	-9
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Q28 Ethnicity								
Minority	23	18	16	18	27	17	12	18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	3	2	2	5		3		2
Black, African-American	17	14	11	8	27	12	10	13
Hispanic (all)	1	2	1	1		1	0	1
Hispanic	1	1	1	1		0	0	1
Latino	1	1				1		0
Native American	1		1	2		1	1	1
Something else			1	3		1	0	1
White, Anglo	77	82	84	80	73	82	88	82
Refused			1	2		1	1	1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507

-			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	ition	Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q26 Ideology	, ,									
Conservative	100	100	100				73	24	34	40
Very	40	100					32	7	15	16
Somewhat	60		100				41	17	19	24
Moderate; liberal				100	100	100	26	74	63	56
Moderate; middle-of-road				65	100		23	34	49	36
Liberal				35		100	3	40	14	19
Somewhat				23		67	2	27	8	13
Very				12		33	1	13	5	6
Unsure								2	3	2
Refused							1			2
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q27 Party identification										
Republican	44	48		11	15	4	100			24
Democrat	20	14		43	30	68		100		33
Independent	26	28	25	32	38	22			74	29
Something else	6	7		11	13	6			22	9
Unsure	2	2	2	2	2				4	2
Refused	3	2	4	1	1	1				4
GOP – Dem	24	34				-64	100	-100		-9
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Q28 Ethnicity										
Minority	13	14	12	21	18	27	9	35	10	18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	3	3	3			3	4	2	1	2
Black, African-American	9	10	9	16	14	18	1	32	6	13
Hispanic (all)	0	1		1	0	2	1	1	1	1
Hispanic	0	1		1		1	1	1		1
Latino				0		1			1	0
Native American				1		4	2		1	1
Something else				1			1		1	1
White, Anglo	87	86	88	78		73	89	65	90	82
Refused	_		_	1			2		0	1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507

					Party id	entifica	tion & id	leology					Total
	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
Q26 Ideology													
Conservative	73	100	34	100			83	24	42				40
Very	32	44	15	44			36	7	12				16
Somewhat	41	56	19	56			47	17	30				24
Moderate; liberal	26		63		100	100	17	74	58	100	100	100	56
Moderaté; middle-of-road	23		49		100	78	15	34	58	100	46		36
Liberal	3		14			22	2	40			54	100	19
Somewhat	2		8			13	1	27			37	68	13
Very	1		5			9	1	13			17	32	6
Unsure			3					2					6 2 2
Refused	1						0						
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q27 Party identification													
Republican	100	100			60.	-0	64						24
Democrat				- X C				100	100	100	100	100	33
Independent			74	78	71	72	28						29
Something else			22	17	25	24	6						9
Unsure			4	5	5	4	2						2
Refused													4
GOP - Dem	100	100					64	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-9
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Q28 Ethnicity													
Minority	9	7	10	7	9	11	8	35	39	40	33	27	18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	4	6	1	2	1	1	3	2	1	2	3	4	2
Black, African-American	1	2	6	5	5	5	3	32	37	38	30	23	13
Hispanic (all)	1		1			1	1	1	1				1
Hispanic	1						1	1	1				1
Latino			1			1							0
Native American	2		1			2	1						1
Something else	1		1		2	2	0						1
White, Anglo	89	93	90	93	90	89	91	65	61	60	67	73	82
Refused	2		0		1	1	1						1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507

		Party	identifi	cation by	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q26 Ideology													
Conservative	79		39		22		63	85	28	41	23	25	40
Very	37		21	_	4	9	22	43	14	16	9	6	16
Somewhat	42		18		18	17	41	42	13	25	14	19	24
Moderate; liberal	21		59		77	72	37	14	69	57	75	73	56
Moderate; middle-of-road	21	25	45	54	25	38	32	12	53	46	32	35	36
Liberal		6	15	12	51	34	5	2	16	12	42	38	19
Somewhat		4	11	5	33	24	3	2	11	6	32	24	13
Very		2	4		19	9	2		5	6	10	15	6
Unsure			2	4	1	_ 3			4	2	2	2	2
Refused		1						1					2
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Q27 Party identification					3.0		>						
Republican	100	100			M_{A}		100	100					24
Democrat					100	100					100	100	33
Independent			79	70	W = 1				69	79			29
Something else			19						25	19			9
Unsure			2		A 05				-6	2			2
Refused			_						Ŭ	_			4
Refused													•
GOP - Dem	100	100			-100	-100	100	100			-100	-100	-9
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Q28 Ethnicity													
Minority	6	11	10	10	33	35	12	6	12	8	40	30	18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	4	5	1	1	1	3	5	3	1	1	5		2
Black, African-American		2	5	7	30	33	2		6	6	34	30	13
Hispanic (all)	1		1		2		2	1	1		1		1
Hispanic	1		_		2		2	1	_		1		1
Latino			1						1				0
Native American		3	1	1			3		2	1			1
Something else	1		1	1				1	2	1			1
White, Anglo	90	89	90	89	67	65	85	94	88	91	60	70	82
Refused	3			1	· · -	•	3	-	_	1	_		1
				93			•			_			507

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right direction	Wrong track	Jobs, employ- ment	Educ., schools	Taxes or state budget	Health- care	Other	
Q26 Ideology								
Conservative	53	33	38	37	46	40	42	40
Very	24	12	13	11	17	24	22	16
Somewhat	29	22	25	26	29	16	20	24
Moderate; liberal	43	63	58	57	51	57	52	56
Moderate; middle-of-road	30	39	36	34	31	41	40	36
Liberal	13	24	22	23	20	16	13	19
Somewhat	9	16	12	18	20	10	8	13
Very	4	9	10	5		6	4	6
Unsure	2	1	1	3			4	6 2
Refused	3	3	- 3	3	3	3	1	2
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Q27 Party identification								
Republican	36	17	25	24	27	27	20	24
Democrat	19	42	33	34	34	21	36	33
Independent	31	26	31	21	28	36	28	29
Something else	7	9	6	14	6	8	12	9
Unsure	3	-	2	2	2	3		2
Refused	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4
GOP - Dem	17	-25	-9	-10	-7	7	-16	-9
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Q28 Ethnicity								
Minority	14	20	14	16	28	18	24	18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	4	1	2	3	9	2		2
Black, African-American	8	17	12	11	14	11	23	13
Hispanic (all)	1	1	0		2	2	2	1
Hispanic	1	0	0		2	2		1
Latino		1					2	0
Native American	1		1		3	2		1
Something else	0	1		2		1		1
White, Anglo	86	79	85	84	72	82	76	82
Refused		1	1					1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507

	Michigan's healthcare system today			Own per	thcare	Total	
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Coverage Good	Fair,	-
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
Q26 Ideology							
Conservative	33		43	38	45	34	40
Very	10	15	19	14	20	12	16
Somewhat	23	25	25	24	25	22	24
Moderate; liberal	62	59	50	57	51	62	56
Moderate; middle-of-road	42	38	32	35	34	41	36
Liberal	20	20	19	21	18	22	19
Somewhat	20	12	13	15	10	16	13
Very		9	6	7	8	6	6
Unsure		1	3	1	2	1	2
Refused	6	0	4	4	2	3	2
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Q27 Party identification			100 11				
Republican	19	26	24	21	27	23	24
Democrat	24	33	34	36	31	32	33
Independent	29	29	29	33	29	27	29
Something else	19			5	7	13	9
Unsure	2	. 2	1	_	1	3	2
Refused	7	8 2 2	5	5	5	2	4
GOP - Dem	-5	-7	-10	-15	-4	-9	-9
Number of cases	53		200	110	221	154	507
Q28 Ethnicity							
Minority	28	19	15	16	15	21	18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	2	2	2	2	3	2	2
Black, African-American	15	15	11	12	12	13	13
Hispanic (all)		1	1	2	0	1	1
Hispanic		0	1	2	Ö	_	1
Latino		1				1	0
Native American	6	0				3	1
Something else	4	0				2	1
White, Anglo	72	81	84	84	85	77	82
Refused			1			2	1
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	1 5 4	50 <i>7</i>

		for most of healthcare	own	Importa	lf, own	Total		
	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	 -
Q26 Ideology	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
Conservative	40	38	48	33	36	42	53	40
Very	16	18	21	11	13	18	25	16
Somewhat	23	20	27	21	24	24	29	24
Moderate; liberal	57	52	49	62	59	56	43	56
Moderate; middle-of-road	38	32	31	38	39	41	29	36
Liberal	19	21	18	24		15	15	19
Somewhat	13	10	15	16	13	12	10	13
Very	6	10	3	8	7	3	5	
Unsure	ĭ	4	2	2	2	í	2	6 2
Refused	2	6	2	3	3	1	2	2
Number of cases	225		68	178	98	70	145	507
Number of cases	223	103	08	170	96	70	143	307
Q27 Party identification			14/12					
Republican	27	18	29	19	16	27	36	24
Democrat	30	31	29	44	35	24	22	33
Independent	31	34	16	26	36	35	27	29
Something else	8	8	15	5	9	8	10	9
Unsure	1	8 2	5	2	1		2	2
Refused	4	7	5	4	4	6	3	4
GOP – Dem	-3	-12	0	-25	-19	3	15	-9
Number of cases	225	103	68	178		70	145	507
Q28 Ethnicity								
Minority	15	11	26	28	20	8	8	18
Asian, Pacific–Islander	2			2	3		2	2
Black, African-American	11	11	22	24	15	3	4	13
Hispanic (all)	1			1	1	2	•	1
Hispanic	0			1	1	_		1
Latino	1					2		0
Native American			2	0		3		1
Something else	1		1		1		1	1
White, Anglo	85	88	74	71	80	92	92	82
Refused	1	1		1		. –	1	1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	50 <i>7</i>

		scribes Mic licaid prog		Least des	criptive of M	1edicaid in	Michigan	Total	
	Account-	Competent		Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-		
O2C Idealami	able		ful	sion			ful		
Q26 Ideology	33	46	41	20	20	42	41	40	
Conservative			41	29		42	41	40	
Very	11		14	10		18	16	16	
Somewhat	22		27	20		24	25	24	
Moderate; liberal	57		55	69		51	59	56	
Moderate; middle-of-road	35		35	36		26	41	36	
Liberal	22		20	33		26	18	19	
Somewhat	15		12	27		20	8	13	
Very	7		8	6		6	10	6 2 2	
Unsure	5		4		3	2		2	
Refused	4			2	3	5			
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507	
Q27 Party identification									
Republican	15		18	17	19	21	24	24	
Democrat	40	26	48	47	29	38	32	33	
Independent	33	31 3 3	17	21	34	26	37	29	
Something else	8	3	12	10	13	7	5	9	
Unsure		3		2			1	2	
Refused	4	4	5	2		8	1	4	
GOP - Dem	-25	5	-30	-30	-10	-17	-8	-9	
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507	
Q28 Ethnicity									
Minority	20	10	37	28	16	13	19	18	
Asian, Pacific-Islander	5	5	1		6	1	1	2	
Black, African-American	15		33	23	8	12	15	13	
Hispanic (all)		2	1	2			2	1	
Hispanic		2	1	2			_	1	
Latino							2	0	
Native American			1	3				1	
Something else			_	_	1		2	$\overline{1}$	
White, Anglo	80	88	63	72		87	78	82	
Refused	30	2		, _	.	.	2	1	
Number of cases	53			55	60	90		50 <i>7</i>	

	Changing	Changing the rules to expand coverage			ew about caid	Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q26 Ideology									
Conservative	25	62	34	27	52	69	43	12	40
Very	7	30	10	8	23	35	15	2	16
Somewhat	18	32	24	19	29	34	28	9	24
Moderate; liberal	72	34	59	69	45	29	52	84	56
Moderate; middle-of-road	42	27	41	39	34	21	41	41	36
Liberal	30	7	19	30	11	8	11	43	19
Somewhat	21	5	7	20	7	6	9	27	13
Very	9	3	12	10	4	2	2	17	6
Unsure	2	1	3	2	2		2	2	2
Refused	2	3	4	3	2	2	3	2	2
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q27 Party identification									
Republican	14	37	25	17	32	45	25	5	24
Democrat	53	10	23	46	19	6	31	59	33
Independent	22	37	33	26	32	32	31	23	29
Something else	7	10	7	6	11	13	6	9	9
Unsure	1	1	5	2	1	2	1	2	2
Refused	3	4	7	4	5	3	6	3	4
GOP - Dem	-39	27	1	-29	13	39	-6	-54	-9
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Q28 Ethnicity									
Minority	27	8	13	26	9	3	19	27	18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	2	3		3	1	1	3	3	2
Black, African-American	22	2	13	19	6		15	22	13
Hispanic (all)	1	1		1	1	1	1	0	1
Hispanic	1	1		1			1	0	1
Latino		1			1	1			0
Native American	1	0		1	0	1	1	1	1
Something else	1	1		1	1	1	0	1	1
White, Anglo	73	92	84	74	90	97	79	73	82
Refused		0	3		1		1		1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years registered to vote			preside mary vo		General election vote history				Most likely voter	Total	
	Less than 10	10-15	More than 15	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
Q26 Ideology	20											
Conservative	38	38	45	64	24	36	42	42	41	44	48	40
Very	14	14	20	37	4	12	16	18	17	18	21	16
Somewhat	24	24	25	27	21	24	25	24	24	25	26	24
Moderate; liberal	59	56	51	31	68	60	54	53	55	52	50	56
Moderate; middle-of-road	39	37	32	27	32	39	36	33	35	32	30	36
Liberal	20	19	18	4	36	21	18	21	19	20	20	19
Somewhat	14	13	12	1	21	15	12	13	13	12	13	13
Very	6	6	6	3	15	6	6	7	6	7	7	6
Unsure	2	2	1		2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2
Refused	1	4	3	4	6	1	3	3	3	3	1	2
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q27 Party identification					110	1100						
Republican	25	20	27	40		23	26	24	25	25	24	24
Democrat	33	36	29	3	69	36	30	30	32	29	29	33
Independent	31	27	29	39	16	28	30	32	29	32	34	29
Something else	7	11	9	10		9	9	8	8	8	8	9
Unsure	2		2	3	5	1	1	1	2	1	2	2
Refused	3	7	4	6	10	3	4	5	4	5	3	4
GOP - Dem	-8	-16	-2	37	-69	-13	-5	-6	-7	-3	-4	-9
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Q28 Ethnicity												
Minority	21	24	9	4	40	19	18	17	17	17	13	18
Asian, Pacific-Islander	3	1	1			3	3	2	2	2		2
Black, African-American	14	21	6	2	40	13	13	14	13	13	12	13
Hispanic (all)	2		0			1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Hispanic	1		0			1	0	1	1	1	0	1
Latino	1					0	0					0
Native American	2		0	1		1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Something else		1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
White, Anglo	78	76	90	96	60	81	81	83	82	83	87	82
Refused	1		1			1	1		1			1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	<i>507</i>

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	ts	Total
_	Tri-	Macomb/	Wayne	Tri-	Balance	Detroit	Grand	Other	
	County	Oakland	County	County,			Rapids-	markets	
	(all)	Cos.		not			K-BC		
				Detroit					
Q29 2012 household income									
\$30,000 or less	17	16	20	14	21	17	21	23	19
\$20,000 or less	5	4	5	5	11	5	9	14	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	13	11	15	10	10	12	11	9	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	33	33	32	32	35	34	29	39	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	21	18	24	20	18	21	13	21	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	12	14	9	12	17	13	16	18	15
More than \$70,000	30	33	26	34	27	31	29	23	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	16	18	14	17		14	15	11	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	4	4	3	5	7	6	5	7	6
More than \$125,000	10	11	9	11	8	11	9	5	9
Unsure, refused	20	19	22	20	17	18	21	16	18
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Relative socio-economic status									
Low	34	31	39	31	38	36	30	41	36
Medium	32	36	26	34	33	31	35	35	33
High	33	32	33	33	28	32	33	23	30
Not ascertained	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	0	1
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Age									
40 or less	30	29	31	33	28	30	27	28	29
18 - 30	15	15	16	17	13	16	16	10	14
31 - 40	14	14	15	15	15	14	12	18	15
41 to 60	43	40	46	39	40	42	45	36	41
41 - 50	22	19	26	20	17	21	20	16	19
51 - 60	20	21	20	19	22	21	26	20	22
More than 60	27	31	23	29	32	28	28	36	30
61 - 70	15	17	13	16	17	15	15	19	16
More than 70	12	14	10	13	16	13	13	17	14
Mean	50		48	49	52	50	50	53	51
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	<i>507</i>

	MSA cou	unties	Ethnic	city	Total
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
		urban		Anglo	
Q29 2012 household income					
\$30,000 or less	18	24	27	18	19
\$20,000 or less	7	14	6	9	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	11	10	21	9	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	35	32	32	35	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	19	19	21	19	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	16	13	11	16	15
More than \$70,000	29	26	23	29	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	14	12	12	14	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	6	6	7	6	6
More than \$125,000	9	8	4	9	9
Unsure, refused	18	18	18	18	18
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Relative socio-economic status			,		
Low	36	39	43	35	36
Medium	32	36	34	33	33
High	31	25	22	31	30
Not ascertained	1		1	1	1
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Age					
40 or less	30	24	29	29	29
18 - 30	15	10	18	14	14
31 - 40	15	14	11	15	15
41 to 60	43	34	55	38	41
41 - 50	20	18	28	18	19
51 - 60	23	15	27	21	22
More than 60	27	43	16	33	30
61 - 70	15	21	9	18	16
More than 70	12	22	7	16	14
Mean	50	54	47	52	51
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507

	Se	X		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
000 0010 1 1 1 1 1			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q29 2012 household income		2.4	4-		22				24	
\$30,000 or less	14	24	17	19	23	14	14	16	31	19
\$20,000 or less	6	11	9	7	9	7	5	9	13	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	9	13	8	11	14	8	10	8	18	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	33	35	34	32	37	27	39	39	31	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	21	18	21	14	24	17	25	20	16	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	13	17	13	18	13	11	14	20	16	15
More than \$70,000	33	24	32	34	16	39	27	32	17	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	17	11	17	15	8	18	16	14	8	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	6	5	9	6	3	9	4	8	3	6
More than \$125,000	9	8	7	13	4	13	6	10	6	9
Unsure, refused	20	17	17	15	24	20	20	12	20	18
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Relative socio-economic status										
Low	32	41	30	32	48	25	38	32	49	36
Medium	33	33	41	32	26	37	29	38	28	33
High	35	25	28	36	23	38	32	30	21	30
Not ascertained	1	2	0	1	3		1	1	2	1
Number of cases	234	273	146		153	112	122	132	141	50 <i>7</i>
Age										
40 or less	29	29	100			60		60		29
18 - 30	16	13	49			33		27		14
31 - 40	13	16	51			26		34		15
41 to 60	42	40	-	100		40	43	40	41	41
41 - 50	19	19		47		40		40		19
51 - 60	22	21		53		.0	43		41	22
More than 60	30	31		33	100		57		59	30
61 - 70	15	17			53		30		32	16
More than 70	14	14			47		28		27	14
Mean	50	51	29	51	72	35	64	36	65	51
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	1 <i>53</i>	112	122	132	141	507

		Education	l		Income		Socio-	economic	status	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
020 2012	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Q29 2012 household income	20	22	4.5	100			20			10
\$30,000 or less	29	22		100			39	16		19
\$20,000 or less	12	11		44			18	6		8
\$20,001-\$30,000	17	11		56			21	10	_	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	38	39			100		46	45	9	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	25	21			56		36	14	5	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	13	17			44		10	31	5	15
More than \$70,000	15	20				100	2	22	68	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	10	10			_	49	1	16	28	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	4	4				21	1	6	12	6
More than \$125,000	2	5				31		1	28	9
Unsure, refused	17	19					13	17	23	18
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Relative socio-economic status										
Low	87	44		73	49	3	100			36
Medium	12	51	35	27	43	26		100		33
High	1	5	35 65	A (Z)	8	71			100	30
Not ascertained										1
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Age										
40 or less	24	30	32	25	29	33	24	36	28	29
18 - 30	13	20		11	13	14	14	17	12	14
31 - 40	11	10	20	14	16	19	10	18	16	15
41 to 60	39	41		39	39	50	36	40	49	41
41 - 50	14	20	22	13	19	27	14	19	27	19
51 - 60	25	20		26	20	23	22	21	22	22
More than 60	37	29		36	33	17	40	24	23	30
61 - 70	18	13		15	19	12	18	13	16	16
More than 70	20	16		21	13	5	22	11	7	14
Mean	54	50	49	54	51	47	54	48	49	51
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	<i>507</i>

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	ment	Total
_	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
Q29 2012 household income								
\$30,000 or less	16	9	22	10	42	7	25	19
\$20,000 or less	11	3	8	2	22	2	10	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	5	6	14	8	20	5	15	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	27	23	38	38	29	36	36	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	13	10	22	20	16	21	20	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	14	13	16	18	13	15	16	15
More than \$70,000	40	47	24	43	4	45	15	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	19	22	11	19	1	20	9	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	8	9	5	10		8	4	6
More than \$125,000	13	16	7	14	3	16	3	9
Unsure, refused	17	21	17	9	24	12	23	18
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Relative socio-economic status				C				
Low	27	23	41	26	59	20	50	36
Medium	36	31	31	34	26	35	26	33
High	37	46	27	40	16	45	22	30
Not ascertained			1				2	1
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Age								
40 or less	50	48	19	31	6	34	1	29
18 - 30	19	18	12	18	6	10		14
31 - 40	31	30	7	13		24	1	15
41 to 60	48	52	38	51	35	58	18	41
41 - 50	32	35	13	21	7	29	3	19
51 - 60	15	16	25	30	27	29	15	22
More than 60	2	1	43	18	59	8	81	30
61 - 70	2	1	23	13	18	7	38	16
More than 70			20	5	41	0	43	14
Mean	40	40	56	47	64	46	69	51
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	<i>507</i>

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	tion	Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Q29 2012 household income	(4)									
\$30,000 or less	17	19	16	21	21	22	11	26	21	19
\$20,000 or less	6	11	3	10	10	10	5	10	10	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	11	8	12	11	11	13	7	15	11	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	38	33	42	34	36	29	37	36	34	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	18	15	20			21	17	21	19	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	20	17	22	12	14	9	20	14	15	15
More than \$70,000	27	27	26	31	26	39	37	28	25	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	12	13	11	15	13	18	10	16	15	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	6	7	6			10	15	5	2	6
More than \$125,000	8	7	9	10	9	10	12	8	8	9
Unsure, refused	18	21	16	14	17	9	14	11	20	18
Number of cases	204	82	122			99	122	166	198	<i>507</i>
Relative socio-economic status					C.					
Low	36	32	38	36	40	30	31	46	31	36
Medium	36	35		32		30	40	27	35	33
High	28	33				40	30	27	33	30
Not ascertained	1		1	0					1	1
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Age										
40 or less	21	17	23	36	35	37	34	27	28	29
18 - 30	10	7			18	17	14	17	13	14
31 - 40	10	10				20	21	10	15	15
41 to 60	45	48	43			34	40	45	39	41
41 - 50	22	26	20	17	18	15	19	20	19	19
51 - 60	23	22	23	21	22	20	20	25	19	22
More than 60	34	36				29	26	28	33	30
61 - 70	17	15	18			20	14	18	17	16
More than 70	18	21				9	13	10	16	14
Mean	54	55	53	48	48	49	49	50	52	51
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	<i>507</i>

					Party id	entificat	tion & id	leology					Total
	GOP	Cons	Indep	Cons	Mod	M/L	GOP+	Dem	Cons/	Mod	M/L	Lib	
	(all)	GOP	(all)	Indep	Indep	Indep	cons Indep	(all)	mod Dem	Dem	Dem	Dem	
Q29 2012 household income							Паср		Dem				
\$30,000 or less	11	13	21	14	20	23	12	26	30	30	24	19	19
\$20,000 or less	5	3	10	5	11	12	5	10	12	11	9	8	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	7	9	11	9	9	12	8	15	18	19	14	11	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	37	39	34	36	37	34	37	36	37	36	35	33	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	17	16	19	17	22	21	17	21	21	21	22	23	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	20	23	15	19	15	13	19	14	16	16	13	10	15
More than \$70,000	37	32	25	26	24	24	33	28	20	21	32	42	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	10	11	15	13	16	16	11	16	12	11	17	21	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	15	13	2	1	3	2	10	5	2	3	6	9	6
More than \$125,000	12	8	8	12	5	7	12	8	6	7	9	11	9
Unsure, refused	14	15	20	24	19	18	18	11	13	13	9	6	18
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Relative socio-economic status				.4.0		C							
Low	31	28	31	30	30	31	31	46	58	56	42	30	36
Medium	40	46	35	30	40	39	36	27	27	27	27	27	33
High	30	26	33	39	29	30	33	27	15	17	31	43	30
Not ascertained			1	1	1	1	0						1
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Age													
40 or less	34	24	28	16	34	36	28	27	21	21	29	35	29
18 - 30	14	9	13	7	15	16	11	17	17	17	16	14	14
31 - 40	21	15	15	9	19	20	16	10	4	4	13	21	15
41 to 60	40	47	39	46	38	34	42	45	51	55	45	37	41
41 - 50	19	22	19	22	17	16	20	20	24	24	18	14	19
51 - 60	20	25	19	23	21	18	21	25	27	32	27	23	22
More than 60	26	29	33	38	28	30	31	28	28	23	26	28	30
61 - 70	14	14	17	21	13	15	16	18	16	17	18	20	16
More than 70	13	16	16	18	15	15	14	10	12	6	8	9	14
Mean	49	52	52	55	50	49	51	50	51	49	49	49	51
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>

		Party	identifi	cation by	y sex		Party identification by age				y age		Total
	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	· <u></u>
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Q29 2012 household income													
\$30,000 or less	11		15		17	31	11	11	22	20	11	39	19
\$20,000 or less	7	2	5		6	13	6	3	11	9	5	15	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	4	9	10		11	18	5	9	11	11	6	24	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	30		34		37	35	36	38	30	37	39	32	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	15	19	21	17	26	19	17	18	15	22	25	18	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	15	23	13	17	11	16	19	21	15	15	14	14	15
More than \$70,000	39	35	31	19	34	25	44	30	30	21	37	21	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	15	6	19	12	18	_14	10	10	17	14	20	12	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	12	17	3	_	8	3	19	10	2	1	7	3	6
More than \$125,000	12	12	9	-	7	8	15	9	11	5	10	6	9
Unsure, refused	19		20		12	10	9	21	17	22	13	8	18
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
Relative socio-economic status				4.6	-77								
Low	29	33	27	36	41	49	32	29	25	36	30	61	36
Medium	39	40	35	35	24	29	37	43	40	30	36	19	33
High	32	27	37	28	35	22	31	28	34	32	34	20	30
Not ascertained			1	4						1			1
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
Age													
40 or less	30	37	26	31	33	23	64		59		58		29
18 - 30	14	13	13	12	23	13	25		27		35		14
31 - 40	17	24	13	19	10	11	38		32		22		15
41 to 60	40	40	45	32	39	48	36	44	41	37	42	47	41
41 - 50	18	20	25	13	13	23	36		41		42		19
51 - 60	21	19	19	19	26	25		44		37		47	22
More than 60	30	23	29	37	28	28		56		63		53	30
61 - 70	15	12	14	20	19	17		29		33		33	16
More than 70	15	11	15	17	9	11		27		30		20	14
Mean	51	48	51	52	48	51	37	64	36	66	35	63	51
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	<i>507</i>

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right	Wrong	Jobs,	Educ.,	Taxes or	Health-	Other	
	direction	track	employ-	schools	state	care		
			ment		budget			
Q29 2012 household income								
\$30,000 or less	18	20	17	23	20	18	27	19
\$20,000 or less	9	8	8	10	8	9	9	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	9	12	9	13	12	9	18	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	30	39	36	24	37	35	37	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	15	23	19	12	22	21	20	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	15	16	17	12	15	15	17	15
More than \$70,000	31	25	30	39	26	24	15	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	14	12	15	18	11	7	12	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	9	4	5	4	12	9	3	6
More than \$125,000	8	9	11	17	4	8		9
Unsure, refused	21	16	18	14	17	22	21	18
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Relative socio-economic status								
Low	32	41	33	31	42	35	44	36
Medium	33	31	34	31	29	35	35	33
High	34	26	32	37	27	30	21	30
Not ascertained	1	2	1	1	2	30	21	1
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
•								
Age								
40 or less	28	28	31	34	26	18	29	29
18 - 30	15	12	14	17	17	8	15	14
31 - 40	13	16	17	17	9	10	14	15
41 to 60	44	41	40	40	52	40	38	41
41 - 50	21	18	17	27	18	23	20	19
51 - 60	23	23	23	13	34	17	18	22
More than 60	28	31	28	26	21	42	33	30
61 – 70	17	17	18	13	15	19	13	16
More than 70	12	14	11	13	6	23	20	14
Mean	50	51	50	48	49	55	52	51
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507

	Michigan'	s healthcar today	e system	-	sonal healt coverage	hcare	Total
_	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,	
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
Q29 2012 household income							
\$30,000 or less	23	19	19	11	19	26	19
\$20,000 or less	13	8	7	5	8	11	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	10	11	11	6	11	15	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	29	40	30	27	33	39	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	17	22	17	13	19	23	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	12	19	13	14	14	17	15
More than \$70,000	33	25	30	44	29	20	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	15	10	17	23	15	8	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	4	6	7	7	6	5	6
More than \$125,000	14	9	7	15	8	7	9
Unsure, refused	16	15	21	18	20	15	18
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Relative socio-economic status			1.0				
Low	32	37	37	26	37	41	36
Medium	34	38	27	32	29	38	33
High	32	25	34	42	32	19	30
Not ascertained	3	1	2	1	1	1	1
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Age							
40 or less	34	27	31	26	22	39	29
18 - 30	15	12	16	13	12	16	14
31 - 40	19	14	15	13	10	23	15
41 to 60	47	42	38	43	38	42	41
41 - 50	19	20	18	19	18	22	19
51 - 60	29	22	21	23	20	20	22
More than 60	19	31	30	32	40	19	30
61 – 70	14	19	13	14	22	10	16
More than 70	5	13	17	17	18	9	14
Mean	48	51	51	52	54	46	51
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	<i>507</i>

		for most of o	own	Importa	icaid for se ily	lf, own	Total	
	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
Q29 2012 household income	_							
\$30,000 or less	7	28	15	32	11	11	13	19
\$20,000 or less	2	14	5	17	2	6	4	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	4	14	10	15	10	5	9	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	34	37	46	28	39	35	36	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	19	26	13	18	19	22	18	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	15	11	33	10	20	14	19	15
More than \$70,000	45	11	15	22	30	36	33	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	24	5	6	10	16	18	15	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	7	3	4	4	5	10	7	6
More than \$125,000	13	3	5	8	9	8	11	9
Unsure, refused	15	23	25 <i>68</i>	18	19	17	18	18
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Relative socio-economic status								
Low	22	54	28	53	25	29	28	36
Medium	34	26	45	20	41	39	38	33
High	44	17	24	25	33	33	34	30
Not ascertained	0		3	2	2		1	1
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>
Age								
40 or less	31	5	38	29	26	35	26	29
18 - 30	10	4	20	15	12	24	10	14
31 - 40	22	1	17	14	14	11	16	15
41 to 60	52	16	48	40	49	31	42	41
41 - 50	24	7	30	18	23	15	22	19
51 - 60	28	9	17	22	26	15	21	22
More than 60	17	79	15	31	26	34	31	30
61 - 70	13	35	7	17	18	17	15	16
More than 70	4	45	7	14	8	17	16	14
Mean	48	68	45	51	50	49	51	51
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507

		scribes Mic dicaid prog		Least desc	criptive of N	1edicaid in	Michigan	Total
_	Account-	Competent		Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-	
	able		ful	sion			ful	
Q29 2012 household income								
\$30,000 or less	26			18	38	18	17	19
\$20,000 or less	11		15	10	17	6	7	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	15		17	8	21	11	9	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	40		36	41	29	34	38	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	19		18	23	19	15	18	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	22		18	18	11	18	21	15
More than \$70,000	21		14	28	17	31	32	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	9		8	21	10	18	13	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	8		2	2	3	4	7	6
More than \$125,000	3		4	4	4	9	12	9
Unsure, refused	13			13	15	18	14	18
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507
Relative socio-economic status				C				
Low	48	30	50	37	51	27	30	36
Medium	25		31	33	27	35	36	33
High	27		18	28	21	34	33	30
Not ascertained		2		2		4		1
Number of cases	53			55	60	90	82	507
Age								
40 or less	25	18	30	31	25	39	28	29
18 - 30	10		20	10		19	19	14
31 - 40	15	9	10	21	12	20	9	15
41 to 60	33	53	50	46	38	39	40	41
41 - 50	21	24	31	18	11	20	22	19
51 - 60	12	28	19	27	27	20	19	22
More than 60	42	29	20	24	37	22	32	30
61 - 70	24		12	19	19	13	16	16
More than 70	17		8	5	18	9	16	14
Mean	53	53	47	49	53	47	50	51
Number of cases	53			55		90	82	<i>507</i>

	Changing	the rules to coverage	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Q29 2012 household income									
\$30,000 or less	26	13	15	24	16	12	20	25	19
\$20,000 or less	11	6	7	13	4	5	7	14	8
\$20,001-\$30,000	15	7	8	11	12	7	13	11	11
\$30,001 to \$70,000	33	37	28	32	39	38	32	34	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	18	20	20	19	20	19	18	21	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	15	18	9	13	19	19	15	13	15
More than \$70,000	28	28	29	26	30	30	27	27	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	14	13	15	12	13	12	16	12	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	6	7	3	6	5	8	5	5	6
More than \$125,000	8	9	11	7	12	11	6	11	9
Unsure, refused	13	22	28	18	15	19	20	13	18
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	<i>507</i>
Relative socio-economic status				110					
Low	39	36	26	40	33	32	38	37	36
Medium	31	32	43	31	35	36	35	27	33
High	30	30	31	28	32	32	25	35	30
Not ascertained	1	2		1	1		2	0	1
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Age									
40 or less	33	23	30	31	27	22	33	27	29
18 - 30	17	10	14	19	9	8	19	12	14
31 - 40	16	13	16	13	18	14	15	15	15
41 to 60	39	45	33	37	45	48	38	40	41
41 - 50	16	23	22	14	24	28	18	15	19
51 - 60	23	23	11	23	20	20	20	26	22
More than 60	27	32	37	31	28	30	29	33	30
61 – 70	16	15	21	18	15	15	13	22	16
More than 70	11	17	15	13	14	15	16	11	14
Mean	49	53	52	50	51	52	50	52	51
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years re	gistered	to vote		preside mary vo		General election vote history				Most likely voter	Total
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
O29 2012 household income	than 10		than 15									
\$30,000 or less	19	19	20	21	21	19	19	19	19	19	17	19
\$20,000 or less	11	9	20 5	9	8	8	8	7	8	1 9 7	5	8
•	9	10	15	11	14	11	11	12	10	12	11	11
\$20,001-\$30,000		35								32		
\$30,001 to \$70,000	32		36	29	36	36	34	32	34		31	34
\$30,001-\$50,000	18	19	20	14	21	20	19	18	19	19	17	19
\$50,001-\$70,000	15	16	15	15	15	15	16	13	15	13	14	15
More than \$70,000	32	27	24	28	17	29	30	31	29	31	33	28
\$70,001-\$100,000	16	14	11	14	6	14	14	17	14	16	14	14
\$100,000 to \$125,000	7	5	5	5	3	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
More than \$125,000	9	8	8	9		9	9	8	9	9	12	9
Unsure, refused	17	19	20	22	26	16	17	18	19	18	19	18
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Relative socio-economic status												
Low	34	37	39	29	40	38	35	35	36	34	26	36
Medium	34	32	32	36	27	33	33	32	33	32	30	33
High	31	30	27	33	30	29	31	32	30	33	43	30
Not ascertained	1	2	2	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Age												
40 or less	58	16	2	10	10	36	23	15	29	13	9	29
18 - 30	34	1	_	5	10	17	9	5	14	4	2	14
31 - 40	24	14	2	5		19	14	10	14	10	7	15
41 to 60	30	49	49	41	40	41	44	45	40	46	41	41
41 - 50	17	24	20	22	13	19	21	20	19	20	16	19
51 - 60	13	25	29	19	26	22	23	26	21	26	25	22
More than 60	12	35	49	50	51	23	33	39	31	41	50	30
61 - 70	7	20	25	23	20	14	17	22	16	22	27	16
More than 70	6	16	23	26	31	9	16	18	15	19	22	14
Mean	40	55	61	59	61	48	53	56	51	57	59	51
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	edia market	ts	Total
	Tri- County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Ideology & age	26	a=	2.4	26	42	20	40	20	40
Conservative	36	37	34	36	43	38	49	38	40
50 or less	16	17	15	16	18	17	22	15	17
More than 50	19	20	19	20	25	21	28	23	23
Moderate; liberal	61	59	63	60	52	59	44	58	56
50 or less	34	31	39	35	26	33	21	29	29
More than 50	27	29	24	25	26	26	23	29	26
Unsure	1	1	2	0	2	1	5	1	2
Refused	2	3	1	3	2	2	1	3	2
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age				10	10				
Republican	18	26	7	23	29	19	31	28	24
Conservative	13	19	6	17	21	15	23	19	18
Very conservative	4	6	2	5	10	6	12	8	8
Moderate; liberal	4	7	1	6	8	4	8	9	6
50 or less	9	13	3	11	16	10	15	16	13
More than 50	9	12	4	11	13	9	16	12	11
Democratic	45	31	63	35	25	41	18	29	33
Conservative	11	6	18	6	6	9	5	7	8
White	6	4	8	4	4	5	4	5	5
Moderate	14	7	24	10	9	14	4	10	11
Liberal	19	17	22	18	9	17	6	12	13
Minority	21	9	37	9	5	18	7	3	11
50 or less	23	13	35	18	10	19	8	13	15
More than 50	22	17	29	17	14	21	10	15	17
Independent, other, unsure	33	40	24	37	43	36	48	38	39
Conservative	10	12	8	12	16	13	20	11	13
Moderate	18	24	10	22	20	18	20	20	19
Liberal	4	5	4	4	6	4	7	6	5
50 or less	19	21	15	20	19	20	22	14	19
More than 50	15	19	8	17	24	16	26	24	20
Refused	4	3	6	5	4	4	2	5	4
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	50 <i>7</i>

	MSA cou	ınties	Ethni	city	Total	
	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;		
		urban		Anglo		
Ideology & age						
Conservative	40	41	29	43	40	
50 or less	18	15	16	18	17	
More than 50	22	26	13	25	23	
Moderate; liberal	56	53	66	53	56	
50 or less	30	26	36	27	29	
More than 50	26	28	29	26	26	
Unsure	2	3	4	1	2	
Refused	2	3	1	3	2	
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507	
Party identification by			<u> </u>			
ideology, ethnicity & age						
Republican	23	30	12	26	24	
Conservative	16	24	7	20	18	
Very conservative	7	10	1	9	8	
Moderate; liberal	6	5	5	6	6	
50 or less	12	16	9	13	13	
More than 50	11	14	4	13	11	
Democratic	34	26	64	26	33	
Conservative	8	5	17	6	8	
White	5	4		6	5	
Moderate	11	11	25	8	11	
Liberal	14	10	20	12	13	
Minority	14	1	64		11	
50 or less	16	13	35	11	15	
More than 50	18	13	29	15	17	
Independent, other, unsure	39	41	22	43	39	
Conservative	14	11	5	15	13	
Moderate	18	23	9	21	19	
Liberal	6	4	5	5	5	
50 or less	20	13	12	20	19	
More than 50	19	28	10	23	20	
Refused	4	3	2	4	4	
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>	

	Se	X		Age			Sex &	age		Total
	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
Ideology & age			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Conservative	44	37	29	44	46	39	49	33	40	40
50 or less	19	16	29	22	40	39	43	33	40	17
More than 50	26	21	29	22	46	39	49	33	40	23
Moderate; liberal	52	59	69	51	40 49	58	49	63	55	56
					49		46		33	
50 or less	28	30	69	23	40	58	4.6	63		29
More than 50	24	29	2	28	49	2	46	2	55	26
Unsure	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	2
Refused	3	2	1	3	4	1	4	2	3	2
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Party identification by				0						
ideology, ethnicity & age				4 GN	4.0					
Republican	25	24	29	23	21	25	24	28	19	24
Conservative	20	16	15	20	17	16	23	17	15	18
Very conservative	9	6	5	8	10	6	12	6	7	8
Moderate; liberal	5	7	14	3	3	9	2	11	4	6
50 or less	12	14	29	11		25		28		13
More than 50	13	10		12	21		24		19	11
Democratic	26	38	31	36	30	25	27	37	40	33
Conservative	6	10	5	9	9	6	6	9	11	8
White	3	6	4	5	6	2	4	6	7	5
Moderate	7	15	8	15	8	7	6	13	17	11
Liberal	13	13	16	12	12	12	15	15	11	13
Minority	9	14	9	16	7	12	6	14	14	11
50 or léss	12	18	31	16		25		37		15
More than 50	14	20		20	30		27		40	17
Independent, other, unsure	45	34	38	37	43	48	42	31	37	39
Conservative	17	10	7	15	17	16	19	6	14	13
Moderate	20	18	23	18	18	21	19	20	17	19
Liberal	7	4	8	3	7	9	4	3	5	5
50 or less	23	15	38	19	•	48		31	3	19
More than 50	22	19	30	18	43	70	42	31	37	20
Refused	4	4	2	4	6	2	7	4	4	4
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	50 <i>7</i>
Number of cases	234	213	140	207	1 3 3	112	122	132	171	507

		Education			Income		Socio-	economic	status	Total
	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Ideology & age										
Conservative	40	45	38	35	45	38	40	44	38	40
50 or less	13	19	19	10	19	20	13	20	19	17
More than 50	27	26	19	24	26	18	26	24	18	23
Moderate; liberal	55	53	59	61	54	60	56	54	59	56
50 or less	24	30		26	28	39	23	34	33	29
More than 50	30	23	26	35	27	22	32	21	26	26
Unsure	2	2	1	4	1	1	2	1	2	2
Refused	3	1	1	1			2	0	2	2
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Danta idantification by										
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age										
Republican	23	27	24	14	26	32	21	29	24	24
Conservative	14	22	18	12	20	20	14	25	16	18
Very conservative	8	9	7	12	8	9	7	9	7	8
Moderate; liberal	8	5	6	2	6	11	7	4	8	6
50 or less	11	15	13	8	13	20	11	14	14	13
More than 50	11	13	11	7	13	12	9	15	11	11
Democratic	42	33	28	43	34	33	42	27	29	33
Conservative	14	7	5	13	9	5	13	6	4	8
White	8	5	3	6	7	2	9	4	2	5
Moderate	18	11	7	17	12	8	17	9	6	11
Liberal	9	14	15	13	13	19	11	11	19	13
Minority	14	13	9	21	11	7	15	11	8	11
50 or less	14	17	15	8	17	20	13	17	18	15
More than 50	28	16	13	35	16	13	29	10	12	17
	31	37	46	42	3 9	35	33	42	44	39
Independent, other, unsure		14			14	13			18	13
Conservative	11			10			11	12		
Moderate	15	17	23	20	21	17	16	23	19	19
Liberal	4	4		10	4	5	5	6	6	5
50 or less	12	18	24	21	16	20	13	23	22	19
More than 50	19	19	22	21	22	15	20	19	22	20
Refused	4	3	2	1	2		4	2	3	4
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	ment	Total
	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
Ideology & age								
Conservative	44	43	39	37	33	41	45	40
50 or less	35	33	10	13	5	22	2	17
More than 50	9	10	30	24	28	19	43	23
Moderate; liberal	54	57	57	60	60	56	50	56
50 or less	46	50	22	39	6	39	2	29
More than 50	8	7	35	22	53	17	48	26
Unsure	2	1	2	1	5	1	2	2
Refused			2	_ 2	3	1	3	2
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
•								
Party identification by				(G				
ideology, ethnicity & age				<i>7</i>				
Republican	26	27	24	30	16	30	21	24
Conservative	20	20	17	19	12	23	16	18
Very conservative	7	7	8	10	5	9	8	8
Moderate; liberal	5	8	7	11	3	7	4	6
50 or less	22	24	9	17	2	19		13
More than 50	4	3	15	13	14	11	21	11
Democratic	31	28	34	37	42	30	32	33
Conservative	8	6	8	8	11	6	11	8
White	4	1	6	5	8	4	7	5
Moderate	9	10	12	10	19	10	10	11
Liberal	14	12	13	18	11	14	11	13
Minority	12	10	11	11	21	10	10	11
50 or less	26	26	10	20	7	19	1	15
More than 50	5	3	24	17	36	11	31	17
Independent, other, unsure	44	44	38	30	38	38	43	39
Conservative	16	17	13	10	10	12	16	13
Moderate	22	22	18	19	18	19	20	19
Liberal	5	4	6	2	6	6	5	5
50 or less	35	33	12	14	6	24	2	19
More than 50	9	11	26	16	33	14	40	20
Refused	3		4	3	4	2	4	4
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	<i>507</i>

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	ıtion	Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative		Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
Ideology & age										
Conservative	100	100					73	24	34	40
50 or less	43	42					34	11	13	17
More than 50	57	58	57				40	13	21	23
Moderate; liberal				100	100	100	26	74	63	56
50 or less				53	53	51	20	35	33	29
More than 50				47	47	49	6	39	30	26
Unsure								2	3	2
Refused							1			2
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Party identification by					0					
ideology, ethnicity & age										
Republican	44	48	41	11	15	4	100			24
Conservative	44	48			C	-	73			18
Very conservative	19	48					32			8
Moderate; liberal			0.17	11	15	4	26			6
50 or less	20	18	22	9	12	3	53			13
More than 50	24	30		3	4	1	47			11
Democratic	20	14			30	68		100		33
Conservative	20	14						24		8
White	12	6						15		5
Moderate		_		20	30			34		11
Liberal				24		68		40		13
Minority	7	8	7	14	12	18		35		11
50 or less	9	8		20	14	33		47		15
More than 50	11	6			17	34		53		17
Independent, other, unsure	34	36				27			100	39
Conservative	34	36							34	13
Moderate	•			35	53				49	19
Liberal				10	33	27			14	5
50 or less	13	17	10		27	15			48	19
More than 50	21	20		21	26	12			52	20
Refused	3	2			1	1			32	4
Number of cases	204	82				99	122	166	198	507

					Party id	entificat	tion & id	leology					Total
Harley 0 and	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
Ideology & age	72	100	2.4	100			83	24	42				40
Conservative	73	100	34	100				24	42				40
50 or less	34	46	13	38			35	11	19				17
More than 50	40	54	21	62	100	100	48	13	23	100	100	100	23
Moderate; liberal	26		63		100	100	17	74	58	100	100	100	56
50 or less	20		33		51	52	13	35	26	45	47	49	29
More than 50	6		30		49	48	4	39	32	55	53	51	26
Unsure			3					2					2
Refused	1					_	0						2
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age					40	Call	>						
Republican	100	100			V/A		64						24
Conservative	73	100			N"	A 54	47						18
Very conservative	32	44					20						8
Moderate; liberal	26	44		11/2	- 6		17						6
50 or less	53	46		160.	100		34						13
More than 50	47	54		1.0			30						11
Democratic	47	34			4.		30	100	100	100	100	100	33
								100	100	100	100	100	
Conservative								24	42				8
White								15	26	100	4.0		5
Moderate								34	58	100	46	100	11
Liberal								40			54	100	13
Minority								35	39	40	33	27	11
50 or less								47	45	45	47	49	15
More than 50								53	55	55	53	51	17
Independent, other, unsure			100	100	100	100	36						39
Conservative			34	100			36						13
Moderate			49		100	78							19
Liberal			14			22							5
50 or less			48	38	51	52	14						19
More than 50			52	62	49	48	22						20
Refused							_						4
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Ideology & age													
Conservative	79		39	30	22	25	63	85	28	41	23	25	40
50 or less	31	36	17	9	11	11	63		28		23		17
More than 50	48	32	21	21	12	14		85		41		25	23
Moderate; liberal	21	31	59	67	77	72	37	14	69	57	75	73	56
50 or less	17	22	32	33	36	34	37		69		75		29
More than 50	4	9	27	33	41	38		14		57		73	26
Unsure			2	4	1	3			4	2	2	2	2
Refused		1						1					2
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
Party identification by													
ideology, ethnicity & age					- 4 0	N AC	•						
Republican	100	100				icali	100	100					24
Conservative	79	68					63	85					18
Very conservative	37						22	43					8
Moderate; liberal	21	31		411.7			37	14					6
50 or less	49			1/40.	A 17		100						13
More than 50	51	42						100					11
Democratic					100						100	100	33
Conservative					22	25					23	25	8
White					12						13	17	5
Moderate					25						32	35	11
Liberal					51	34					42	38	13
Minority					33	35					40	30	11
50 or less					46						100		15
More than 50					54	53						100	17
Independent, other, unsure			100	100					100	100			39
Conservative			39	30					28	41			13
Moderate			45	54					53	46			19
Liberal			15	12					16	12			5
50 or less			51	44					100				19
More than 50			49	56						100			20
Refused											_		4
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right	Wrong	Jobs,	Educ.,	Taxes or	Health-	Other	
	direction	track	employ-	schools	state	care		
			ment		budget			
Ideology & age								
Conservative	53	33	38	37	46	40	42	40
50 or less	22	15	15	22	18	10	23	17
More than 50	31	19	23	15	28	30	20	23
Moderate; liberal	43	63	58	57	51	57	52	56
50 or less	24	31	32	34	24	30	24	29
More than 50	19	32	26	22	27	27	28	26
Unsure	2	1	1	3			4	2
Refused	3	3	3_	3	3	3	1	2
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	<i>53</i>	79	<i>507</i>
•								
Party identification by								
ideology, ethnicity & age								
Republican	36	17	25	24	27	27	20	24
Conservative	27	13 🗼	18	19	20	18	14	18
Very conservative	13	5	7	6	4	13	9	8
Moderate; liberal	8	4	7	5	7	9	5	6
50 or less	18	10	12	16	13	12	14	13
More than 50	18	7	13	9	14	15	6	11
Democratic	19	42	33	34	34	21	36	33
Conservative	7	10	7	12	9	7	8	8
White	5	5	5	7	4	3	4	5
Moderate	5	13	11	5	13	5	18	11
Liberal	7	18	16	17	12	9	7	13
Minority	5	16	9	9	17	10	19	11
50 or less	9	18	16	18	17	5	18	15
More than 50	10	24	18	16	16	16	18	17
Independent, other, unsure	41	36	39	37	35	47	40	39
Conservative	17	10	14	4	17	13	18	13
Moderate	18	21	18	24	15	29	16	19
Liberal	4	5	7	6	3	5	4	5
50 or less	20	17	20	23	11	24	16	19
More than 50	21	19	19	13	24	23	23	20
Refused	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507

	Michigan'	Michigan's healthcare system today			Own personal healthcare coverage			
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,		
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor		
Ideology & age								
Conservative	33	40	43	38	45	34	40	
50 or less	15	15	21	16	16	20	17	
More than 50	18	24	23	23	29	14	23	
Moderate; liberal	62	59	50	57	51	62	56	
50 or less	38	31	25	27	23	40	29	
More than 50	24	28	25	29	29	22	26	
Unsure		1	3	1	2	1	2	
Refused	6	0	4	4	2	3	2	
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507	
Party identification by			. AO' 3					
ideology, ethnicity & age								
Republican	19	26	24	21	27	23	24	
Conservative	13	18	18	17	21	13	18	
Very conservative	5	6	9	4	11	4	8	
Moderate; liberal	6	8	6	5	6	9	6	
50 or less	15	14	12	9	12	18	13	
More than 50	4	12	12	12	15	5	11	
Democratic	24	33		36	31	32	33	
Conservative	4	6	11	8	8	7	8	
White		4	7	5	6	5	5	
Moderate	12	11	10	13	10	10	11	
Liberal	7	15	13	16	12	13	13	
Minority	13	11	12	8	11	10	11	
50 or less	11	15	16	17	11	20	15	
More than 50	13	18	18	19	20	12	17	
Independent, other, unsure	51	39	37	38	37	43	39	
Conservative	14	14	14	14	13	13	13	
Moderate	28	20	17	18	18	23	19	
Liberal	9	5	5	5	5	7	5	
50 or less	27	17	19	17	15	23	19	
More than 50	23	22	18	21	22	20	20	
Refused	23 7	22	5	5	5	20	4	
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	1 5 4	507	

	Pays for most of own healthcare			Importa	lf, own	Total		
	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
Ideology & age								
Conservative	40	38	48	33	36	42	53	40
50 or less	19	4	30	15	14	13	26	17
More than 50	21	33	18	18	22	29	27	23
Moderate; liberal	57	52	49	62	59	56	43	56
50 or less	34	6	36	31	32	36	20	29
More than 50	23	46	13	31	27	20	24	26
Unsure	1	4	2	2	2	1	2	2
Refused	2	6	2	_ 3	3	1	2	2
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>
Party identification by			~0					
			4.0%	40				
ideology, ethnicity & age Republican	27	18	29	19	16	27	36	24
<u> </u>	27			13	13	20	27	
Conservative		15 10	26		3	20 11	27 14	18
Very conservative Moderate; liberal	8 7	2	12 3	5 6	3	7	9	8 6
50 or less	15	1	22	10	8	11	22	13
More than 50	12	17	7	9	8	16	15	11
Democratic	30	31	29	44	35	24	22	33
Conservative	5	8	6	10	7	6	7	
White	3	6	6	5	5	6	, 5	8 5
Moderate	11	8	9	17	7	12	5	11
Liberal	14	14	12	16	19	6	9	13
Minority	8	9	16	22	9	2	5	11
50 or less	17	4	19	19	18	13	10	15
More than 50	17	26	11	25	17	11	11	17
Independent, other, unsure	40	44	36	34	46	43	39	39
Conservative	15	14	12	9	16	13	18	13
	19	21	18	16	29	23	15	19
Moderate Liberal	5	6	6	7	1	6	15 5	5
	20	7	25	18	22	22	15	
50 or less								19
More than 50	20	38	12	16	24	21	24	20
Refused	4	7	5	4	4	6	3	4
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507

	Best describes Michigan's Medicaid program			Least desc	Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan					
	Account-	Competent	Resource-	Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-			
	able		ful	sion			ful			
Ideology & age										
Conservative	33	46	41	29	30	42	41	40		
50 or less	12		23	11	_	27	15	17		
More than 50	21			18		14	25	23		
Moderate; liberal	5 <i>7</i>			69		51	59	56		
50 or less	31	20	36	36	27	28	34	29		
More than 50	26	32	18	33	37	23	25	26		
Unsure	5		4		3	2		2		
Refused	4			_ 2		5		2 2		
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507		
•										
Party identification by			0	W						
ideology, ethnicity & age										
Republican	15	32	18	17	19	21	24	24		
Conservative	8	24	14	11	15	13	18	18		
Very conservative		9	4	3	6	6	8	8		
Moderate; liberal	6			6		7	6	6		
50 or less	6	19		9		13	13	13		
More than 50	8	13	10	9	14	8	10	11		
Democratic	40	26	48	47	29	38	32	33		
Conservative	14	6	15	12	6	8	10	8		
White	7		7	5		4	7	5		
Moderate	9		15	13		7	11	11		
Liberal	17		14	22	13	21	10	13		
Minority	20	3	25	23	9	11	12	11		
50 or less	21	8	33	20	8	23	16	15		
More than 50	19	18	16	27	21	15	16	17		
Independent, other, unsure	41	38	29	34	47	34	44	39		
Conservative	11	16	9	6	8	19	12	13		
Moderate	19	20	15	18	29	10	24	19		
Liberal	6	2	4	10		4	8	5		
50 or less	19		19	18	23	20	20	19		
More than 50	22		10	16		14	24	20		
Refused	4		5	2		8	1	4		
Number of cases	53	88		55		90	82	507		

	coverage			Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Ideology & age									
Conservative	25	62	34	27	52	69	43	12	40
50 or less	11	26	15	11	22	30	19	4	17
More than 50	14	36	19	16	29	39	24	8	23
Moderate; liberal	72	34	59	69	45	29	52	84	56
50 or less	37	17	34	34	27	19	30	36	29
More than 50	34	17	26	34	18	10	22	48	26
Unsure	2	1	3	2	2		2	2	2
Refused	2	3	4	3	2	2	3	2	2
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	50 <i>7</i>
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age				100	9				
Republican	14	37	25	17	32	45	25	5	24
Conservative	7	32	14	10	25	38	17	2	18
Very conservative	2	16	4	3	12	19	7		8
Moderate; liberal	7	5	10	6	7	7	8	2	6
50 or less	8	17	18	9	18	23	14	2	13
More than 50	5	20	7	8	14	22	11	3	11
Democratic	53	10	23	46	19	6	31	59	33
Conservative	12	5	1	9	6	2	11	7	8
White	6	4	1	5	5	2	6	5	5
Moderate	17	3	8	15	7	1	11	18	11
Liberal	23	2	13	21	6	2	7	32	13
Minority	20	1	10	17	5		12	20	11
50 or less	25	5	10	20	10	5	15	24	15
More than 50	28	5	13	26	9	1	15	35	17
Independent, other, unsure	30	49	45	33	44	47	38	34	39
Conservative	5	23	17	8	18	28	12	3	13
Moderate	18	21	20	19	20	13	22	20	19
Liberal	6	4	6	6	5	5	2	10	5
50 or less	16	22	21	16	21	21	19	16	19
More than 50	14	27	25	18	23	26	19	18	20
Refused	3	4	7	4	5	3	6	3	4
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years registered to vote				preside mary vo		Genera	al electio	n vote hi	story	Most likely voter	Total
	Less than 10	10-15	More than 15	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
Ideology & age												
Conservative	38	38	45	64	24	36	42	42	41	44	48	40
50 or less	26	13	10	20	7	18	16	13	18	13	12	17
More than 50	12	25	35	44	18	18	25	29	23	31	36	23
Moderate; liberal	59	56	51	31	68	60	54	53	55	52	50	56
50 or less	46	24	12	9	16	36	26	20	28	19	13	29
More than 50	13	32	39	22	52	24	28	33	26	33	37	26
Unsure	2	2	1		2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2
Refused	$\overline{1}$	4	3	4	6	1	3	3	3	3	$\overline{1}$	2
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Party identification by					100	6.0						
ideology, ethnicity & age	25	20	27	40		22	26	24	25	25	24	24
Republican	25 15	20 18	27	40		23 16	26	24 20	25	25 21	24 20	24
Conservative	6	18		34 20		6	19 8	20 9	18 8	21 10	20 10	18
Very conservative	10	2	11 5	4		7	6	4	7	4	4	8 6
Moderate; liberal 50 or less	20	10	3 7	16	0	14	13	9	13	10	7	13
More than 50	5	10	20	24		9	13	14	12	16	17	11
Democratic	33	36	20 29	3	69	36	30	30	32	2 9	29	33
Conservative	9	7	2 9 7	3)	16	9	7	6	32 7	2 9 5	29 8	8
White	6	2	, 5		10	6	5	3	5	3	o 5	5
Moderate	11	14	9	1	22	12	11	9	11	9	3 7	11
Liberal	12	15	12	2	30	14	12	15	13	14	13	13
Minority	12	18	5	۷	35	11	10	10	11	10	9	11
50 or less	22	12	8		20	18	12	9	15	8	7	15
More than 50	11	23	21	3	49	17	18	21	17	21	21	17
Independent, other, unsure	40	38	40	52	21	38	40	41	39	41	44	39
Conservative	13	11	16	28	6	11	14	15	14	15	18	13
Moderate	19	21	19	21	11	20	20	20	19	20	20	19
Liberal	7	3	5	2	3	6	5	5	5	5	6	5
50 or less	30	17	3 7	14	2	22	17	15	18	14	11	19
More than 50	10	21	33	38	18	17	22	26	21	27	33	20
Refused	3	7	33 4	6	10	3	4	5	4	27 5	33 3	4
	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Number of cases	209	119	1/3	91	43	3/3	437	334	403	308	240	307

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	:S	Total
_	Tri-	Macomb/	Wayne	Tri-	Balance	Detroit	Grand	Other	
	County	Oakland	County	County,			Rapids-	markets	
	(all)	Cos.		not			K-BC		
				Detroit					
Sex by age, party identification									
& ideology									
Male	44	46	42	47	48	46	47	46	46
50 or less	21	19	24	22	23	23	20	22	22
More than 50	23	27	18	25	25	23	27	24	24
Republican	8	12	3	10	14	9	14	13	11
Democratic	17	10	25	15	9	15	5	12	12
Independent, other, unsure	18	22	14	20	22	20	27	19	21
Conservative	16	19	13	19	23	19	27	19	21
Moderate; liberal	26	24	28	26	23	25	19	25	24
Female	56	54	58	53	52	54	53	54	54
50 or less	31	30	33	31	23	28	26	22	26
More than 50	25	25	25	23	30	26	26	31	28
Republican	10	14	4	12	15	10	17	15	13
Democratic	28	20	39	20	16	26	13	17	21
Independent, other, unsure	15	18	10	17	21	16	21	20	18
Conservative	19	18	21	18	20	19	22	19	20
Moderate: liberal	35	36	35	34	29	34	25	32	32
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Years registered to vote									
Less than 10	43	43	45	46	40	43	37	41	41
10 to 15	26	20	33	20	22	23	27	22	24
More than 15	29	37	18	34	38	32	36	37	34
Not ascertained	2		4			1			1
Mean	13	15	12	14	16	14	15	16	15
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	<i>507</i>

	MSA cou	unties	Ethni	city	Total	
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;		
		urban		Anglo		
Sex by age, party identification						
& ideology						
Male	45	50	38	48	46	
50 or less	22	23	23	22	22	
More than 50	23	27	14	26	24	
Republican	10	18	4	13	11	
Democratic	12	11	22	10	12	
Independent, other, unsure	21	20	11	23	21	
Conservative	20	23	11	23	21	
Moderate; liberal	23	26	26	23	24	
Female	55	50	62	52	54	
50 or less	28	19	33	25	26	
More than 50	27	31	29	28	28	
Republican	13	12	8	14	13	
Democratic	22	15	41	16	21	
Independent, other, unsure	18	21	10	20	18	
Conservative	20	18	19	20	20	
Moderate; liberal	33	27	40	30	32	
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>	
Years registered to vote						
Less than 10	43	35	49	39	41	
10 to 15	24	23	31	22	24	
More than 15	33	42	17	38	34	
Not ascertained	1		3	0	1	
Mean	14	17	11	16	15	
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507	

	Se	ex		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology										
Male	100		46	47	46	100	100			46
50 or less	48		46	22		100				22
More than 50	52			25	46		100			24
Republican	25		12	11	11	25	24			11
Democratic	26		14	11	11	25	27			12
Independent, other, unsure	45		19	23		48	42			21
Conservative	44		15	21	25	39	49			21
Moderate; liberal	52		30	24	19	58	46			24
Female		100	54	53	54			100	100	54
50 or less		48	54	25 28				100		26
More than 50		52		28	54				100	28
Republican		24	17	12	10			28	19	13
Democratic		38	17	24	19			37	40	21
Independent, other, unsure		34	20	14	23			31	37	18
Conservative		37	13		21			33	40	20
Moderate; liberal		59	39	27	30			63	55	32
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Years registered to vote										
Less than 10	45		83	30	17	72	21	57	20	41
10 to 15	20		13	28	28	14	26	25	29	24
More than 15	35	34	3 2	41	56	14	54	17	50	34
Not ascertained		1	2	1				2	1	1
Mean	15		6	15	23	8	21	9	20	15
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507

	i	Education			Income		Socio-	economic s	status	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Sex by age, party identification										
& ideology										
Male	37	51		34	45	53	40	46	54	46
50 or less	13	28		16	18	31	15	25	29	22
More than 50	23	23		17	27	23	25	21	26	24
Republican	10	14		7	_ 10	16	9	14	12	11
Democratic	12	13		11	13	14	14	9	14	12
Independent, other, unsure	13	22	25	16	21	23	15	22	26	21
Conservative	17	25	20	15	24	20	19	22	21	21
Moderate; liberal	17	25	28	19	21	32	20	23	31	24
Female	63	49	51	66	55	47	60	54	46	54
50 or less	25	22	29	22	30	29	22	30	26	26
More than 50	39	26	22	45	25	17	37	24	20	28
Republican	12	13	13	7	16	16	11	15	12	13
Democratic	30	20	13 16	33	21	18	28	18	15	21
Independent, other, unsure	18	15	21	25	18	12	18	20	17	18
Conservative	23	19	19	20	21	18	21	22	16	20
Moderate; liberal	37	28	31	42	34	28	36	31	27	32
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Years registered to vote										
Less than 10	37	43	43	41	39	46	39	42	44	41
10 to 15	26	18		23	24	23	24	23	23	24
More than 15	36	39	29	36	36	30	37	34	32	34
Not ascertained			2		1	1		1	1	1
Mean	16	15	14	16	16	13	16	14	14	15
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	<i>507</i>

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	yment	Total
_	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
Sex by age, party identification								
& ideology								
Male	49	52	45	48	23	54	44	46
50 or less	40	43	15	24	2	34	2	22
More than 50	10	9	31	24	22	20	42	24
Republican	12	12	11	15	7	16	11	11
Democratic	11	11	13	15	6	11	11	12
Independent, other, unsure	26	29	19	16	10	24	20	21
Conservative	22	21	20	18	12	22	23	21
Moderate; liberal	26	30	24	28	12	30	19	24
Female	51	48	55	52	77	46	56	54
50 or less	43	41	17	28	12	30	2	26
More than 50	8	8 16 17	37	24	64	17	54	28
Republican	14	16	13	15	9	14	10	13
Democratic	20	17	21	22	36	19	21	21
Independent, other, unsure	18	15	19	13	28	13	23	18
Conservative	22	21	19	19	21	19	21	20
Moderate; liberal	28	27	33	33	48	27	31	32
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Years registered to vote								
Less than 10	59	57	33	40	26	42	17	41
10 to 15	22	20	23	25	38	26	25	24
More than 15	18	24	43	34	36	31	57	34
Not ascertained	1		0	1		2		1
Mean	9	10	17	13	18	13	23	15
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	<i>507</i>

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	tion	Total
	Conser-	Very	Somewhat	Moderate	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep.,	
	vative	conser-	conser-	liberal					other	
	(all)	vative	vative							
Sex by age, party identification										
& ideology										
Male	51	58			41	47	47	37	53	46
50 or less	22	24			23	24	23	17	27	22
More than 50	29	34	. 27	20	18	23	24	20	26	24
Republican	22	26	20	4	7		47			11
Democratic	7	3	9	17	8	32		37		12
Independent, other, unsure	20	27	15	22	26	16			53	21
Conservative	51	58	46	- 40		,	38	8	21	21
Moderate; liberal				43	41	47	10	28	32	24
Female	49	42	54	57	59	53	53	63	47	54
50 or less	21	18	24	29	30	27	30	30	20	26
More than 50	28	24		28		25	22	34	26	28
Republican	21	21		7	9	4	53			13
Democratic	13	11	14	27	22	36		63		21
Independent, other, unsure	13	9	16	22	27	12			47	18
Conservative	49	42					36	16	14	20
Moderate; liberal				57	59	53	16	46	31	32
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Years registered to vote										
Less than 10	39	37	40	44	45	42	42	42	42	41
10 to 15	22	20	23	24	24	23	19	26	23	24
More than 15	38	43	36	31	31	32	38	31	35	34
Not ascertained	1		1	1		2		2		1
Mean	16	17		14	14	14	16	13	15	15
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507

					Party id	entifica	tion & id	leology					Total
	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology							·						
Male	47	51	53	60	48	50	52	37	30	28	38	47	46
50 or less	23	20	27	27	24	27	24	17	15	15	18	20	22
More than 50	24	31	26	33	24	23	27	20	15	13	20	27	24
Republican	47	51	20	33	27	_ 23	30	20	13	13	20	21	11
Democratic	47	31				.0	30	37	30	28	38	47	12
Independent, other, unsure			53	60	48	50	21	31	30	20	30	77	21
Conservative	38	51	21	60	70	- 50	45	8	14				21
Moderate; liberal	10	71	32	00	48	50	6	28	16	28	38	47	24
Female	53	49	47	40		50	48	63	70	72	62	53	54
50 or less	30	26	20	12		25	24	30	29	30	29	29	26
More than 50	22	23	26	29	24	25	25	34	40	42	32	24	28
Republican	53	49	20	23	- 27		34	34	40	72	32	27	13
Democratic	33	73		7.1			34	63	70	72	62	53	21
Independent, other, unsure			47	40	52	50	14	03	70	12	02	33	18
Conservative	36	49	14	40		30	37	16	28				20
Moderate; liberal	16	13	31	10	52	50	10	46	42	72	62	53	32
Number of cases	122	90	_	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Years registered to vote													
Less than 10	42	34	42	40	41	43	42	42	44	42	39	37	41
10 to 15	19	24	23	20	26	23	19	26	26	30	28	27	24
More than 15	38	42	35	40	34	34	39	31	28	28	31	33	34
Not ascertained								2	2		2	3	1
Mean	16	17	15	16	15	15	16	13	13	13	13	14	15
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
-	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Sex by age, party identification													
& ideology													
Male	100		100		100		43	52	57	49	36	37	46
50 or less	49		51		46		43		57		36		22
More than 50	51		49		54	•		52		49		37	24
Republican	100					_	43	52					11
Democratic					100						36	37	12
Independent, other, unsure			100						57	49			21
Conservative	79		39		22		28	49	19	22	8	8	21
Moderate; liberal	21		59		77	9	15	4	36	28	28	28	24
Female		100		100	70.	100	57	48	43	51	64	63	54
50 or less		58		44		47	57		43		64		26
More than 50		42		56		53		48		51		63	28
Republican		100		67.		•	57	48					13
Democratic				100	40	100					64	63	21
Independent, other, unsure				100					43	51			18
Conservative		68		30	100	25	35	37	8	19	15	17	20
Moderate; liberal		31		67		72	22	10	33	30	46	45	32
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
Years registered to vote													
Less than 10	45	40	43	40	53	35	63	18	66	19	60	25	41
10 to 15	21	18	22	24	16	31	17	21	21	25	19	31	24
More than 15	34	42	35	36	31	. 31	19	60	13	56	18	42	34
Not ascertained						4					3	2	1
Mean	16	15			13		9	24	9	21	9	18	15
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	<i>507</i>

	Direction of	of things		Most	important i	ssue		Total
	Right	Wrong	Jobs,	Educ.,	Taxes or	Health-	Other	
	direction	track	employ-	schools	state	care		
			ment		budget			
Sex by age, party identification								
& ideology								
Male	53	39	47	47	47	46	39	46
50 or less	23	20	22	29	20	16	20	22
More than 50	29	19	25	18	27	30	18	24
Republican	18	7	12	10	8	17	8	11
Democratic	9	12	13	11	14	7	10	12
Independent, other, unsure	23	18	20	25	23	18	20	21
Conservative	29	14	18	15	28	24	23	21
Moderate; liberal	21	23	27	30	17	21	16	24
Female	47	61	53	53	53	54	61	54
50 or less	26	27	53 26	32	25	24	28	26
More than 50	22	34	27	21	29	29	33	28
Republican	18	10	12	14	19	10	12	13
Democratic	10	10 31	20	23	20	13	27	21
Independent, other, unsure	18	17	19	12	12	29	20	18
Conservative	23	19	20	23	18	17	20	20
Moderate; liberal	21	40	31	26	33	36	36	32
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Years registered to vote								
Less than 10	45	36	40	47	47	40	41	41
10 to 15	21	28	25	26	16	22	24	24
More than 15	33	35	34	27	37	38	36	34
Not ascertained	1	1	2					1
Mean	14	16	14	14	14	17	15	15
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507

	Michigan's healthcare today		e system	-	sonal healt coverage	hcare	Total
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,	
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
Sex by age, party identification							
& ideology							
Male	39	41	55	43	47	46	46
50 or less	23	16	28	15	23	24	22
More than 50	16	24	27	28	24	21	24
Republican	9	11	13	9	14	9	11
Democratic	7	10	15	11	11	12	12
Independent, other, unsure	21	18	24	21	19	23	21
Conservative	13	20	24	17	24	16	21
Moderate; liberal	23	21	28	22	22	28	24
Female	61	59	45	57	53	54	54
50 or less	30	30	21	30	18	36	26
More than 50	32	29	24	27	36	18	28
Republican	10	15	11	13	13	14	13
Democratic	16	23	19	25	20	19	21
Independent, other, unsure	30	21	13	17	18	20	18
Conservative	19	20	19	21	21	18	20
Moderate; liberal	38	38	23	34	30	34	32
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Years registered to vote							
Less than 10	33	41	44	46	33	48	41
10 to 15	30	23	21	23	26	20	24
More than 15	37	35	34	31	40	32	34
Not ascertained		2			2		1
Mean	14	15	15	14	17	12	15
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507

		for most of healthcare	own	Importa	nce of Med fam		lf, own	Total
	Employer	Medicare	Self	Very	Somewhat	Not	Not at	
	plan			imp.	imp.	too imp.	all imp.	
Sex by age, party identification								
& ideology								
Male	51	44	41	37		60	46	46
50 or less	28	6	23	19		30	21	22
More than 50	22	38	18	19		30	25	24
Republican	13	8	15	7	13	10	17	11
Democratic	12	13	10	14		16	8	12
Independent, other, unsure	24	20	12	15	26	29	19	21
Conservative	20	22	23	14	23	25	26	21
Moderate; liberal	29	21	17	22	27	33	17	24
Female	49	56	59	63	48	40	54	54
50 or less	27	6	44	29	28	20	27	26
More than 50	23	50	14	34	20	20	27	28
Republican	13	10	14	11	3	17	19	13
Democratic	18	18	19	30	24	7	13	21
Independent, other, unsure	16	24	24	19	19	14	20	18
Conservative	20	16	25	19	13	17	27	20
Moderate; liberal	29	32	32	40	32	23	26	32
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Years registered to vote								
Less than 10	39	23	56	48	34	42	39	41
10 to 15	25	27	19	22	27	19	23	24
More than 15	35	51	25	30	36	37	38	34
Not ascertained	1				2	2		1
Mean	14	22	12	14	15	17	15	15
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>

		scribes Mic dicaid prog		Least desc	criptive of M	1edicaid in	Michigan	Total
	Account-	Competent		Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-	
	able		ful	sion			ful	
Sex by age, party identification								
& ideology								
Male	41			30		49	62	46
50 or less	15		28	19		27	30	22
More than 50	26		9	12	31	22	32	24
Republican	4		9	5	11	10	12	11
Democratic	7		17	14	12	12	13	12
Independent, other, unsure	27		10	12	24	22	36	21
Conservative	15	23	18	11	18	22	24	21
Moderate; liberal	23	31	19	20	30	23	38	24
Female	59	46	63	70	50	51	38	54
50 or less	31	19	34	30	17	31	19	26
More than 50	28	27 21	29	40	33	20	18	28
Republican	10	21	9	12	8	10	11	13
Democratic	33	11	31	33	17	26	19	21
Independent, other, unsure	14	11	19	22	23	12	8	18
Conservative	18	24	23	19	12	20	17	20
Moderate; liberal	34	21	36	49	34	28	21	32
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507
Years registered to vote								
Less than 10	37	37	46	47	36	42	47	41
10 to 15	35	22	17	16	26	20	22	24
More than 15	28		37	37	38	36	31	34
Not ascertained						3		1
Mean	15	17	14	13	18	14	15	15
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	<i>507</i>

	Changing	the rules to	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology									
Male	39	58	38	42	50	58	41	44	46
50 or less	19	27	20	20	25	29	20	20	22
More than 50	20	31	18	_22	25	29	22	24	24
Republican	4	19	17	6	16	23	11	2	11
Democratic	20	4	7	18	. 6	2	11	23	12
Independent, other, unsure	14	31	14	17	25	31	17	18	21
Conservative	10	37	13	11	28	42	20	4	21
Moderate; liberal	29	18	24	30	20	15	19	39	24
Female	61	42	62	58	50	42	59	56	54
50 or less	31	18	32	26	26	21	31	22	26
More than 50	30	24	30	32	23	21	27	35	28
Republican	10	18	7	11	16	22	14	3	13
Democratic	33	6	17	28	13	4	20	36	21
Independent, other, unsure	16	17	31	16	19	16	21	16	18
Conservative	15	25	21	16	23	28	23	8	20
Moderate; liberal	43	17	35	39	25	14	32	45	32
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Years registered to vote									
Less than 10	44	39	39	46	36	42	43	38	41
10 to 15	26	20	25	23	26	19	24	26	24
More than 15	30	41	33	31	37	39	32	35	34
Not ascertained	1		4	1	1		1	1	1
Mean	13	17	14	14	16	16	14	15	15
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507

	Years registered to vote				preside mary vo		Genera	al electio	Most likely voter	Total		
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		
	than 10		than 15									
Sex by age, party identification & ideology												
Male	51	39	47	53	43	45	45	47	46	46	49	46
50 or less	39	13	9	21	11	24	19	16	22	16	14	22
More than 50	12	26	37	31	32	21	26	30	24	31	35	24
Republican	12	10	11	20		11	12	11	12	11	11	11
Democratic	16	8	11		33	12	10	11	12	10	10	12
Independent, other, unsure	22	19	21	30	5	20	21	23	21	22	26	21
Conservative	22	17	22	39	5	18	21	21	21	21	24	21
Moderate; liberal	29	19	22	12	33	26	23	24	23	23	24	24
Female	49	61	53	47	57	55	55	53	54	54	51	54
50 or less	36	27	13	10	11	31	25	19	26	18	11	26
More than 50	13	34	41	37	46		30	35	28	36	40	28
Republican	12	10	15	19	0	13	14	13	13	14	13	13
Democratic	17	27	18	3	36	23	20	19	20	18	19	21
Independent, other, unsure	18	19	19	22	15	18	19	18	19	18	18	18
Conservative	16	20	23	25	19	19	21	22	20	22	24	20
Moderate; liberal	31	37	28	19	35	34	31	30	32	29	27	32
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	<i>507</i>
Years registered to vote												
Less than 10	100			21	18	49	36	28	40	26	22	41
10 to 15		100		15	38	24	26	26	24	26	26	24
More than 15			100	64	44	26	38	46	35	48	52	34
Not ascertained						1	0		1		1	1
Mean	5	13	28	21	20	13	16	18	15	18	20	15
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	ts	Total
·	Tri- County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
General election vote history					_	_		_	_
None	2		_4		1	1		1	1
General 2008	85		78	87	87	86	93	82	86
General 2010	64		64	65	67	67	67	63	66
General 2012	94		91	96	96	94	97	96	95
All 3	58		57	59	63	61	63	59	61
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Geographic area									
Tri-county	100	100	100	100		80			40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	56	100		72		45			22
Macomb County	22	39		28		17			9
Oakland County	34	61		44		27			14
Wayne County	44		100	28		35			18
City of Detroit	22		50			17			9
Other Wayne County	22		50	28		17			9
Balance of state			179	C	100	20	100	100	60
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Media Market				•					
Lower Peninsula & eastern Upper Peninsula	100	100	100	100	96	100	100	92	98
Alpena					1			1	0
Detroit	100	100	100	100	17	100			50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City					20			39	12
Grand Rapids–Kalamazoo– Battle Creek					32		100		19
Lansing					11			21	6
South Bend-Elkhart					3			6	2
Toledo					2			3	1
Traverse City-Cadillac					11			22	7
Western & central Upper Peninsula					4			8	2
Marquette					4			8	2
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	1 <i>54</i>	50 <i>7</i>
Number of cases	202	113	09	130	303	234	33	1 34	307

	MSA cou	ınties	Ethni	city	Total
	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
		urban		Anglo	
General election vote history					
None	1		4	0	1
General 2008	87	83	87	86	86
General 2010	65	68	64	67	66
General 2012	95	96	91	96	95
All 3	61	61	59	61	61
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>
Geographic area					
Tri-county	49		71	33	40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	27		22	22	22
Macomb County	11	A'0"	6	9	9
Oakland County	17		16	13	14
Wayne County	22		49	11	18
City of Detroit	11		42	2	9
Other Wayne County	11	100	7	9	9
Balance of state	51	100	29	67	60
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>
Media Market	44				
Lower Peninsula & eastern	100	88	99	97	98
Upper Peninsula	200				
Alpena		2		1	0
Detroit	61	5	78	44	50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	8	26	6	13	12
Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo- Battle Creek	21	12	11	21	19
Lansing	8	1		8	6
South Bend-Elkhart	2		1	2	2
Toledo		5		1	1
Traverse City-Cadillac		36	3	8	7
Western & central Upper					
Peninsula		12	1	3	2
Marquette		12	1	3	2
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>

	Se	X		Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	
General election vote history			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
None	1	1	1	2			1	3		1
General 2008	84	88	68	93	94	75	92	81	94	86
General 2010	66	65	35	73	86	49	82	47	83	66
General 2012	95	96	95	93	98	94	95	95	96	95
All 3	61	60	28	68	82	43	77	41	79	61
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Geographic area										
Tri-county	38	41	41	42	36	38	38	47	36	40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	22	23	22	22	23	19	25	25	20	22
Macomb County	10	8	9	8	10	10	9	8	8	9
Oakland County	13	15	13	14	13	9	16	17	12	14
Wayne County	16	19	19	20	13	19	13	22	16	18
City of Detroit	7	11	6	12	6	8	6	11	10	9
Other Wayne County	9	8	13	7	7	11	8	11	5	9
Balance of state	62	59	59	58		62	62	53	64	60
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Media Market										
Lower Peninsula & eastern										
Upper Peninsula	98	98	96	100	97	98	98	98	97	98
Alpena	1	0	1		1	1			1	0
Detroit	50	51	52	52	46	52	48	54	47	50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	12	11	13	12	11	12	12	11	12	12
Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo-			_							
Battle Creek	20	19	18	22	18	18	22	20	18	19
Lansing	7	6	6	7	6	6	7	6	6	6
South Bend-Elkhart	0	3	1	1	4	U	1	1	5	2
Toledo	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1
		6		7		7		5	7	7
Traverse City-Cadillac	8	О	3	/	10	/	8	5	/	/
Western & central Upper	2	2	4	0	3	2	2	2	3	2
Peninsula Manusulata	2	2	4	^	2	2		2	2	
Marquette	2	2	4	0	3	2	2	2	3	2
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507

		Education			Income		Socio-	economic s	tatus	Total
_	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
General election vote history										
None	1		2	2	2		1	1	1	1
General 2008	85	83		84	86	91	84	87	89	86
General 2010	62	65	69	66	61	73	63	64	71	66
General 2012	93	96	96	92	94	97	93	96	97	95
All 3	56	57	66	59	57	67	57	60	67	61
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Geographic area										
Tri-county	43	31	44	36	38	43	38	39	44	40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	23	17	26	18	21	26	19	25	24	22
Macomb County	11	9		9	11	7	12	7	6	9
Oakland County	12	8	19	9	11	19	7	18	18	14
Wayne County	20	14	18	18	16	16	19	14	20	18
City of Detroit	13	4	10	13	9	6	11	6	9	9
Other Wayne County	7	10		5	8	11	8	8	10	9
Balance of state	57	69		64	62	57	62	61	56	60
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Media Market										
Lower Peninsula & eastern	97	100	97	97	00	0.7	97	00	96	00
Upper Peninsula	97	100	97	97	98	97	97	99	96	98
Alpena			1		1			1	1	0
Detroit	51	48	51	44	49	55	49	47	55	50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	10	17		9	16	10	13	14	8	12
Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo- Battle Creek	20	15	23	21	16	20	16	21	22	19
Lansing	6	7	6	10	5	6	7	5	7	6
South Bend-Elkhart	3	2		4	3	O .	4	1	,	2
Toledo	2	1		2	1		2	1		1
Traverse City-Cadillac	5	10		7	5	6	6	9	5	7
	3	10	3	,	3	O	O	9	3	,
Western & central Upper Peninsula	3	0	3	3	2	3	3	1	4	2
Marquette	3	0	3	3	2	3	3	1	4	2
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	<i>507</i>

		Hou	sehold ty	pe		Employ	ment	Total
_	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
General election vote history								
None	1		1	2		1	1	1
General 2008	78	83	89	88	94	91	92	86
General 2010	59	67	69	62	77	69	82	66
General 2012	94	95	95	95	95	94	95	95
All 3	52	59	64	56	74	63	77	61
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Geographic area								
Tri-county	38	38	41	47	42	40	31	40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	20	26	23	29	24	24	17	22
Macomb County	6	9	10	13	9	8	8	9
Oakland County	14	17	13	16	15	16	10	14
Wayne County	17	11	17	19	18	16	13	18
City of Detroit	9	4 🍙	9	9	14	10	8	9
Other Wayne County	8	7	8	10	3	6	6	9
Balance of state	62	62	59	53	58	60	69	60
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	507
Media Market			64,					
Lower Peninsula & eastern Upper Peninsula	98	98	98	97	97	98	97	98
Alpena			1	1		1	1	0
Detroit	44	46	53	56	52	50	45	50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	14	13	11	10	6	14	13	12
Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo-	23	25	18	19	22	22	18	19
Battle Creek	-	4	7	•	-	4	7	•
Lansing	5	4	7	6	5	4	7	6
South Bend-Elkhart	3	1	1		1	0	4	2
Toledo	1		1	_	2	_	2	1
Traverse City-Cadillac	8	9	6	5	8	7	8	7
Western & central Upper Peninsula	2	2	2	3	3	2	3	2
Marquette	2	2	2	3	3	2	3	2
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	<i>507</i>

-			Ideo	logy			Party	Total		
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate liberal	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep., other	
General election vote history	,									
None	1		1	1	2			2	1	1
General 2008	89	87	91	84	85	81	92	80	88	86
General 2010	69	74	66	63	60	70	65	60	69	66
General 2012	96	98	96	94	94	95	98	93	95	95
All 3	66	69	64	56	54	61	64	53	63	61
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Geographic area										
Tri-county	35	25	42	44	41	49	29	55	34	40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	20	14	25	24	23	25	24	21	23	22
Macomb County	8	5	9	10	10	10	10	9	8	9
Oakland County	13	8				15	14	12	15	14
Wayne County	15	11		20	18	24	5	34	11	18
City of Detroit	7	5	97.5			14		21	4	9
Other Wayne County	8	6				10	5	13	7	9
Balance of state	65	75				51	71	45	66	60
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Media Market			1	64.						
Lower Peninsula & eastern Upper Peninsula	99	98	99	97	97	97	99	97	97	98
Alpena				1					1	0
Detroit	48	39	53	53	51	57	39	62	46	50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	14	18	12	10	11	9	17	8	12	12
Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo- Battle Creek	24	31	. 19	15	17	13	25	11	24	19
Lansing	5	4	. 5	7	7	8	7	6	5	6
South Bend-Elkhart	1	1	. 2	2	3	2	1	2	3	2
Toledo	1	2		1		1	1	1	0	2 1
Traverse City-Cadillac	6	4		7	7	8	9	7	6	7
Western & central Upper Peninsula	1	2	1	3	3	3	1	3	3	2
Marquette	1	2	1	3	3	3	1	3	3	2
Number of cases	204	82				99	122	166	198	50 <i>7</i>

-					Party id	entifica	tion & ic	leology					Total
	GOP (all)	Cons GOP	Indep (all)	Cons Indep	Mod Indep	M/L Indep	GOP+ cons Indep	Dem (all)	Cons/ mod Dem	Mod Dem	M/L Dem	Lib Dem	
General election vote history													
None			1		2	1		2	4	3	2		1
General 2008	92	95	88	90	89	86	91	80	79	83	82	81	86
General 2010	65	75	69	72	69	68	68	60	51	53	64	73	66
General 2012	98	97	95	100	92	92	99	93	91	93	94	96	95
All 3	64	73	63	70	63	61	66	53	45	48	57	64	61
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Geographic area													
Tri-county	29	30	34	31	37	36	30	55	54	52	55	58	40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	24	24	23	20	28	26	22	21	15	14	22	29	22
Macomb County	10	9	-8	9	9	- 8	10	9	6	- 8	11	13	9
Oakland County	14	16	15	10	18	18	13	12	9	6	12	16	14
Wayne County '	5	6	11	11	9	10	7	34	39	38	33	29	18
City of Detroit			4	3	2	4	1	21	27	23	18	14	9
Other Wayne County	5	6	7	8	7	6	6	13	12	14	15	15	9
Balance of state	71	70	66	69	63	64	70	45	46	48	45	42	60
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Media Market													
Lower Peninsula & eastern Upper Peninsula	99	99	97	98	96	97	98	97	98	98	97	96	98
Alpena			1		2	2							0
Detroit	39	42	46	48	48	46	42	62	63	65	64	63	50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	17	16	12	13	11	12	15	8	10	8	6	5	12
Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo- Battle Creek	25	25	24	28	20	21	26	11	10	7	8	8	19
Lansing	7	5	5	4	6	6	6	6	4	2	7	11	6
South Bend-Elkhart	1	1	3	1	2	3	1	2	4	5	2		2
Toledo	1	1	0	_	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1
Traverse City-Cadillac	9	10	6	5	5	6	7	7	6	9	8	8	7
Western & central Upper	•						-	•	•	•	_	_	
Peninsula	1	1	3	2	4	3	2	3	2	2	3	4	2
Marquette	1	1	3	2	4	3	2	3	2	2	3	4	2
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	<i>507</i>

-		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex			Party	identific	cation b	y age		Total
	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
General election vote history													
None				2	3				2		2	2	1
General 2008	88	95	88	87	73	85	87	97	80	95	70	89	86
General 2010	61	69	72	66	59		48	85	52	84	39	79	66
General 2012	98	98	94	97	92	93	98	97	93	97	93	93	95
All 3	58	69	65	61	53	53	45	85	46	79	32	72	61
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
Geographic area													
Tri-county	28	30	35	32	55	55	28	31	40	28	59	51	40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	23	25	24	23	19	22	23	25	25	21	19	22	22
Macomb County	10	9	11	5	7	11	12	7	9	7	7	11	9
Oakland County	13		13		12	11	11	17	16	14	12	12	14
Wayne County	5	5	12	10	36		4	6	15	7	40	29	18
City of Detroit			5	3	16				7	2	22	21	9
Other Wayne County	5		6		20		4	6	8	5	18	8	9
Balance of state	72		65				72	69	60	72	41	49	60
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507
Media Market													
Lower Peninsula & eastern Upper Peninsula	98	99	99	96	96	98	99	99	98	97	96	98	98
Alpena			1	1					1	1			0
Detroit	41	37	48		62	63	39	40	54	40	63	61	50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	17		12	_	7		14	19	13	11	8	7	12
	17	10	12	11	/	0	14	19	13	11	0	· ·	
Grand Rapids–Kalamazoo– Battle Creek	24		25	23	8		23	28	23	25	10	12	19
Lansing	4	9	4	6	10	4	8	6	4	7	6	7	6
South Bend-Elkhart		1	1	4		4		1	2	3		4	2
Toledo	2		1			2	2			1	1	1	1
Traverse City-Cadillac	9		6	6	10	5	12	5	1	10	7	6	7
Western & central Upper	~	4	4			2	-	4	_	~		2	~
Peninsula	2	1	1	4	4	2	1	1	2	3	4	2	2
Marquette	2	1	1	4	4	2	1	1	2	3	4	2	2
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	57	95	104	77	88	507

Right direction	Wrong track	Jobs, employ- ment	Educ., schools	Taxes or state	Health- care	Other	
_				budget			
_				J			
_	1	1		3		2	1
85	89	83	93		95	85	86
67	66	64				55	66
							95
							61
203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
33	43	45	39	34	27	43	40
21	21	23	24	21	17	23	22
6	11	8		9	5	13	9
15	10	16	15	11	11	11	14
11		22	15	13	10	19	18
5		7	11	5	7	15	9
		14	3	8	3	4	9
							60
203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
	1	64,					
98	97	98	94	98	98	98	98
0	1	1					0
45	52	52	52	44	40	51	50
10	15	12	8	7	18	13	12
26	16	17	17	24	23	23	19
6	5	5	4	13	9	6	6
							2
			2	_	3	-	2 1
_			10	8	5	4	7
_	_			_		•	
2	3	2	6	2	2	2	2
2	3	2	6	2	2	2	2
							50 <i>7</i>
	33 21 6 15 11 5 7 67 203	67 66 94 64 60 203 245 33 43 21 21 6 11 15 10 11 22 5 12 7 10 67 57 203 245 98 97 0 1 45 52 10 15 26 16 6 5 2 2 1 2 8 5 5 2 2 3 3 2 3 3	85 89 83 67 66 64 96 94 95 64 60 57 203 245 229 33 43 45 21 21 23 6 11 8 15 10 16 11 22 22 5 12 7 7 10 14 67 57 55 203 245 229 98 97 98 0 1 1 45 52 52 10 15 12 26 16 17 6 5 5 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 3 2	85 89 83 93 67 66 64 84 96 94 95 99 64 60 57 80 203 245 229 71 33 43 45 39 21 21 23 24 6 11 8 9 15 10 16 15 11 22 22 15 5 12 7 11 7 10 14 3 67 57 55 61 203 245 229 71 98 97 98 94 0 1 1 4 45 52 52 52 10 15 12 8 26 16 17 17 6 5 5 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 <	85 89 83 93 82 67 66 64 84 59 96 94 95 99 93 64 60 57 80 57 203 245 229 71 58 33 43 45 39 34 21 21 23 24 21 6 11 8 9 9 15 10 16 15 11 11 22 22 15 13 5 12 7 11 5 7 10 14 3 8 67 57 55 61 66 203 245 229 71 58 98 97 98 94 98 0 1 1 1 4 45 52 52 52 52 44 10 15 12 8 7 26 16 17	85 89 83 93 82 95 67 66 64 84 59 66 96 94 95 99 93 97 64 60 57 80 57 61 203 245 229 71 58 53 33 43 45 39 34 27 21 21 23 24 21 17 6 11 8 9 9 9 5 15 10 16 15 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 15 7 7 11 5 7 7 11 5 7 7 11 5 7 7 11 5 7 7 11 5 7 7 11 5 7 7 11 5 7 7 7 158 53 3 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98	85 89 83 93 82 95 85 67 66 64 84 59 66 55 96 94 95 99 93 97 92 64 60 57 80 57 61 51 203 245 229 71 58 53 79 33 43 45 39 34 27 43 21 21 23 24 21 17 23 6 11 8 9 9 5 13 15 10 16 15 11 11 11 11 22 22 15 13 10 19 5 12 7 11 5 7 15 7 10 14 3 8 3 4 67 57 55 61 66 73 57 203 245 229 71 58 53 79

	Michigan'	s healthcai today	e system	Own per	thcare	Total	
	State of	Major	Minor, no	Excel-	Good	Fair,	
	crisis	problems	problems	lent		poor	
General election vote history							
None	4	1		2		1	1
General 2008	88	90	82	87	86	88	86
General 2010	62	70	63	69	73	55	66
General 2012	95	95	96	97	96	94	95
All 3	61	64	58	65	68	49	61
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Geographic area							
Tri-county	34	39	43	46	35	42	40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	19	20	26	32	18	23	22
Macomb County	4	8	10	8	6	13	9
Oakland County	15	12	15	24	11	10	14
Wayne County	15	19	17	15	17	19	18
City of Detroit	12	10		7	9	8	9
Other Wayne County	3	9		8	8	10	9
Balance of state	66	61	57	54	65	58	60
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507
Media Market							
Lower Peninsula & eastern Upper Peninsula	95	99	97	97	98	98	98
Alpena			1	1		0	0
Detroit	51	47	54	54	48	48	50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	8	13	11	7	15	10	12
Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo-	_			,			
Battle Creek	27	16	21	19	21	17	19
Lansing	6	9	4	7	5	8	6
South Bend-Elkhart		3	1		2	3	2
Toledo		1	1	1	0	2	2 1
Traverse City-Cadillac	3	10	4	8	5	9	7
Western & central Upper	-	4	2		2	2	3
Peninsula	5	1	3	3	2	2	2
Marquette	5	1	3	3	2	2	2
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	50 <i>7</i>

	Pays 1	for most of healthcare	own	Importa	nce of Med fam		lf, own	Total
	Employer plan	Medicare	Self	Very imp.	Somewhat imp.	Not too imp.	Not at all imp.	
General election vote history								
None			5	2			1	1
General 2008	89	94	85	85	89	84	86	86
General 2010	72	77	51	60	76	65	66	66
General 2012	95	98	92	96	96	95	94	95
All 3	67	73	44	56	68	59	61	61
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>
Geographic area								
Tri-county	42	33	44	44	45	37	32	40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	26	18	16	19	29	21	24	22
Macomb County	9	8	5	9	13	3	8	9
Oakland County	17	10	10	10	16	18	16	14
Wayne County	16	16	28	25	16	16	8	18
City of Detroit	7	8	14	14	9	3	3	9
Other Wayne County	9	8	14	11	6	13	5	9
Balance of state	58	67	56	56	55	63	68	60
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507
Media Market			69,					
Lower Peninsula & eastern Upper Peninsula	98	98	99	96	100	98	98	98
Alpena	1				1	2		0
Detroit	52	45	49	50	56	54	44	50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	14	11	12	11	12	9	14	12
Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo-						-		
Battle Creek	19	20	24	21	21	20	17	19
Lansing	4	9	4	7	5	8	6	6
South Bend-Elkhart		3	3	3	1	1	2	2
Toledo		3	2	0	2		1	1
Traverse City-Cadillac	8	6	5	4	2	4	14	7
Western & central Upper	2	2	1	4		2	2	2
Peninsula	2	2	1	4		2	2	2
Marquette	2	2	1	4		2	2	2
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>

		scribes Mid licaid prog		Least desc	Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan						
	Account-	Competent		Compas-	Competent	Efficient	Resource-				
Control of the state of the state of	able		ful	sion			ful				
General election vote history	4						4	1			
None	4		0.0	0.5	0.0	0.2	4	1			
General 2008	85			85		83		86			
General 2010	56			70	70	64		66			
General 2012	96			98	98	95		95			
All 3	55			64	66	58		61			
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507			
Geographic area											
Tri-county	35	34	48	42	39	38	52	40			
Macomb & Oakland Counties	17	20	20	19	24	18	27	22			
Macomb County	8	7	8	11	13	3	10	9			
Oakland County	8	13	11	8	11	15	17	14			
Wayne County	19	14	28	23	15	20	25	18			
City of Detroit	12		19	11	6	10	15	9			
Other Wayne County	6		9	12	9	10		9			
Balance of state	65	66	52	58	61	62	48	60			
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	<i>507</i>			
Media Market			111								
Lower Peninsula & eastern											
Upper Peninsula	97	98	98	93	98	96	99	98			
Alpena	3		1		1	1		0			
Detroit	45			52	47	48	61	50			
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	11			15	12	8	7	12			
Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo-	11	12	13	13		0	,	12			
Battle Creek	29	21	15	17	23	21	21	19			
		4	0		7	10	1	_			
Lansing	2	4	9		7	10	1	6			
South Bend-Elkhart	2		_	4	3	_		2			
Toledo	5		2			2	1	1			
Traverse City-Cadillac	4	12	5	5	5	5	8	7			
Western & central Upper	3	2	2	7	2	4	1	2			
Peninsula	,			,	2		1				
Marquette	3	2		7	2	4	1	2			
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507			

	Changing	the rules to coverage	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	ward Medi	caid scale	Total
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
General election vote history									
None	1	1		2	1	1	2		1
General 2008	85	89	83	83	88	88	83	90	86
General 2010	63	71	63	64	69	73	60	70	66
General 2012	94	96	98	95	95	95	95	96	95
All 3	56	68	55	58	65	70	54	64	61
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Geographic area									
Tri-county	47	30	48	43	32	29	39	51	40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	22	22	29	19	23	22	23	22	22
Macomb County	7	11	9	6	10	12	7	10	9
Oakland County	15	11	19 🦠	13	13	10	16	12	14
Wayne County	25	8	19	24	10	7	16	30	18
City of Detroit	14	2	11	13	3		9	16	9
Other Wayne County	12	6	8	11	6	7	7	13	9
Balance of state	53	70	52	57	68	71	61	49	60
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Media Market									
Lower Peninsula & eastern Upper Peninsula	98	98	98	97	98	98	98	97	98
Alpena		1	1	0	1		1		0
Detroit	54	43	59	54	41	40	50	59	50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	13	13	3	11	14	12	14	8	12
Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo- Battle Creek	16	23	20	17	24	24	20	15	19
Lansing	6	7	7	6	6	6	7	6	6
South Bend-Elkhart	2	2	1	2	2	3	1	2	2
Toledo	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
Traverse City-Cadillac	6	8	4	4	10	11	5	6	7
Western & central Upper		2	2	2			2	2	2
Peninsula	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	3	2
Marquette	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	3	2
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	50 <i>7</i>

	Years registered to vote			preside mary vo		Genera	al electio	n vote hi	story	Most likely voter	Total	
	Less	10-15	More	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3		-
6 11	than 10		than 15									
General election vote history	2										-	
None	2				4	1					1	1
General 2008	74	94	96	99	90	83	100	96	87	100	95	86
General 2010	44	73	89	91	88	57	73	100	66	100	91	66
General 2012	93	95	97	98	93	95	96	95	100	100	97	95
All 3	38	68	85	89	83	51	70	92	64	100	87	61
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Geographic area												
Tri-county	42	44	33	31	63	39	39	39	39	38	40	40
Macomb & Oakland Counties	23	19	24	25	19	22	23	22	22	21	22	22
Macomb County	9	8	10	9	7	9	9	8	9	8	7	9
Oakland County	14	11	15	16	12	13	14	14	13	14	15	14
Wavne County	19	25	9	6	44	17	16	17	17	16	17	18
City of Detroit	7	18	3	1	34	8	8	8	8	8	9	9
Other Wayne County	12	7	6	5	10	10	8	9	9	9	8	9
Balance of state	58	56	67	69	37	61	61	61	61	62	60	60
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Media Market				- 1								
Lower Peninsula & eastern		100	0.7			0.7						
Upper Peninsula	97	100	97	99	97	97	98	98	98	98	98	98
Alpena	1					1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detroit	52	49	47	44	67	50	50	51	49	50	49	50
Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	11	13	12	15	11	11	11	12	12	13	12	12
Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo-		_										
Battle Creek	18	22	20	22	10	20	21	20	20	20	21	19
Lansing	7	6	6	9	5	6	6	5	7	6	5	6
South Bend-Elkhart	2	2	2	2	J	2	2	1	2	1	2	2
	1			2			1	0				
Toledo	_	1 7	1	7	2	1 7	6	7	1 6	0 7	0	1 7
Traverse City-Cadillac	5	/	9	/	3	/	б	/	6	/	8	/
Western & central Upper Peninsula	3		3	1	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
Marquette	3		3	1	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	<i>373</i>	437	334	483	308	<u>246</u>	507

		Geo	graphic ar	ea		Me	dia market	S	Total
	Tri- County (all)	Macomb/ Oakland Cos.	Wayne County	Tri- County, not Detroit	Balance	Detroit	Grand Rapids- K-BC	Other markets	
Metro area Metropolitan	100	100	100	100	69	98	88	49	81
Micropolitan					17		7	29	10
Rural					14	2	5	22	9
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507
Metropolitan Statistical Area									
Metropolitan	100	100	100	100	69	98	88	49	81
Ann Arbor					5	6			3
Battle Creek					2		7	_	1
Bay City					1			3	1
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	100	100	100	100	7	88			44
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	56	100	1/1/20	72	7	53			27
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	44		100	28		35			18
Flint			4/		7			14	4
Grand Rapids-Wyoming					12		37		7
Holland-Grand Haven					6		17		3
Jackson					3		1.7	6	2
Kalamazoo-Portage					5		17	1.4	3
Lansing-East Lansing					7	2		14	3 2 3 4 2 2 1
Monroe Muskegon–Norton Shores					3 3	3	10		2
Niles-Benton Harbor					2		10	3	
Saginaw-Saginaw Township								3	1
North					3			6	2
South Bend-Mishawaka					1			3	1
Micropolitan					17		7	29	10
Rural					14	2	5	22	9
Number of cases	202	113	89	158	305	254	99	154	507

	MSA cou	ınties	Ethni	city	Total
_	Urban	Non-	Minority	White;	
		urban		Anglo	
Metro area					
Metropolitan	100		95	78	81
Micropolitan		54	2	12	10
Rural		46	3	10	9
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	507
Metropolitan Statistical Area					
Metropolitan	100		95	78	81
Ann Arbor	4	77.	3	3	3
Battle Creek	2	A.O.	1	1	1
Bay City	1			1	1
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	54		71	38	44
Warren–Farmington Hills–Troy Division	33		22	28	27
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	22	~O.	49	11	18
Flint	5			5	4
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	9		4	8	7
Holland-Grand Haven	4		2	4	3 2
Jackson	2			2	2
Kalamazoo-Portage	4		3	3	3
Lansing-East Lansing	5			5	4
Monroe	2		5	1	2
Muskegon-Norton Shores	2		1	2	2
Niles-Benton Harbor	1		1	1	1
Saginaw-Saginaw Township North	2		5	1	2
South Bend-Mishawaka	1			1	1
Micropolitan	-	54	2	12	10
Rural		46	3	10	9
Number of cases	413	94	90	414	<i>507</i>

	Sex			Age			Sex &	age		Total
_	Male	Female	40 or	41-60	More	Male	Male	Female	Female	·
			less		than 60	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Metro area										
Metropolitan	80	83	85	85	74	80	79	87	79	81
Micropolitan	9	11	11	6	14	10	9	8	13	10
Rural	11	6	4	9	12	10	12	5	8	9
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507
Metropolitan Statistical Area										
Metropolitan	80	83	85	85	74	80	79	87	79	81
Ann Arbor	5	2	4	3	3	7	3		4	3
Battle Creek	1	1	2	2	0	1	2	2	1	1
Bay City	1	0		1	1	1	1		1	1
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	43	45	46	45	41	43	43	51	40	44
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	27	26	28	25	28	24	30	29	24	27
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	16	19	19	20	13	19	13	22	16	18
Flint	3	6	.7	2	4	4	2	6	6	4
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	8	7	6	8	7	8	7	7	7	7
Holland-Grand Haven	4	3	4	4	2	3	5	4	2	3
Jackson	2	2	1	3	2	2	2	3	1	2
Kalamazoo-Portage	4	2	4	3	3	4	5	3	1	3
Lansing-East Lansing	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	3	5	4
Monroe	1	3	2	2	1	1		2	3	2
Muskegon-Norton Shores	1	3	2	2	2	1	2	2	3	2
Niles-Benton Harbor		2	1	1	2			1	2	1
Saginaw–Saginaw Township North	1	2	1	4	0	2	1	3	2	2
South Bend-Mishawaka	0	1		1	2		1		2	1
Micropolitan	9	11	11	6	14	10	9	8	13	10
Rural	11	6	4	9	12	10	12	5	8	9
Number of cases	234	273	146	207	153	112	122	132	141	507

	Education				Income		Socio-	tatus	Total	
	HS or	Some	College	\$30K or	\$30K-	Over	Low	Medium	High	
	less	college	graduate	less	\$70K	\$70K				
Metro area										
Metropolitan	80	78	84	77	83	83	80	79	85	81
Micropolitan	12	11	9	17	9	8	13	8	10	10
Rural	8	12		6	9	9	7	12	6	9
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	507
Metropolitan Statistical Area										
Metropolitan	80	78	84	77	83	83	80	79	85	81
Ann Arbor	3	3	3	2	4	3	3	3	4	3
Battle Creek	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
Bay City	1	1		4 (3)	1	0	1	0		1
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	46	40	46	42	42	48	44	41	48	44
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	25	26	28	24	25	31	25	27	28	27
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	20	14	18	18	16	16	19	14	20	18
Flint	4	4	5	1	8	3	5	5	4	4
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	4	3	12	6	5	10	4	7	11	7
Holland-Grand Haven	3	4	3	2	4	4	2	6	2	3
Jackson	3	2	1	3	3	1	3	2	1	2
Kalamazoo-Portage	4	3	3	4	2	5	3	4	3	3
Lansing-East Lansing	2	5	5	7	2	5	4	4	5	4
Monroe	2	2	1		3	2	2	1	2	2
Muskegon-Norton Shores	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	1	3	2
Niles-Benton Harbor	1	1	1	2	2		1	1		1
Saginaw-Saginaw Township North	1	4	1	3	2	1	3	3		2
South Bend-Mishawaka	3	1		2	1		2			1
Micropolitan	12	11	9	17	9	8	13	8	10	10
Rural	8	12	6	6	9	9	7	12	6	9
Number of cases	139	143	219	99	174	142	184	166	150	<i>507</i>

		Hou	sehold ty			Employ	ment	Total
_	Child	Child,	No	No child,	Single	Full-	Retired	
	(all)	double	child	double	adult,	time		
		income	(all)	income	no child			
Metro area								
Metropolitan	79	80	82	86	77	81	75	8
Micropolitan	12	10	10	6	15	9	14	10
Rural	9	10	8	8	9	9	11	9
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	50
Metropolitan Statistical Area								
Metropolitan	79	80	82	86	77	81	75	8:
Ann Arbor	1	1	4	1	6	3	4	
Battle Creek	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	
Bay City	0		1	1		1	0	
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	42	42	45	50	44	44	38	4
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	24	31	28	32	27	28	24	2
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	17	11	17	19	18	16	13	1
Flint	3	4	5	5	3	4	5	
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	11	12	5	4	6	9	7	
Holland-Grand Haven	4	4	3	6	2	5	2	
Jackson	1	1	3	3	2	0	2	
Kalamazoo-Portage	4	4	3	3	6	4	2	
Lansing-East Lansing	5	3	4	3	1	4	5	
Monroe	1	2	2	2	2	3	2	
Muskegon-Norton Shores	1	1	2	3		2	3	
Niles-Benton Harbor	2	1	0			0	1	
Saginaw-Saginaw Township North	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	
South Bend-Mishawaka	1		1		1		3	
Micropolitan	12	10	10	6	15	9	14	1
Rural	9	10	8	8	9	9	11	9
Number of cases	155	107	338	118	60	215	147	50

			Ideo	logy			Party	identifica	ition	Total
	Conser-	Very	Somewhat	Moderate	Moderate	Liberal	GOP	Dem	Indep.,	
	vative	conser-	conser-	liberal					other	
	(all)	vative	vative							
Metro area										
Metropolitan	81	78				87	77	85	80	81
Micropolitan	8	11				9	12	9	10	10
Rural	11	12			_	4	11	6	9	9
Number of cases	204	82	122	282	183	99	122	166	198	507
Metropolitan Statistical Area										
Metropolitan	81	78	83	82	80	87	77	85	80	81
Ann Arbor	3	3		4	5	2	2	3	5	3
Battle Creek	1		2	. 1	2	1	1	1	2	-
Bay City				1	1				1]
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	41	31	. 48	47	44	53	34	59	38	44
Warren–Farmington Hills–Troy Division	26	20	31	27	26	29	28	25	28	2
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	15	11	. 17	20	18	24	5	34	11	13
Flint	5	4	. 7	4	4	4	6	4	3	4
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	10	18	5	5	5	4	9	3	10	7
Holland-Grand Haven	3	4	. 2	4	4	3	7	1	3	3
Jackson	1	2		3	3	2	3	1	2	2
Kalamazoo-Portage	4	5	4	3	3	3	5	1	5	3
Lansing-East Lansing	4	2	5	4	3	6	4	5	3	2
Monroe	2	3	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	2
Muskegon-Norton Shores	2		3	2	2	1	2	2	2	2
Niles-Benton Harbor	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	2]
Saginaw-Saginaw Township North	3	6	1	1	1	3	2	1	2	Ź
South Bend-Mishawaka	1		1	1	1	1		2	1	-
Micropolitan	8	11	. 7	11		9	12	9	10	10
Rural	11	12				4	11	6	9	g
Number of cases	204	82				99	122	166	198	507

					Party id	entifica	tion & id	leology					Total
	GOP	Cons	Indep	Cons	Mod	M/L	GOP+	Dem	Cons/	Mod	M/L	Lib	
	(all)	GOP	(all)	Indep	Indep	Indep	cons Indep	(all)	mod Dem	Dem	Dem	Dem	
Metro area													
Metropolitan	77	75	80	84	78	80	80	85	84	81	84	86	81
Micropolitan	12	11	10	7	12	11	10	9	9	10	10	10	10
Rural	11	14	9	9	10	9	10	6	8	9	6	4	ç
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507
Metropolitan Statistical Area													
Metropolitan	77	75	80	84	78	80	80	85	84	81	84	86	83
Ann Arbor	2	2	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	7	4	1	3
Battle Creek	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Bay City			1		2	2							
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	34	36	38	37	41	40	35	59	57	56	60	62	44
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	28	31	28	26	31	30	28	25	19	18	27	33	2
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	5	6	11	11	9	10	7	34	39	38	33	29	1
Flint	6	5	3	4	1	3	5	4	5	3	3	3	4
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	9	9	10	14	7	8	11	3	4	2	1	1	7
Holland-Grand Haven	7	6	3	1	4	4	5	1	2	3	2	1	3
Jackson	3	1	2	2	3	2	2	1			1	3	Ź
Kalamazoo-Portage	5	5	5	6	4	4	5	1			2	3	3
Lansing-East Lansing	4	4	3	2	3	3	3	5	4	2	5	8	4
Monroe	2	1	2	4	2	2	3	1	1	2	1		2
Muskegon-Norton Shores	2	1	2	3	1	1	3	2			1	1	2
Niles-Benton Harbor	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1		
Saginaw-Saginaw Township North	2	2	2	3	1	2	3	1	1		1	2	2
South Bend-Mishawaka			1		1	2		2	3	3	1		
Micropolitan	12	11	10	7	12	11	10	9	9	10	10	10	10
Rural	11	14	9	9	10	9	10	6	8	9	6	4	9
Number of cases	122	90	198	68	98	125	191	166	96	56	122	67	507

		Party	identifi	cation b	y sex		Party identification by age						
	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	Indep	Indep	Dem	Dem	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	18-50	51+	
Metro area													
Metropolitan	71	82	82		83		77	77	87	75	84	86	81
Micropolitan	14	11	7	13	10	9	14	11	6	14	10	9	10
Rural	15	7	11	8	7	5	9	13	7	11	6	6	9
Number of cases	58	64	106	93	61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95	104	77	88	507
Metropolitan Statistical Area													
Metropolitan	71	82	82	79	83	86	77	77	87	75	84	86	81
Ann Arbor	4		6		4	2	3		6	4		6	3
Battle Creek	2		2	1		2	1		1	2	1	1	1
Bay City			2		- 4 (0	N AC	•		1	1			1
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	34	33	41	36	57	59	31	36	44	33	63	54	44
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	29	28	29	26	21	4.5	27	30	30	26	23	25	27
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	5	5	12	10	36	33	4	6	15	7	40	29	18
Flint	6	7	1	6	5	4	8	5	4	3	4	5	4
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	10	8	11	8	1	5	3	15	12	8	6	1	7
Holland-Grand Haven	7	6	3	3	3	1	5	9	5	2	1	2	3
Jackson	4	2	2	2		2	4	1	2	3	1	1	2
Kalamazoo-Portage	4	5	6	3	3		8		4	5		2	3
Lansing-East Lansing		8	2	3	10	3	4	4	2	3	5	6	4
Monroe		4	1	4		1	3	2	3	2		1	2
Muskegon-Norton Shores		5	2	2		2	3	2		4	1	2	2
Niles-Benton Harbor		1		3		1		1	2	1		1	1
Saginaw-Saginaw Township	1	2	2	2		2	2	1	2	2	2	1	2
North	1	3	2	2		2	3	1	2	2	2	1	2
South Bend-Mishawaka			1	1		2				2		3	1
Micropolitan	14	11	7	13	10	9	14	11	6	14	10	9	10
Rural	15	7	11	8	7		9	13	7	11	6	6	9
Number of cases	58		106		61	105	65	<i>57</i>	95		77	88	507

-	Direction of	of things		Total				
	Right	Wrong	Jobs,	Educ.,	Taxes or	Health-	Other	
	direction	track	employ-	schools	state	care		
			ment		budget			
Metro area								
Metropolitan	81	82	82	76	83	79	86	81
Micropolitan	10	10	10	15	8	10	7	10
Rural	9	8	7	9	8	10	8	9
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	507
Metropolitan Statistical Area								
Metropolitan	81	82	82	76	83	79	86	81
Ann Arbor	5	2	3	6	3	2	2	3
Battle Creek	1	2	1	1	2	2		1
Bay City		2	1				1	1
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	36	47	47	46	37	33	46	44
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	25	25	26	32	23	23	27	27
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	11	22	22	15	13	10	19	18
Flint	4	6	4	1	2	6	8	4
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	11	5	6	7	7	8	10	7
Holland-Grand Haven	4	2	3	3	6	6		3
Jackson	3	1	2		1	6	1	2
Kalamazoo-Portage	4	3	3	2	3	6	6	3
Lansing-East Lansing	4	4	3	4	12	3	3	4
Monroe	2	2	1		5	2	2	2 2
Muskegon-Norton Shores	3	2	2	2	3		4	
Niles-Benton Harbor	1	1	1		1	1	1	1
Saginaw–Saginaw Township North	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2
South Bend-Mishawaka	1	1	1	2		2		1
Micropolitan	10	10	10	15	8	10	7	10
Rural	9	8	7	9	8	10	8	9
Number of cases	203	245	229	71	58	53	79	<i>507</i>

	Michigan'	s healthcar today	e system	_	Own personal healthcare coverage				
	State of crisis	Major problems	Minor, no problems	Excel- lent	Good	Fair, poor			
Metro area						1			
Metropolitan	86	80	83	85	81	80	81		
Micropolitan	11	9	10	11	7	13	10		
Rural	3	11	7	4	12	7	9		
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	507		
Metropolitan Statistical Area									
Metropolitan	86	80	83	85	81	80	81		
Ann Arbor	3	2	5	4	3	2	3		
Battle Creek	2	2	0	1	2		1		
Bay City	1	1	1	2	1		1		
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	43	43	46	50	41	45	44		
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	28	24	29	35	24	26	27		
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	15	19	17	15	17	19	18		
Flint	3	3	5	2	6	3	4		
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	11	3 5 2 3 2	8	10	7	5	7		
Holland-Grand Haven	8	2	4	4	4	3	3		
Jackson	2	3	0		3	3	3 2 3		
Kalamazoo-Portage	2	2	5	2	3	5			
Lansing-East Lansing	4	5	3	7	2	6	4		
Monroe	6	2	0	1	2	2	4 2 2		
Muskegon-Norton Shores	2	3	1	1	3	2	2		
Niles-Benton Harbor		2			0	3	1		
Saginaw–Saginaw Township North		3	1	2	2	2	2		
South Bend-Mishawaka		1	1		2	1	1		
Micropolitan	11	9	10	11	7	13	10		
Rural	3	11	7	4	12	7	9		
Number of cases	53	233	200	110	221	154	<i>507</i>		

		or most of o	own	Importa	Importance of Medicaid for self, own family					
	Employer plan	Medicare	Self	Very imp.	Somewhat imp.	Not too imp.	Not at all imp.			
Metro area	·			•	•	•	·			
Metropolitan	81	73	88	85	87	85	73	81		
Micropolitan	9	14	10	10	7	7	14	10		
Rural	10	13	3	5	7	8	13	9		
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	507		
Metropolitan Statistical Area										
Metropolitan	81	73	88	85	87	85	73	81		
Ann Arbor	3	4		3	2	7	3	3		
Battle Creek	2		3	1 2	3		1	1		
Bay City	1	2		2				1		
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	46	38	49	47	49	43	38	44		
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	30	22	21	21	33	27	30	27		
Detroit–Livonia–Dearborn Division	16	16	28	25	16	16	8	18		
Flint	6	2	7	3	6	1	7	4		
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	8	7	4	7	9	9	6	7		
Holland-Grand Haven	5	1	7	3	1	3	5	3		
Jackson	1	4	2	1	2	2	2	2		
Kalamazoo-Portage	4	1	7	4	2	6	3	3		
Lansing-East Lansing	3	4	2	5	2	5	4	4		
Monroe	2	2			4	4	2	2		
Muskegon-Norton Shores	1	5	1	2	5	1		2		
Niles-Benton Harbor		2	3	1		1	2	1		
Saginaw–Saginaw Township North	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	2		
South Bend-Mishawaka		2		1	1		1	1		
Micropolitan	9	14	10	10	7	7	14	10		
Rural	10	13	3	5	7	8	13	9		
Number of cases	225	103	68	178	98	70	145	<i>507</i>		

		scribes Mic dicaid prog		Least desc	Least descriptive of Medicaid in Michigan					
	Account- able	Competent		Compas- sion	Competent	Efficient	Resource- ful			
Metro area										
Metropolitan	81	78	82	86	76	85	83	81		
Micropolitan	15	7	9	13	15	10	8	10		
Rural	4	14	9	2	9	5	10	9		
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	507		
Metropolitan Statistical Area										
Metropolitan	81	78	82	86	76	85	83	81		
Ann Arbor	3	5		5	5	1	5	3		
Battle Creek	6	1	1		2	1	2	1		
Bay City	2		2		2			1		
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	42	39	49	46	40	43	56	44		
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	24	26	21	23	25	24	31	27		
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	19	14	28	23	15	20	25	18		
Flint	7	5	1	12	1	5	2	4		
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	12	7	8	5		13	5	7		
Holland-Grand Haven	2	6		2		3	5	3		
Jackson			4		3	5		2		
Kalamazoo-Portage	3	5	1	3	5	4	3	3		
Lansing-East Lansing		4	5		4	4	1	4		
Monroe		3	3	2		3		2 2		
Muskegon-Norton Shores	3		3	6		1	3	2		
Niles-Benton Harbor				2	1			1		
Saginaw–Saginaw Township North		2	5	1	3	3		2		
South Bend-Mishawaka	2			2	1			1		
Micropolitan	15		9	13		10	8	10		
Rural	4		9	2		5	10	9		
Number of cases	53	88	74	55	60	90	82	<i>507</i>		

	Changing	the rules to coverage	expand	Point of vi Medi		Attitude to	caid scale	Total	
	Favor	Oppose	Unsure	Good program, essential	Flawed, needs reform	Negative	Mixed, neutral	Positive	
Metro area									
Metropolitan	83	77	87	85	77	77	81	85	81
Micropolitan	11	9	9	11	10	9	10	12	10
Rural	6	14	3	4	13	14	9	4	9
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	507
Metropolitan Statistical Area									
Metropolitan	83	77	87	85	77	77	81	85	81
Ann Arbor	3	4	2	4	1	2	3	4	3
Battle Creek	1	2	2	1	2	2	2		1
Bay City	1	1		1	1	1	1		1
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	49	35	54	48	37	35	43	54	44
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	24	27	35	24	28	28	28	24	27
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	25	8	19	24	10	7	16	30	18
Flint	6	3	3	4	5	4	5	4	4
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	5	12	2	5	10	12	7	4	7
Holland-Grand Haven	2	3	8	3	4	5	4	1	3
Jackson	1	2	6	2	2	2	3	1	2
Kalamazoo-Portage	3	3	5	4	3	3	3	4	3
Lansing-East Lansing	5	5	1	5	3	5	4	5	4
Monroe	2	2	1	3	1	1	2	1	2 2
Muskegon-Norton Shores	3	1	1	2	2	1	2	3	2
Niles-Benton Harbor	1	1	1	1	1	3	0	1	1
Saginaw-Saginaw Township North	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2
South Bend-Mishawaka	1	1		1	0	1	1	1	1
Micropolitan	11	9	9	11	10	9	10	12	10
Rural	6	14	3	4	13	14	9	4	9
Number of cases	247	198	58	238	226	121	243	143	<i>507</i>

	Years registered to vote				2012 presidential Grimary vote			General election vote history				Total
	Less than 10	10-15	More than 15	GOP	Dem	None	2008	2010	2012	All 3	voter	,
Metro area	than 10		tilali 13									
Metropolitan	84	82	77	79	90	81	82	81	81	81	81	81
Micropolitan	9	12	10	9	9	11	10	9	10	9	10	10
Rural	6	6	13	13	2	8	8	10	9	10	9	9
Number of cases	209	119	175	91	43	373	437	334	483	308	246	507
Metropolitan Statistical Area												
Metropolitan	84	82	77	79	90	81	82	81	81	81	81	81
Ann Arbor	4	2	4	4	2	3	4	3	3	4	3	3
Battle Creek	1	3	1	1	_A"0	2	2	2	1	2	1	1
Bay City		2	1	1	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	47	47	39	37	63	44	44	44	43	43	45	44
Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy Division	28	22	29	31	19	27	28	27	26	27	27	27
Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn Division	19	25	9	6	44	17	16	17	17	16	17	18
Flint	6	4	3	3	2	5	4	3	5	3	5	4
Grand Rapids-Wyoming	7	7	8	13	3	6	8	7	7	7	10	7
Holland-Grand Haven	4	1	4	1	2	4	3	3	4	3	2	3
Jackson	1	2	2	4		2	2	1	2	1	2	2
Kalamazoo-Portage	2	5	4	4		3	4	4	3	4	4	3
Lansing-East Lansing	5	4	3	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Monroe	2		3	1	3	2	2	2	2	2	1	2
Muskegon-Norton Shores	2	1	2	1	4	2	2	2	2	3	2	2
Niles-Benton Harbor	1		1	2		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Saginaw–Saginaw Township North	3	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2
South Bend-Mishawaka	1	2	1			1	1	0	1	0	0	1
Micropolitan	9	12	10	9	9	11	10	9	10	9	10	10
Rural	6	6	13	13	2	8	8	10	9	10	9	9
Number of cases	209	119	1 <i>75</i>	91	43	<i>373</i>	437	334	483	308	246	<i>507</i>